

The Nature of true Virtue.

CHAP. I.

Shewing wherein the essence of true virtue consists.

HATEVER controversies and variety of opinions there are about the nature of virtue, yet all (excepting some sceptics, who deny any real difference between virtue and vice) mean by it for eihing beautiful, or rather some kind of beauty, or excellency ---- 'Tis not all beauty, that is caned virtue; for inflance, not the beauty of a building, of a flower, or of the rainb w: but some beauty belonging to Beings that have perception and will.-'Tis not all beauty or-mankind, that is called virtue; for initance, not the external beauty of the countenance, or shape, gracefulness of motion, or harmony of voice: but it is a beauty that has its original feat in the mind. ——But yet perhaps not every thing that may be called a beauty of mind, is properly called virtue. There is a beauty of understanding and speculation. There is something in the ideas and conceptions of great philosophers and statesmen, that may be called beautiful; which is a different thing from what is most commonly meant by virtue. But virtue is the beauty of those qualities and acts of the mind, that are of a moral nature, i. e. fuch as are attended with defert or worthiness of praise, or blame. Things of this sort, it is generally agreed, so far as I know, are not any thing belonging meerly to speculation; but to the disposition and will, or (to use a general word, I suppose commonly well understood) to the heart. Therefore I suppose, I shall not depart from the common opinion, when I fav, that virtue is the beauty

ty of the qualities and exercises of the heart, or those actions which proceed from them. So that when it is enquired. what is the nature of true virtue? This is the same as to enquire, what that is which renders any habit, disposition, or exercise of the heart truly beautiful? ____! use the phrase true virtue, and speak of things truly beautiful, because I suppose it will generally be allowed, that there is a diffinction to be made between some things which are truly virtuous, and others which only feem to be virtuous, thro? a partial and imperfect view of things: that some actions and dispositions appear beautiful, if considered partially and superficially, or with regard to some things belonging to them, and in some of their circumstances and tendencies. which would appear otherwise in a more extensive & comprehensive view, wherein they are seen clearly in their whole nature and the extent of their connections in the univerfality of things. There is a general and a particular beauty. By a particular beauty, I mean that by which a thing appears beautiful when confidered only with regard to its connection with, & tendency to some particular things within a limited, and as it were, a private sphere. And a general beauty is that by which a thing appears beautiful when viewed most perfectly, comprehensively and univerfally, with regard to all its tendencies, and its connections with every thing it stands related to. The former may be without and against the latter. As, a few notes in a tune, taken only by themselves, and in their relation to one another, may be harmonious; which, when confidered with respect to all the notes in the tune, or the entire series of sound, they are connected with, may be very discordant and disagreable. (Of which more afterwards) - That only therefore, is what I mean by true virtue, which is that, belonging to the heart of an intelligent Being, that is beautifui by a general beauty, or beautiful in a comprehensive view as it is in itself, and as related to every thing that it stands in connection with. And therefore when we are inquiring concerning the nature of true virtue, viz. wherein this true and general beauty of the heart does most esfentially confist, this is my answer to the inquiry

True virtue most essentially consides in benevolence to Being in general. Or perhaps to speak more accurately,

it is that consent, propensity and union of heart to Being in general, that is immediately exercised in a general good-will.

THE things which were before observed of the nature of true virtue, naturally lead us to fuch a notion of it. has its feat in the heart, and is the general goodness and beauty of the disposition and exercise of that, in the most comprehensive view, considered with regard to its univerfal tendency, and as related to every thing that it stands in connection with; what can it consist in, but a consent and good-will to Being in general?—Beauty does not confift in discord and dissent, but in consent and agreement. And if every intelligent Being is some way related to Being in general, and is a part of the universal system of existence; and fo stands in connection with the whole; what can its general and true beauty be, but its union and confent with the great whole.

IF any such thing can be supposed as an union of heart to some particular Being, or number of Beings, disposing it to benevolence to a private circle or system of Beings, which are but a small part of the whole; not implying a tendency to an union with the great system, and not at all inconfiftent with enmity towards Being in general; this I suppose not to be of the nature of true virtue: altho' it may in some respects be good, and may appear beautiful in a confined and contracted view of things. But of this more afterwards.

IT is abundantly plain by the holy scriptures, and generally allowed; not only by christian divines, but by the more confiderable deifts, that virtue most essentially consists in love. And I suppose is owned by the most considerable writers, to confift in general love of benevolence, or kind affection: tho', it feems to me, the meaning of some in this affair is not sufficiently explained; which perhaps occasions some error or confusion in discourses on this subject.

WHEN I say, true virtue consists in love to Being in general, I shall not be likely to be understood, that no one

act

act of the mind or exercise of love is of the nature of true virtue, but what has Being in general, or the great system of universal existence, for its direct and immediate object : so that no exercise of love or kind affection to any one particular Being, that is but a small part of this whole, has any thing of the nature of true virtue. But, that the nature of true virtue confists in a disposition to benevolence towards Being in general. Tho', from such a disposition may arise exercises of love to particular Beings, as objects are presented and occasions arise. No wonder, that he who is of a generally benevolent disposition, should be more disposed than another to have his heart moved with benevolent affection to particular Persons, whom he is acquainted and conversant with, and from whom arise the greatest and most frequent occasions for exciting his benevolent temper. But my meaning is, that no affections towards particular persons, or Beings, are of the nature of true virtue, but such as arise from a generally benevolent temper, or from that habit or frame of mind, wherein confilts a disposition to love Being in general.

And perhaps it is needless for me to give notice to my readers, that when I speak of an intelligent Being's having a heart united and benevolently disposed to Being in general, I thereby mean intelligent Being in general. Not inanimate things, or Beings that have no perception or will; which are not properly capable objects of benevolence.

Love is commonly distinguished into love of benevolence and love of complacence.—Love of benevolence is
that affection or propensity of the heart to any Being, which
causes it to incline to its well-being, or disposes it to desire
and take pleasure in its happiness. And if I mistake not,
'tis agreable to the common opinion, that beauty in the
object is not always the ground of this propensity; but that
there may be such a thing as benevolence, or a disposition
to the welfare of those that are not considered as beautiful;
unless mere existence be accounted a beauty. And benevolence or goodness in the divine Being is generally supposeds, not only to be prior to the beauty of many of its obsects, but to their existence: so as to be the ground both
of their existence and their beauty, rather than they the

foundation of God's benevolence; as 'tis supposed that it is God's goodness which moved him to give them both Being and beauty. So that if all virtue primarily consists in that affection of heart to Being, which is, exercised in benevolence, or an inclination to its good, then God's vertue is so extended as to include a propensity not only to Being actually existing, & actually beautiful, but to possible Being, so as to incline him to give Being, beauty and happiness.—But not now to intist particularly on this—What I would have observed at present, is, that it must be allowed, benevolence doth not necessarily presuppose beauty in its object.

WHAT is commonly called love of complacence, presuppofes beauty. —— For it is no other than delight in beauty; or complacence in the person or Being beloved for his beauty.

IF virtue be the beauty of an intelligent Being, and virtue confists in love, then it is a plain inconfistence, to suppose that virtue primarily confists in any love to its object for its beauty; either in a love of complacence, which is delight in a Being for his beauty, or in a love of benevolence, that has the beauty of its object for its foundation. For that would be to suppose, that the beauty of intelligent Beings primarily confifts in love to beauty; or, that their virtue first of all consists in their love to virtue. Which is an inconfishence, and going in a circle. Because it makes virtue, or beauty of mind, the soundation or first motive of that love wherein virtue originally confifts, or wherein the very first virtue confists; or, it suppofes the first virtue to be the consequence and effect of virtue. So that virtue is originally the foundation and exciting cause of the very beginning or first Being of virtue. Which makes the first virtue, both the ground, and the consequence, both cause and effect of itself. Doubtless virtue primarily consists in something else besides any effect or consequence of virtue. If virtue confifts primarily in love to virtue, then virtue, the thing loved, is the love of virtue: so that virtue must consist in the love of the love of virtue. And if it be inquired, what that virtue is; which virtue confers in the love of

so that there must be the love of the love of the love of virtue. So that there must be the love of the love of the love of virtue, and to on in infinitum. For there is no end of going back in a circle. We never come to any beginning or foundation. For its without beginning and hangs on nothing.

THEREFORE, if the effence of virtue or beauty of mind lies in love, or a disposition to love, it must primarily confist in something different both from complacence, which is a delight in beauty, and also from any benevolence that has the beauty of its object for its foundation. Because 'tis abfurd, to say that virtue is primarily and first of all the confequence of itself. For this makes virtue primarily prier to itself.

Non can virtue primarily consist in gratitude; or one Being's benevolence to another for his benevolence to him. Because this implies the same inconsistence. For it supposes a benevolence prior to gratitude, that is the cause of gratitude. Therefore the first benevolence, or that benevolence which has none prior to it, can't be gratitude.

Therefore there is room left for no other conclusion than that the primary object of virtuous love is Being, simply considered; or, that true virtue primarily consists, not in love to any particular Beings, because of their virtue or beauty, nor in gratitude, because they love us; but in a propensity and union of heart to Being simply considered; exciting absolute Benevolence (if I may so call it) to Being in general——! say, true virtue primarily consists in this. For I am far from asserting, that there is no true virtue in any other love than this absolute benevolence. But I would express what appears to me to be the truth, on this subject, in the following particulars.

THE first object of a virtuous benevolence is Being, situated ply considered; and if Being, simply considered, be its object, then Being in general is its object; and the thing it sas an ultimate propensity to, is the highest good of Being in general. And it will seek the good of every individual Being unless it be conceived as not consistent with the

highest good of Being in general. In which case the good of a particular Being, or some Beings, may be given up for the sake of the highest good of Being in general. And particularly if there be any Being that is looked upon as statedly and irreclaimably opposite and an enemy to Being in general, then consent and adherence to Being in general will induce the truly virtuous heart to forsake that Being, and to oppose it.

AND further, if Being, fimply confidered, be the first object of a truly virtuous benevolence, then that Being who has most of Being, or has the greatest share of existence, other things being equal, so far as such a Being is exhibited to our faculties or fet in our view, will have the greatest share of the propensity and benevolent affection of the heart. I fay, other things being equal, especially because there is a secondary object of virtuous benevolence, that I shall take notice of presently. Which is one thing that must be considered as the ground or motive to a purely virtuous benevolence. Pure benevolence in its first exexcise is nothing else but Being's uniting, consent, or propenfity to Being; appearing true and pure by its extending to Being in general, and inclining to the general highest good, and to each Being, whose welfare is consistent with the highest general good, in proportion to the Degree of existence * understand, other things being equal.

THE second object of a virtuous propensity of heart is hanewolent Being.——— A secondary ground of pure benevo-

^{*} I fay, — in proportion to the degree of existence, — because one Being may have more existence than another, as he may be greater than another. That which is great, has more existence, and is further from nothing, than that which is little. One Being may have every thing positive belonging to it, or every thing which goes to it's positive existence (in opposition to desect) in an higher degree than another; or a greater capacity and power, greater understanding, every soculty and every positive quality in an higher degree. An Arch-angel must be supposed to have more existence, and to be every way further removed from non entity, than a quora, or a series.

lence is virtuous benevolence itself in its object. When any one under the influence of general benevolence, fees another Being poffess'd of the like general benevolence, this attaches his heart to him, and draws forth greater love to him, than meerly his having existence: because so far as the Being beloved has love to Being in general, so far his own Being is, as it were, enlarged; extends to, and in fome fort comprehends, Being in general: and therefore he that is governed by love to Being in general, must of necessity have complacence in him, and the greater degree of benevolence to him, as it were out of gratitude to him for his love to general existence, that his own heart is extended and united to, and so looks on its interest as its own. 'Tis because his heart is thus united to Being in general, that he looks on a benevolent propenfity to Being in general, wherever he sees it, as the beauty of the Being in whom it is; an excellency, that renders him worthy of esteem, complacence, and the greater good-will.

Bur feveral things may be noted more particularly concerning this fecondary ground of a truly virtuous love.

- from pure benevolence to Being in general, and comes to the same thing. For he that has a simple and pure goodwill to general entity or existence, must love that temper in others, that agrees and conspires with itself. A spirit of consent to Being must agree with consent to Being. That which truly and sincerely seeks the good of others, must approve of, and love that which joins with him in seeking the good of others.
- 2. This which has been now mentioned as a fecondary ground of virtuous love, is the thing wherein true moral or spiritual beauty primarily consists. Yea, spiritual beauty consists wholly in this, and the various qualities and exercises of mind which proceed from it, and the external actions which proceed from these internal qualities and exercises. And in these things consists all true virtue, viz. in this love of Being, and the qualities and acts which arise from it.

- 3. As all spiritual beauty lies in these virtuous principles and acts, so its primarily on this account they are beautiful, viz. that they imply consent and union with Being in general. This is the primary and most essential beauty of every thing that can justly be called by the name of virtue, or is any moral excellency in the eye of one that has a perfect view of things. I say,—the primary and most essential beauty,—because there is a secondary and interior sort of beauty; which I shall take notice of afterwards.
- 4. This spiritual beauty, that is but a Jecondary ground of a virtuous benevolence, is the ground, not only of benevolence, but complacence, and is the primary ground of the latter; that is, when the complacence is truly virtuous. Love to us in particular, and kindness received, may be a secondary ground: but this is the primary objective foundation of it.
- 5. IT must be noted, that the degree of the aimableness or valuableness of true virtue, primarily confisting in confent and a benevolent propenfity of heart to Being in general, in the eyes of one that is influenced by fuch a spirit, is not in the simple proportion of the degree of benevolent affection feen, but in a proportion compounded of the greatness of the benevolent Being or the degree of Being and the degree of benevolence. One that loves Being in general, will necessarily value good-will to Being in general, wherever he sees it. But if he sees the same benevolence in two Beings, he will value it more in two, than in one only. Because it is a greater thing, more favorable to Being in gemeral, to have two Beings to favor it, than only one of them. For there is more Being, that favors Being: both together having more Being than one alone. So, if one Being be as great as two, has as much existence as both together, and has the same degree of general benevolence, it is more favorable to being in general, than if there were geheral benevolence in a Being that had but half that share of existerce. As a large quantity of gold, with the same degree of preciousness, i. e. with the same excellent quality of matter, is more valuable than a small quantity of the same metal.

6. It is impossible that any one should truly relish this beauty, confisting in general benevolence, who has not that temper himself I have observed, that if any Being is posfels'd of fuch a temper, he will unavoidably be pleafed with the same temper in another. And it may in like manner be demonstrated, that 'tis such a spirit, and nothing else, which will relish such a spirit. For if a Being, destitute of benevoience, should love benevolence to Being in general, it would prize and feek that which it had no value for. Because to love an inclination to the good of Being in general, would imply a loving and prizing the good of Being in general. For how should one love and value a disposition to a thing, or a tendency to promote a thing, and for that very reason, because it tends to promote it, when the thing itself is what he is regardless of, and has no value for, nor defires to have promoted.

CHAP. II.

Shewing how that love, wherein true virtue consists, respects the divine Being and created Beings.

must chiefly consist in love to God; the Being of Beings, infinitely the greatest and best of Beings. This appears, whether we consider the primary or secondary ground of virtuous love. It was observed, that the first objective ground of that love, wherein true virtue consists, is Being, simply considered: and as a necessary consequence of this, that Being who has the most of Being, or the greatest share of universal existence, has proportionably the greatest share of virtuous benevolence, so far as such a Being is exhibited to the faculties of our minds, other things being equal. But God has infinitely the greatest share of existence, or is infinitely the greatest Being. So that all other Being, even that of all created things whatsoever, throughout the whole universe, is as nothing in comparison of the divine Being.

AND if we confider the fecondary ground of love, viz. beauty, or moral excellency, the same thing will appear. For as God is infinitely the greatest Being, so he is allowed to be infinitely the most beautiful and excellent: and all the beauty to be found throughout the whole creation, is but the reflexion of the diffused beams of that Being who hath an infinite fulness of brightness and glory. God's beauty is infinitely more valuable, than that of all other Beings upon both those accounts mentioned, viz. the degree of his virtue, and the greatness of the Being possessed of this virtue. And God has sufficiently exhibited himself, in his Being, his infinite greatness and excellency: and has given us faculties, whereby we are capable of plainly discovering immense superiority to all other Beings, in these respects. I herefore he that has true virtue, confisting in benevolence to Being in general, and in that complacence in virtue, or moral beauty, and benevolence to virtuous Being, must necessarily have a supreme love to God, both of benevolence and complacence. And all true vertue must radically and effentially, and as it were summarily, confist in this. Because God is not only infinitely greater and more excellent than all other Being, but he is the head of the universal system of existence; the foundation and fountain of all Being and all beauty; from whom all is perfectly derived, and on whom all is most absolutely and perfectly dependant; of whom, and through whom, & to whom is all Being and all perfection; and whose Being and beauty is as it were the fum and comprehension of all existence and excellence: much more than the sun is the fountain and furnmary comprehension of all the light and brightness of the day.

IF it should be objected, that virtue consists primarily in benevolence, but that our fellow-creatures, and not God, seem to be the most proper objects of our benevolence; inasmuch as our goodness extendeth not to God, and we cannot be presidable to him.— To this I answer,

only in feeking to promote the happiness of the Being, towards whom it is exercised, but also in rejoicing in his happiness. Even as gratitude for benefits received will not onlypolly excite endeavours to requite the kindness we receive, by equally benefiting our benefactor, but also if he be above any need of us, or we have nothing to bestow, and are unable to repay his kindness, it will dispose us to rejoice in his prosperity.

2. Though we are not able to give any thing to God, which we have of our own, independently; yet we may be the infruments of promoting his glory, in which he takes a true and proper delight. [As was shewn at large in the former treatife, on God's end in creating the world. Chap. 1. sect. 4. Whither I must refer the reader for a more suffanswer to this objection.]

Whatever influence such an objection may seem to have on the minds of some, yet is there any that owns the Being of a God, who will deny that any love or benevolent affection, is due to God, and proper to be exercised towards him? If no benevolence is to be exercised towards God, because we cannot profit him, then for the same reason, neither is gratitude to be exercised towards him for his benefits to us; because we cannot requite him. But where is the man, who believes a God and a providence, that will may this i.

THERE seems to be an inconsistence in some writers on morality, in this respect, that they don't wholly exclude a regard to the Deity out of there schemes of morality, but yet mention it fo flightly, that they leave me room and reason to suspect they esteem it a less important and a subordinate part of true morality; and infift on benevolence so the created system in such a manner, as would naturally lead one to suppose, they look upon that as by far the most important and essential thing in their scheme. But why thould this be? If true virtue confifts partly in a respect to God, then doubtless it consists chiefly in it. If true morality requires that we should have some regard, some benevolent affection to our creator, as well as to his creatures, then doubtless it requires the first regard to be paid to him; and that he be every way the supream object of our benevolence. If his being above our reach, and beyoud all capacity of being profited by us, don't hinder but that

that nevertheless he is the proper object of our love, then it don't hinder that he should be loved according to his dignity. or according to the degree in which he has those things wherein worthinels of regard confiles, so far as we are capable of it. But this worthiness none will deny, confifts in these two things, greatness and moral goodness. And those that own a God, don't deny that he infinitely exceeds all other Beings in these. If the Deity is to be look'd upon as within that system of Beings which properly terminates our benevolence, or belonging to that whole, certainly he is to be regarded as the bead of the system, and the chief part of it; if it be proper to call him a part, who is infinitely more than all the rest, and in comparison of whom and wi hout whom all the rest are nothing, either as to beauty or existence. And therefore certainly, unless we will be atheists. we must allow that true virtue does primarily and most esfentially confift in a supream love to God; and that where this is wanting, there can be no true virtue.

Bur this being a matter of the highest importance, I fhall fay fomething further to make it plain, that love to God is most essential to true virtue; and that no benevolence whatsoever to other Beings can be of the natue of true virtue, without it.

AND therefore let it be supposed, that some Beings, by natural instinct or by some other means, have a determination of mind to union and benevoler ce to a particular person, or private system, * which is but a small part of the univerfal fystem of Being: and that this disposition or determination of mind is independant on, or not subordinate to benevolence.

^{*} It may be here noted, that when hereafter I use such a phrase as private lystem of Beings, or others similar, I thereby intend any system or society of Beings that contains but a small part of the great system comprehending the univerfality of existence. I think, that may well be called a private lystem, which is but an infinitely small part of this great whole we stand related to. I therefore also call that affection, private affection, which is limited to so narrow a circle: and that general affection or benevolence, which has Being in general for it's object,

nevolence, to Being in general. Such a determination, difposition, or affection of mind is not of the nature of true virtue.

This is allowed by all with regard to felf love; in which, good-will is confined to one fingle perion only. And there are the same reasons, why any other private affection or good-will tho' extending to a society of persons, independent of, and unsubordinate to, benevolence to the universality, should not be esteemed truly virtuous. For, not-withstanding it extends to a number of persons, which taken together are more than a single person, yet the whole salls infinitely short of the universality of existence; and if put in the scales with it, has no greater proportion to it than a single person.

However, it may not be amiss more particularly to consider the reasons why private affections, or good-will limited to a particular circle of Beings, falling infinitely short of the whole existence, and not dependent upon it, nor subordinate to general benevolence, cannot be of the nature of true virtue.

r. Such, a private affection, detached from general benevolence and independent on it, as the case may be, will be against general benevolence, or of a contrary tendency; and will fet a person against general existence, and make him an enemy to it --- As it is with felishness, or when a man is governed by a regard to his own private invereit, independent of regard to the publick good, fuch a temper exposes a man to act the part of an enemy to the out ick. As, in every case wherein his private interest see as to class with the publick; or in all those cases wherein each things are presented to his view, that fuit his perforal appetites or private inclinations, but are inconfissent with the 201 of the publick. On which account a telfish, contracted, narrow spirit is generally abhoried, and is esteemed base and fordid. — But it a man's affection takes in half a dozen more and his regards extend to fa beyond his own fingle person as to take in his children and family; or if it reaches further still, to a larger circle, but falls maintely short of the univerfal fystem, and is exclusive of Being in general; his

private affection exposes him to the same thing, viz. to pursue the interest of its particular object in opposition to general existence. which is certainly contrary to the tendency
of true virtue; yea, directly contrary to the main and most
essential thing in its nature, the thing on account of which
chiefly its nature and tendency is good. For the chief and
most essential good that is in virtue, is its favouring Being
in general. Now certainly, if private affection to a limited
system had in itself the essential nature of virtue, it would
be impossible, that it should in any circumstance whatsoever have a tendency and inclination directly contrary to that
wherein the essence of virtue chiefly consists.

2. PRIVATE affections, if not subordinate to general affection, is not only liable, as the case may be, to issue in enmity to Being in general, but has a tendency to it as the case certainly is, and must necessarily be. For he that is influenced by private affection, not subordinate to regard to Being in general, tets up its particular or limited object above Being in general; and this most naturally tends to enmity against the latter, which is by right the great supreme, ruling, and absolutely sovereign object of our regard. Even as the fetting up another prince as supreme in any kingdem, distinct from the lawful sovereign, naturally tends to enmity against the lawful sovereign. Wherever it is sufficiently published, that the supreme, infinite, and all-comprehending Being requires a supreme regard to himself; and infifts upon it, that our respect to him should universally rule in our hearts, and every other affection be subordinate to it, and this under the pain of his displeasure (as we must suppose it is in the world of intelligent creatures, if God maintains a moral kingdom in the world) then a confciousness of our having chosen and set up another prince to rule over us, and Subjected our hearts to him, and continuing in such an act, mult unavoidably excite enmity, and fix us in a stated opposition, to the supreme Being. This demonstrates, that affection to a private fociety or liftem, independent on geeral benevolence, cannot be of the nature of true virtue. nor this would be abturd, that it has the nature and effence Firue virtue, and yet at the same time has a tendency oppoot o true virtue.

3. Not only would affection to a private system, unsubordinate to regard to Being in general, have a tendency to opposition to the supreme object of viriuous affection, as its effect and consequence, but would become itse f an opposition to that object. Confidered by itself in its nature, detach'd from its effects, it is an inflance of great opposition to the rightful supreme object of our respect. For it exalts its private object above the other great and infinite object; and fets that up as supreme, in opposition to this. It puis down Being in general, which is infinitely superior in itself and infinitely more important, in an interior place; yea, subjects the supreme general object to this private infinitely inferior object: which is to treat it with great contempt and truly to act in opposition to it, and to act in o position to the true order of things, and in apposition to that which is infinitely the supreme interest; making this supreme and infinitely important interest, as far as in us lies, to be subject to, and dependent on, an interest infinitely interior. This is to act against it, and to act the part of an enemy to it. He that takes a subject and exalts him ab ve his prince, fets him as supreme instead of the prince, and treats his prince wholly as a subject, therein acts the part of an enemy to his prince.

From these things, I think, it is manisest, that no affection limited to any private lystem, not dependent on, nor subordinate to Being in general, can be of the nature of true virtue; and this, whatever the private system be, let it be more or less extensive, consisting of a greater or smaller number of individuals, so long as it contains an infinite-ly little part of universal existence, and to bears no proportion to the great all comprehending system.—And consequently, that no affection whatseever to any creature, or any system of created beings, which is not dependent on, nor subordinate to a propensity or union of the heart to God, the supreme and infinite Being, can be of the nature of true virtue.

FROM hence also it is evident, that the divine virtue, or the virtue of the divine mind, must consist primarity in love to himself, or in the initual love a d friendship which subfists eternally and necessarily between the several persons in the God-head, or that infinitely strong propensity there is in these divine persons one to another. There is no need of multiplying words, to prove that it must be thus, on a supposition that virtue in its most essential natue, consists in benevolent affection or propensity of heart towards Being in general; and so slowing out to particular Beings, in a greater or lesser degree, according to the measure of existence and beauty which they are possessed of.——It will also follow from the foregoing things, that God's goodness and love to created Beings, is derived from, and subordinate to his love to himself. [In what manner it is so, I have endeavoured in some measure to explain in the preceeding discourse of God's end in creating the world.]

WITH respect to the manner in which a virtuous love in created Beings, one to another, is dependent on, and derived from love to God, this will appear by a proper confideration of what has been faid; that it is sufficient to render love to any created Being virtuous, if it arise from the temper of mind wherein confifts a disposition to love God supremely. Because it appears from what has been already observed, all that love to particular Beings, which is the fruit of a benevolent propenfity of heart to Being in general, is virtuous love. But, as has been remark'd, a benevolent propensity of heart to Being in general, and a temper or disposition to love God supremely, are in effect the same thing. Therefore, if love to a created Being comes from that temper or propenfity of the heare, it is virtuous. --- However, every particular exercise of love to a creature may not sensibly arise from any exercise of love to God, or an explicit consideration of any fimilitude, conformity, union or relation to God, in the creature beloved.

THE most proper evidence of love to a created Being, its arising from that temper of mind wherein consists a supreme propensity of heart to God, seems to be the agreableness of the kind and degree of our love to God's end in our creation and in the creation of all things, and the coincidence of the extra less of our love, in their manner, order, and measure, with the manner in which God himself exercises love to the creature in the creation and government of the world, and the way in which God as the first cause and supreme

preme disposer of all things, has respect to the creature's happiness, in subordination to himself as his own supreme end. For the true virtue of created Beings is doubtless their highest excellency, and their true goodness, and that by which they are especially agreable to the mind of their creator -But the true goodness of a thing (as was obferved before) must be its agreableness to its end, or its fitness to answer the design for which it was made. Or, at least, this must be its goodness in the eyes of the workman. Therefore they are good moral agents whose temper of mind or propenfity of heart is agreable to the end for which God made moral agents. But, as has been shewn. the last end for which God has made moral agents, must be the last end for which God has made all things: it being evident, that the moral world is the end of the rest of the world; the inanimate and unintelligent world being made for the rational and moral world, as much as a house is prepared for the inhabitants.

By these things it appears, that a truly virtuous mind. being as it were under the fovereign dominion of love to God, does above all things feek the glory of God, and makes this his supreme, governing, and ultimate end: confisting in the expression of God's perfections in their proper effects, and in the manifestation of God's glory to created underflandings, and the communications of the infinite fulness of God to the creature; in the creature's highest esteem of God, love to God, and joy in God, and in the proper exercifes and expressions of these. And so far as a virtuous mind exercises true virtue in benevolence to created Beings, it chiefly feeks the good of the creature, confifting in its knowledge or view of God's glory and beauty, its union with God, and conformity to him, love to him, and joy in him. - And that temper or disposition of heart, that content, union, or propenfity of mind to Being in genaral, which appears chiefly in fuch ex reifes, is virtue, truly fo called; or in other words, true grace and real holinefs. And no other disposition or affection but this is of the nature of true virtue.

COROLLARY Hence it appears, that these schemes of religion or moral philosophy, which, however well in some respects 134 The Nature of true Virtue. CHAP.III.

respects, they may treat of benevolence to mankind, and other virtues depending on it, yet have not a supreme regard to God, and love to him, laid in the foundation, and all other virtues handled in a connection with this, and in a subordination to this, are not true schemes of philosophy, but are sundamentally and effentially desective -And whatever other benevolence or generofity towards mankind, and other virtues, or moral qualifications which go by that name, any are possessed of, that are not attended with a love to God which is altogether above them, and to which they are subordinate, and on which they are dependent, there is nothing of the nature of true virtue or religion in them. And it may be afferted in general, that nothing is of the nature of true virtue, in which God is not the first and the last; or, which with regard to their exercises in general, have not their first foundation and source in apprehentions

CHAP. III.

the supreme end.

of God's supreme dignity and glory, and in answerable effeem and love of him, and have not respect to God as

Concerning the secondary and inferior kind of beauty.

THOUGH this which has been spoken of, alone, is justin effectived the true beauty of moral agents, or spiritual Beings: this alone being what would appear beautirul in them, upon a crea & comprehensive view of things: and therefore alone is the moral amiableness of Beings that have understanding and will, in the eyes of him that perfectly fees all things as they are. Yet there are other qualities, other fenfations, propenfities and affections of mind, and principles or action, that often obtain the epithet of vir ucus, and by many are supposed to have the nature of true vittne: which are intirely of a diffinct nature from this, and have nothing of that kind; and therefore are erroneously contounded with real virtue:

as may particularly and fully appear from things which will be observed in this and the following chapters.

THAT confent, agreement, or union of Being to Being. which has been spoken of, viz. the union or propensity of minds to mental or spiritual existence, may be called the highest, and first, or primary beauty, that is to be found among things that exist: being the proper and peculiar beauty of spiritual and moral Beings, which are the highest and first part of the universal system, for whose sake all the rest has existence. Yet there is another, interior, fecondary beauty, which is some image of this, and which is not peculiar to spiritual Beings, but is found even in inanimate things; which confifts in a mutual consent and agreement of different things, in form, manner, quantity, and visible end or design; called by the various names of regularity, order, uniformity, fymmetry, proportion, harmony, &c. Such is the mutual agreement of the various fides of a square, or equilateral triangle, or of a regular polygon. Such is, as it were, the mutual consent of the different parts of the periphery of a circle, or furface of a sphere, and of the corresponding parts of an ellipsis. Such is the agreement of the colours, figures, dimensions, and distances of the different foots on a chels board. Such is the beauty of the figures on a piece of chinis, or brocade. Such is the beautiful proportion of the various parts of an human body, or countenance. And fuch is the fweet mutual confent and agreement of the various notes of a melodious tune. This is the same that Mr. HUTCHESON, in his treatise on beauty, expresses by uniformity in the midst of variety. Which is no other than the confent or agreement of different things, in form; quantity. &: He observes, that the greater the variety is, in equal uniformity, the greater the beauty. Which is no more than to fay, the more there are of different matually agreeing things, the greater is the beauty. And the reafon of that is, because 'tis more considerable to have many things confent one with another, than a few only.

THE beauty which confifts in the visible fitness of a thing to its use, and unity of design, is not a distinct fort of beauty from this. For it's to be observed, that one thing which

136 The Nature of true Virtue. CHAP. III.

which contributes to the beauty of the agreement & proportion of various things, is their relation one to another; which connects them, and introduces them together into view and confideration, and whereby one fuggefis the other to the mind, and the mind is led to compare them and so to expect and defire agreement. Thus the uniformity of two or more pillars, as they may happen to be found in different places, is not an equal degree of beauty, as that uniformity in fo many pillars in the corresponding parts of the same building. So means and an intended effect are . related one to another. The answerableness of a thing to its use is only the proportion, fitness, and agreeing of a cause or means to a visibly designed effect, and so an effect suggested to the mind by the idea of the means. This kind of beauty is not intirely different from that beauty which there is in fitting a mortise to its tenon. Only when the beauty confifts in unity of defign, or the adaptedness of a variety of things to promote one intended effect, in which all conspire, as the various parts of an ingenious complicated machine, there is a double beauty, as there is a twofold agreement and conformity. First, there is the agreement of the various parts to the designed end. Secondly, thro' this, viz. the designed end or effect, all the various particulars agree one with another as the general medium of their union whereby they being united in this third, they thereby are all united one to another.

The reason, or at least one reason why God has made this kind of mutual consent and agreement of things beautiful and grateful to those intelligent Beings that perceive is, probably is, that there is in it some image of the true, spiritual original beauty, which has been spoken of: consisting in Being's consent to Being, or the union of minds or spiritual Beings in a mutual propensity and affection of heart. The other is an image of this, because by that uniformity diverse things become as it were one, as it is in this cordial union. And it pleases God to observe analogy in his works, as is manifest in fact in innumerable instances; and estecially to establish interior things in an analogy to superior. Thus, in how many instances has he formed brutes in analogy to the

the nature of mankind? and plants, in analogy to animals, with respect to the manner of their generation, nutrition, &c. And so he has constituted the external world in an analogy to things in the spiritual world, in numberless instances; as might be shewn, if it were necessary, and here were proper place and room for it. - Why fuch ana'cgy in God's works pleases him, 'iis not needful now to inquire. It is sufficient that he makes an agreement or consent of different things, in their form, manner, measure, &c. to appear beautiful, because here is some image of an higher kind of agreement and confent of spiritual Beings. It has pleased him to establish a law of nature, by virtue of which the uniformity and mutual correspondence of a beautiful plant, and the respect which the various parts of a regular building feem to have one to another, and their agreement and union, & the confent or concord of the various notes of a melodious tune, should appear beautiful; because therein is some image of the consent of mind, of the different members of a fociety or system of intelligent Beings, sweetly united in a benevolent agreement of heart. And here by the way, I would further observe, probably itis with regard to this image or refemblance, which fecondary beauty has of true spiritual beauty, that God has so constituted nature, that the prefenting of this inferior beauty, especially in those kinds of it which have the greatest resemblance of the primary beauty, as the harmony of founds, and the beauties of nature, have a tendency to affift those whose hearts are under the influence of a truly virtuous temper, to dispose them to the exercises of divine love, and enliven in them a sense of spiritual beauty.

FROM what has been faid we may see, that there are two forts of agreement or consent of one thing to another. (1) There is a cordial agreement; that consists in concord and union of mind and heart: which, if not attended (viewing things in general) with more discord than concord, is true virtue, and the original or primary beauty, which is the only true moral beauty.——(2.) There is a natural union or agreement: which, tho' some image of the other, is intirely a distinct thing; the will, disposition, or affection of the heart having no concern in it, but consisting only in uniformity and consent of nature, form, quantity, &c. (as

before described) wherein lies an inferior secondary sort of beauty, which may, in distinction from the other, be call'd natural beauty.—This may be sufficient to let the reader know how I shall hereaster use the phrases of cordial, and natural agreement; and moral, spiritual, divine, and primary original beauty, and secondary, or natural beauty.

Concerning this latter, inferior kind of beauty, the following things may be observed.

- I. The cause why secondary beauty is grateful to Men, is only a law of nature, which God has fixed, or an instinct he has given to mankind; and not their perception of the same thing which God is pleased to have regard to, as the ground or rule by which he has established such a law of nature.— This appears in two things.
- (1.) THAT which God has respect to, as the rule or ground of this law of nature he has given us, whereby things having a fecondary beauty are made grateful to men. is their mutual agreement and proportion, in measure, form &c. But in many inflances persons that are gratify'd, and have their minds affected, in presenting this beauty, don't reflect on that particular agreement and proportion, which according to the law of nature is the ground and rule of beauty in the case, yea, are ignorant of it. Thus, a man may be pleased with the harmony of the notes in a tune, and yet know nothing of that proportion or adjustment of the notes, which by the law of nature is the ground of the melody. He knows not, that the vibrations in one note regularly co-incide with the vibrations in another; that the vibrations of a note co-incide in time with two vibrations of its octave; and that two vibrations of a note coincide with three of its fifth, &c .- Yea, he may not know, that there are vibrations of the air in the case, or any corresponding motions in the organs of hearing, in the auditory nerve, or animal spirits. - So, a man may be effected and pleased with a beautiful proportion of the features in a face, and yet not know what that proportion is, or what measures, quantities, and diffances it confiss in.

In this a fensation of secondary beauty differs from a fensation of primary and spiritual beauty, consisting in a spiritual union and agreement. What makes the latter grateful, is perceiving the union itself. 'Tis the immediate view of that wherein the beauty fundamentally lies, that is pleasing to the virtuous mind.

- (2.) As was observed before, God in establishing such a law that mutual natural agreement of different things, in form, quantity, &c. should appear beautiful or grateful to men, seems to have had regard to the image and resemblance there is in such a natural agreement, of that spiritual cordial agreement, wherein original beauty consists, as one reason why he established such a law. But it is not any reflection upon, or preception of, such a resemblance of this to spiritual beauty, that is the reason why such a form or state of objects appear beautiful to men: but their sensation of pleasure, on a view of this secondary beauty is immediately owing to the law God has established, or the instinct he has given.
- 2. Another thing observable concerning this kind of beauty, is, that it affects the mind more (other things being equal) when taken notice of in objects which are of considerable importance, than in little trivial matters. Thus, the symmetry of the parts of a human body, or countenance, affects the mind more than the beauty of a flower. So, the beauty of the solar system, more than as great and as manifold an order and uniformity in a tree. And the proportions of the parts of a church, or a palace, more than the same proportions in some little slight compositions, made to please children.
- 3. It may be observed (which was hinted before) that not only uniformity and proportion, &c. of different things is requisite in order to this inferior beauty, but some relation or connection of the things thus agreeing one with another. As, the uniformity or likeness of a number of pillars, scattered hither and thither, does not constitute beauty, or at least by no means in an equal degree as uniformity in pillars connected in the same building, in parts that have relation one to another. So, if we see things

unlike, and very disproportion'd, in distant places, which have no relation to each other, this excites no such idea of deformity, as disagreement and inequality or disproportion in things related and connected: and the nearer the relation and the stricter the connection, so much the greater and more disgustful is the deformity, consisting in their disagreement.

4. This fecondary kind of beauty, confisting in uniformity and proportion, not only takes place in material and external things, but also in things immaterial; and is, in very many things, plain and fensible in the latter, as well as the some: and when it is so, there is no reason why it should not be grateful to them that behold it, in these, as well as the other, by virtue of the same sense or the same determination of mind to be gratify'd with uniformity and proportion. If uniformity and proportion be the things that affect, and appear agreable to, this sense of beauty, then why should not uniformity and proportion affect the same sense in immaterial things, as well as material, if there be equal capacity of discerning it in both? and indeed more in spiritual things (cateris paribus) as these are more important than things meerly external and material?

This is not only reasonable to be supposed, but is evident in fact, in numberless instances. There is a beauty of order in fociety, befides what confifts in benevolence, or can be refer'd to it, which is of the secondary kind. when the different members of fociety have all their appointed office, place and flation, according to their feveral capacities and talents, and every one keeps his place, and continues in his proper bufinefs. In this there is a beauty, not of a different kind from the regularity of a beautiful building, or piece of skilful architecture, where the strong pillars are fet in their proper place, the pilasters in a place fit for them, the square pieces of marble in the pavement in a place funable for them, the pannels in the walls and partitions in their proper places, the cornishes in places proper for them. &c. As the agreement of a variety in one common defign, of the parts of a building, or complicated machine, is one inftance of that regularity, which belongs to the secondary kind of beauty, so there is the fame kind of beauty in immaterial things, in what is called wifdom, confisting in the united tendency of thoughts, ideas, and particular volitions, to one general purpose: which is a distinct thing from the goodness of that general purpose, as being useful and benevolent.

So there is a beauty in the virtue called justice, which confirs in the agreement of different things, that have relation to one another, in nature, manner, and measure: and therefore is the very fame fort of beauty with that uniformity and proportion, which is observable in those external and material things that are effected beautiful. There is a na ural agreement and adaptedness of things that have relation one to another, and an harmonious corresponding of one thing to another: that he which from his will does evil to others, should receive evil from the will of others, or from the will of him or them whose bufiness it is to take care of the injured, and to act in their behalf: and that he should suffer evil in proportion to the evil of his doings. Things are in natural regularity and mutual agreement, not in a metaphorical but literal fense, when he whose heart opposes the general system, should have the hearts of that fyllem, or the heart of the head and ruler of the fystem, against him: and that in consequence, he should receive evil, in proportion to the evil tendency of the opposition of his heart. - So, there is a like agreement in nature and measure, when he that loves, has the proper returns of love: when he that from his heart promotes the good of another, has his good promoted by the other; as there is a kind of justice in a becoming gratitude.

INDEED most of the duties incumbent on us, if well confidered, will be found to partake of the nature of justice. There some natural agreement of one thing to another; some adaptedness of the agent to the object; some answerableness of the act to the occasion; some equality and proportion in things of a similar nature, and of a direct relation one to another. So it is in relative duties; duties of children to parents, and of parents to children; duties of husbands and wives; duties of rulers and subjects; duties of friendship and good neighbourhood: and all duties that we owe to God, our creator, preserver, and benefactor; and all

all duties whatsoever, considered as required by God, and as branches of our duty to him, and also considered as what are to be performed with a regard to Christ, as acts of obe-dience to his precepts, and as testimonies of respect to him, and of our regard to what he has done for us, the virtues and temper of mind he has exercised towards us, and the benefits we have or hope for theretrom.

It is this fecondary kind of beauty, which belongs to the virtues and duties required of us, that Mr. Wolloston feems to have had in his eye, when he resolved all virtue into an agreement of inclinations, volitions and actions with truth. He evidently has respect to the justice there is in the virtues and duties that are proper to be in one Being towards another; which confists in one Being's expressing such affections and using such a conduct towards another, as hath a natural agreement and proportion to what is in them, and what we receive from them: which is as much a natural conformity of affection and action with its ground, object and occasion, as that which is between a true proposition and the thing spoken of in it.

But there is another and higher beauty in true virtue, and in all truly virtuous dispositions and exercises, than what consists in any uniformity or similarity of various things; viz. the union of heart to Being in general, or to God the Being of Beings, which appears in those virtues; and which those virtues, when true, are the various expressions or effects of. Benevolence to Being in general, or to Being simply considered, is intirely a distinct thing from uniformity in the midst of variety, and is a superior kind of beauty.

Tis true, that benevolence to Being in general, when a person hath it, will naturally incline him to justice, or proportion in the exercises of it. He that loves Being, simply considered, will naturally (as was observed before) other things being equal, love particular Beings, in a proportion compounded of the degree of Being, & the degree of virtue or benevolence to Being, which they have. And that is to love Beings in proportion to their dignity. For the dignity of any Being consists in those two things. Respect to Being,

Being, in this proportion, is the first and most general kind of juttice; which will produce all the subordinate kinds. So that, after benevolence to Being in general exists, the proportion which is observed in objects, may be the cause of the proportion of benevolence to those objects: but no proportion is the cause or ground of the existence of such a thing as benevolence to Being. The tendency of objects to excite that degree of benevolence, which is proportionable to the degree of Being, &c. is the consequence of the existence of benevolence; and not the ground of it. Even as a tendency of bodies, one to another, by mutual attraction, in proportion to the quantity of matter, is the consequence of the Being of such a thing as mutual attraction; and not attraction the effect of proportion.

By this it appears, that just affections and acts have a beauty in them, distinct from, and superior to, the uniformity and equality there is in them: for which, he that has a truly virtuous temper, relishes and delights in them. And that is the expression and manifestation there is in them of benevolence to Being in general. - And besides this, there is the agreement of justice to the will and command of God: and also something in the tendency and confequences of justice, that is agreable to general benevolence, viz. as in many respects it tends to the glory of God, and the general good. Which tendency also makes it beautiful to a truly virtuous mind. So that the tendency of general benevolence to produce justice, also the tendency of justice to produce effects agreable to general benevolence, both render justice pleasing to a virtuous mind. And it is on these accounts chiefly, that justice is grateful to a virtuous taste, or a truly benevolent heart. But, tho' it be true, there is that in the uniformity and proportion there is in justice, which is grateful to a benevolent heart, as this uniformity and proportion tends to the general good; yet that is no argument, that there is no other beauty in it but its agreeing with benevolence. For so the external regularity and order of the natural world gratifies benevolence, as it is profitable, and tends to the general good; but that is no argument, that there is no other fort of beauty in external uniformity and proportion, but only its fuiting benevolence by tending to the general good, E. FROM

5. From all that has been observed concerning this fecondary kind of beauty, it appears that that disposition or fense of the mind, which confists in determination of mind to approve and be pleafed with this beauty, confidered fimply and by itself, has nothing of the nature of true virtue. and is intirely a different thing from a truly virtuous tafte. For it has been shewn, that this kind of beauty is intirely diverse from the beauty of true virtue, whether it takes place in material or immaterial things. And therefore it will follow, that a taste of this kind of beauty is intirely a different thing from a taste of true virtue. Who will affirm, that a disposition to approve of the harmony of good mufick, or the beauty of a square, or equilateral triangle, is the same with true holiness, or a truly virtuous disposition of mind! 'Tis a relish of uniformity and proportion, that determines the mind to approve these things. And if this be all, there is no need of any thing higher, or of any thing in any respect diverse, to determine the mind to approve and be pleased with equal uniformity and proportion among spiritual things which are equally discerned. 'Tis virtuous to love true virtue, as that denotes an agreement of the heart with virtue. But it argues no virtue, for the heart to be pleased with that which is intirely distinct from it.

Tho' it be true, there is some analogy in it to spiritual and virtuous beauty; as much as material things can have analogy to things spiritual (of which they can have no more than a shadow) yet, as has been observed, men do not approve it because of any such analogy perceived.

And not only reason but experience plainly shews, that men's approbation of this is the beauty, does not spring from any virtuous temper, and has a contexion with virtue. For, otherwise, men's delight in the beauty of squares, and cubes, and regular polygons in the regularity of buildings, and the beautiful figures in a piece of embroidery, would encrease in proportion to men's virtue; and would be raised to a great height in some eminently virtue us or holy men; but would be almost wholly lost in some others that are very vicious and lewd. 'Tis evident in sact, that a relish of these things does not depend on general benevolence, or any benevolence at all to any Being whatsoever,

CHAP. IV. The Nature of true Virtue. I

any more than a man's loving the taste of honey, or his being pleased with the smell of a rose. A taste of this inferior beauty in things immaterial, is one thing which has been mistaken by some moralists, for a true virtuous principle, implanted naturally in the hearts of all mankind.

CHAP. IV.

Of felf-love, and its various influence, to cause love to others, or the contrary.

MANY affert, that all love arises from felf-love. In order to determine this point, it should be clearly determined what is meant by felf-love.

Selv-Love, I think, is generally defined—a man's love of his own happiness. Which is short, and may be thought very plain: but indeed is an ambiguous definition, as the pronoun, his own, is equivocal, and liable to be taken in two very different senses. For a man's own happiness may either be taken universally, for all the happiness or pleasure which the mind is in any regard the subject of, or whatever is grateful and pleasing to men; or it may be taken for the pleasure a man takes in his own proper, private, and separate good. And so, self-love may be taken two ways.

whatsoever is grateful or pleasing to him. Which comes only to this, that self-love is a man's liking, and being suited and pleased in that which he likes, and which pleases him; or, that it is a man's loving what he loves. For whatever a man loves, that thing is grateful and pleasing to him, whether that be his own peculiar happiness, or the happiness of others. And if this be all that they mean by self-love, no wonder they suppose that all love may be resolved into self-love. For it is undoubtedly true, that whatever a man loves, his love may be resolved into his loving what he loves,—if that be proper speaking—If by self-love is meant nothing else but a man's loving what is grateful or pleasing to him, and being averse to what is disagreable,

this is calling that felf-love, which is only a general capacity of loving, or hating; or a capacity of being either pleased or displeased: which is the same thing as a man's having a faculty of will. For if nothing could be either pleasing or displeasing, agreable or disagreable to a man, then he could incline to nothing, and will nothing. But if he is capable of having inclination, will and choice, then what he inclines to, and chuses, is grateful to him; whatever that be, whether it be his own private good, the good of his neighbours, or the glory of God. And so far as it is grateful or pleasing to him, so far it is a part of his pleasure, good, or happiness.

Bux if this be what is meant by felf-love, there is an impropriety and absurdity even in the putting of the question, Whether all our love, or our love to each particular object of our love, don't arise from sels-love? For that would be the same as to enquire, Whether the reason why our love is fix'd on such and such particular objects, is not, that we have a capacity of loving some things? This may be a general reason why men love or hate any thing at all; and therein differ from stones and trees, which love nothing, and hate nothing. But it can never be a reason why men's love is placed on such and fuch objects. That a man, in general, loves and is pleased with happiness, or (which is the same thing) has a capacity of enjoying happiness, cannot be the reason why such and fuch things become his happiness: as for instance, why the good of his neighbour, or the happiness and glory of God, is grateful and pleasing to him, and so becomes a part of his happiness.

 loved, is made the cause of our love to that person. Whereas, the truth plainly is, that our love to the person is the cause of our delighting, or being happy in his happiness. How comes our happiness to consist in the happiness of such as we love, but by our hearts being first united to them in affection, so that we as it were, look on them as our selves, and so on their happiness as our own?

MEN who have benevolence to others, have pleasure when they see others happiness, because seeing their happiness gratifies some inclination that was in their hearts before. They before inclined to their happiness; which was by benevolence or good-will; and therefore when they see their happiness, their inclination is suited, and they are pleased. But the Being of inclinations and appetites is prior to any pleasure in gratifying these appetites.

2. Self-love, as the phrase is used in common speech, most commonly signifies a man's regard to his consined private self, or love to himself with respect to his private interest.

By private interest I mean that which most immediately confists in those pleasures, or pains, that are personal. For there is a comfort, and a grief, that some have in others pleasures, or pains; which are in others originally, but are derived to them, or in some measure become their's; by virtue of a benevolent union of heart with others. And there are other pleasures and pains that are originally our own, and not what we have by fuch a participation with others. Which confift in perceptions agreeable, or contrary, to certain personal inclinations implanted in our nature; such as the fenfitive appetites and aversions. Such also is the disposition or the determination of the mind to be pleased with external beauty, and with all inferior fecondary beauty, confisting in uniformity, porportion, &c. whether in things external or internal, and to diflike the contrary deformity. Such also is the natural disposition in men to be pleased in a perception of their being the objects of the honor and love of others, and displeased with others hatred and contempt. For pleasures and uncasinesses of this kind are doubtless as much owing to an immediate determination

tion of the mind by a fixed law of our nature, as any of the pleasures or pains of external sense. And these pleasures are properly of the private and personal kind; being not by any participation of the happinels or forrow of others; through benevolence. 'Tis evidently meer felf-love, that appears in this disposition. It is easy to see, that a man's love to himself will make him love love to himself, and hate hatred to himself. And as God has constituted our nature, selflove is exercised in no one disposition more than in this. Men, probably, are capable of much more pleasure and pain thro' this determination of the mind, than by any other personal inclination or aversion, whatsoever. Tho' perhaps we don't so very often see instances of extreme suffering by this means, as by some others, yet we often see evidences of men's dreading the contempt of others more than death: and by fuch instances may conceive something what men would fuffer, if univerfally hated and despised; and may reasonably infer something of the greatness of the misery, that would arife under a fense of universal abhorrence, in a great view of intelligent Being in general, or in a clear view of the Deity, as incomprehensibly and immensely great, so that all other Beings are as nothing and vanity, together with a fense of his immediate continual presence, and an infinite concern with him and dependence upon him, --- and living constantly in the midst of most clear and strong evidences and manifestations of his hatred and contempt and wrath.

BUT to return,—These things may be sufficient to explain what I mean by private interest; in regard to which, self-love, most properly so called, is immediately exercised.

And here I would observe, that if we take self-love in this sense, so love to some others may truly be the effect of self-love; i. e. according to the common method and order, which is maintain'd in the laws of nature. For no created thing has power to produce an effect any otherwise than by virtue of the laws of nature. Thus, that a man should love those that are of his party, when there are different parties contending one with another; and that are warmly engaged on his side, and promote his interest, this

this is the natural consequence of a private self-love: Indeed there is no metaphyfical necessity, in the nature of things, that because a man loves himself, and regards his own interest, he therefore should love those that love him. and promote his interest; i. e. to suppose it to be otherwife, implies no contradiction. It will not follow from any abiolute metaphyfical necessity, that because bodies have folidity, cohesion, and gravitation towards the centre of the earth, therefore a weight suspended on the beam of a balance should have greater power to counter-balance a weight on the other fide, when at a distance from the fulcrum, than when it is near. It implies no contradiction, that it should be otherwise: but only as it contradicts that beautiful proportion and harmony, which the author of nature observes in the laws of nature he has established. Neither is there any absolute necessity, the contrary implying a contradiction, that because there is an internal mutual attraction of the parts of the earth, or any other sphere, whereby the whole becomes one folid coherent body, therefore other bodies that are around it, should also be attracted by it, and those that are nearest, be attracted most. But according to the order and proportion generally observed in the laws of nature, one of these effects is connected with the other, so that it is justly look'd upon as the same power of attraction in the globe of the earth, which draws bodies about the earth towards its centre, with that which attracts the parts of the earth' themselves one to another; only exerted under different circumstances. By a like order of nature, a man's love to those that love him, is no more than a certain expression or effect of felflove. No other principle is needful in order to the effect. if nothing intervenes to counter-vail the natural tendency of felf-love. Therefore there is no more true virtue in a Man's thus loving his friends meerly from felf-love, than there is in felf-love itself, the principle from whence it proceeds. So, a man's being disposed to hate those that hate him, or to refent injuries done him, arifes from felf-love in like manner as the loving those that love us, and being thankful for kindness shewn us.

But it is faid by fome, that 'tis apparent, there is fome other principle concerned in exciting the passions of grati-

tude and anger, besides self-love, viz. a moral sense, or fense of moral beauty and deformity, determining the minds of all mankind to approve of, and be pleased with virtue, and to disapprove of vice, and behold it with displicence a and that their feeing or supposing this moral beauty or deformity, in the kindness of a benefactor, or opposition of an adversary, is the occasion of these affections of gratitude or anger. Otherwise, why are not these affections excited in us towards inanimate things, that do us good, or hurt? Why don't we experience gratitude to a garden, or fruitful field? And why are we not angry with a tempest, or blasting mildew, or an overflowing stream? We are very differently affected towards those that do us good from the virtue of generofity, or hurt us from the vice of envy and malice, than towards things that hurt or help us, which are destitute of reason and will. Now concerning this, I would make several remarks.

Those who thus argue, that gratitude and anger can't proceed from felf-love, might argue in the same way, and with equal reason, that neither can these affections arise from love to others: which is contrary to their own scheme.

THEY fay, that the reason why we are affected with gratitude and anger towards men, rather than things without life, is moral sense: which they say, is the effect of that principle of benevolence or love to others, or love to the public, which is naturally in the hearts of all mankind. But now I might say; according to their own way of arguing, gratitude and anger cannot arife from love to others, or love to the public, or any fense of mind that is the fruit of public affection. For, how differently are we affected towards those that do good or hurt to the public from understanding and will, and from a general public spirit; or public motive, I say, how differently affected are we towards these, from what we are towards such inanimate things as the fun and the clouds, that do good to the public, by enlightning and enlivening beams and refreshing showers; or mildew, and an overflowing stream, that does hurt to the public, by destroying the fruits of the earth? Yea, if such a kind of argument be good, it will prove that gratitude 378

gratitude and anger cannot arise from the united influence of self-love, and public love, or moral sense arising from public affection. For, if so, why are we not affected towards inanimate things, that are beneficial or injurious both to us and the public, in the same manner as to them that are profitable or hurtful to both on choice and design, and from benevolence, or malice?

- 2. On the supposition of its being indeed so, that men love those who love them, & are angry with those who hate them, from the natural influence of felf-love; 'tis not at all strange that the author of nature, who observes order, uniformity and harmony in establishing its laws, should so order that it should be natural for self-love to cause the mind to be affected differently towards exceedingly different objects; and that it should cause our heart to extend itself in one manner towards inanimate things, which gratify felf-love, without fense or will, and in another manner towards Beings which we look upon as having understanding and will, like ourselves, and exerting these faculties in our favor, and promoting our interest from love to us. No wonder, feeing we love ourselves, that it should be natural to us to extend fomething of that same kind of love which we have for ourselves, to them who are the same kind of Beings as ourselves, and comply with the inclinations of our felf-love, by expressing the same fort of love towards us.
 - 3. If we should allow that to be universal, that in gratitude and anger there is the exercise of some kind of moral sense (as 'tis granted, there is something that may be so called.) All the moral sense, that is essential to those assections, is a sense of Desert; which is to be refer'd to that sense of justice, before spoken of, consisting in an apprehension of that secondary kind of beauty, that lies in uniformity and proportion: which solves all the difficulty in the objection. This, or some appearance of it, to a narrow private view, indeed attends all anger and gratitude. Others love and kindness to us, or their ill-will and injuciousness, appears to us to deserve our love, or our resentment. Or, in other words, it seems to us no other than just, that as they love us, and do us good, we also should love them,

and do them good. And fo it feems just, that when others hearts oppose us, and they from their hearts do us hurt, our hearts should oppose them, and that we should defire they themselves may suffer in like manner as we have suffered: i.e. there appears to us to be a natural agreement, proportion, and adjustment between these things. Which is indeed a kind of moral fense, or fense of a beauty in moral things. But, as was before thewn, it is a moral fense of a fecondary kind, and is intirely different from a fense or relish of the original essential beauty of true virtue; and may be without any principle of true virtue in the heart. Therefore doubtless 'iis a great mistake in any to suppose, all that moral fense which appears and is exercised in a sense of defert, is the fame thing as a love of virtue, or a disposition and determination of mind to be pleased with true virtuous beauty, confifting in publick benevolence. Which may be further confirm'd, if it be considered that even with respect to a fense of justice or defert, confisting in uniformity [and agreement between others actions towards us, and our actions towards them, in a way of well-doing, or of ill-doing] 'tis not absolutely necessary to the being of these passions of gratitude and anger, that there should be any notion of fustice in them, in any publick or general view of things; as will appear by what shall be next observed.

4. Those authors, who hold that that moral sense which is natural to all man-kind, confifts in a natural relish of the beauty of virtue, and so arises from a principle of true virtue implanted by nature in the hearts of all, they hold that true virtue confifts in publick benevolence. Therefore, if the affections of gratitude and anger necesfarily imply such a moral sense as they suppose, then these affections imply some delight in the publick good, and an aversion of the mind to publick evil. And if this were so, then every time any man feels anger for opposition he meets with, or gratitude for any favour, there must be at least a supposition of a tendency to publick injury in that opposition, and a tendency to publick benefit in the favour that excites his gratitude. But how far is this from being true? As, in such instances as these, which, I presume, none will deny to be possible, or unlike to any thing that

ever happens among mankind. A ship's crew enter into a conspiracy against the master, to murder him, and run away with the ship, and turn pirates: but before they bring their matters to a ripencis for execution, one of them repents, and opens the whole defign; whereupon the rest are apprehended and brought to justice. The crew are enraged with him that has betray'd them, and earnestly seek opportunity to revenge themselves upon him -----And for an instance of gratitudo, a gang of robbers that have long infested the neighbouring country, have a particular house whither they refort, and where they meet from time to time, to divide their booty or prey, and hold their confultations for carrying on their pernicious designs. The magistrates and officers of the country, after many fruitless endeavours to discover their secret haunt and place of resort, at length by fome means are well informed where it is. and are prepared with sufficient force to surprize them, and fieze them all, at the place of rendezvous, at an hour appointed when they understand they will all be there. A litale before the arrival of the appointed hour, while the officers with their bands are approaching, some person is so kind to these robbers, as to give them notice of their danger, to as just to give them opportunity to escape. They are thankful to him, and give him a handful of money for his kindness. --- Now in such instances, I think, it is plain, that there is no supposition of a public injury in that which is the occasion of their anger; yea, they know the contrary. Nor is there any supposition of public good in that which excites their gratitude; neither has publick benevolence, or moral fense, consisting in a determination to approve of what is for the public good, any influence at all in the affair. And though there be some affection, befides a fense of uniformity and proportion, that has influence in fuch anger and gratitude, it is not public affection or benevolence, but private affection; yea, that affection which is to the highest degree private, confisting in a man's love of his own person.

5. The passion of anger, in particular, seems to have been unluckily chosen as a medium to prove a sense and determination to delight in virtue, confishing in benevolence, matural to all mankind.

For, if that moral fense which is exercised in anger, were that which arose from a benevolent temper of heart, being no other than a sense or relish of the beauty of benevolence, one would think, a disposition to anger should increase, at least in some proportion, as a man had more of a sweet, benign, and benevolent temper: which seems something disagreable to reason, as well as contrary to experience, which shews that the less men have of benevolence, and the more they have of a contrary temper, the more are they disposed to anger and deep resentment of injuries.

And though gratitude be that which many speak of as a certain noble principle of virtue, which God has implanted in the hearts of all mankind; and though it be true, there is a gratitude, that is truly virtuous, and the want of gratitude, or an ungrateful temper, is truly vicious, and argues an abominable depravity of heart (as I may have particular occasion to shew afterwards) yet I think, what has been observed, may serve to convince such as impartially consider it, not only that not all anger, or hating those which hate us, but also that not all gratitude, or loving those which love us, arises from a truly virtuous benevolence of heart.

Another fort of affections, which may be properly refer'd to felf-love, as its source, and which might be expected to be the fruit of it, according to the general analogy of nature's laws, is affections to fuch as are near to us by the ties of nature; that we look upon as those whose Beings we have been the occasions of, and that we have a very peculiar propriety in, and whose circumstances, even from the first beginning of their existence, do many ways lead them, as it were necessarily, to an high esteem of us, and to treat us with great dependence, submission and compliance; and whom the constitution of the world makes to be united in interest, and accordingly to act as one in innumerable affairs, with a communion in each other's affections, desires, cares, friendships, enmities, and pursuits. Which is the case of men's affection to their children.-And in like manner felf-love will also beget in a man some degree of affections towards others, with whom he has connection in any degree parallel. --- As to the opinion of those that ascribe the natural affection there is between parents and children, to a particular instinct of nature, I shall take notice of it afterwards.

AND as men may love persons and things from self-love, fo may love to qualities and characters arise from the same Some represent as though there were need of a great degree of metaphyfical refining, to make it out, that men approve of others from felf-love, whom they hear of at a distance, or read of in history, or see represented on the stage, from whom they expect no profit or advantage. But perhaps it is not confidered, that what we approve of in the first place, is the character; and from the character we approve the person. And is it a strange thing, that men should from self-love like a temper or character, which in its nature and tendency falls in with the nature and tendency of felf-love; and which, we know by experience and felf-evidence, without metaphyfical refining, in the general tends to men's pleasure and benefit? - And on the contrary, should dislike what they see tends to men's pain and mifery? --- Is there need of a great degree of fubtilty and abstraction, to make it out, that a child, which has heard and feen much, strongly to fix an idea, of the pernicious deadly nature of the rattle-snake, should have averfion to that species or form, from self-love; so as to have a degree of this aversion and disgust excited by seeing even the picture of that animal? And that from the same selflove it should be pleased and entertain'd with a lively figure and representation of some pleasant fruit, which it has often tasted the sweetness of? or, with the image of some bird, which, it has always been told, is innocent, and whose pleasant singing it has often been entertain'd with? Though, the child neither fears being bitten by the picture of the snake, nor expects to eat of the painted fruit, or to hear the figure of the bird fing. I suppose none, will think it difficult to allow, that such an approbation or disgust of a child may be accounted for from its natural delight in the pleasures of taste and hearing, and its aversion to pain and death, through felf-love, together with the habitual connection of these agreeable or terrible ideas with the form and qualities of these objects, the ideas of which are impressed on the mind of the child by their images.

X 2

AND

And where is the difficulty of allowing, that a child or man may hate the general character of a spiteful and malicious man, for the like reason as he hates the general nature of a serpent; knowing, from reason, instruction and experience, that malice in men is pernicious to mankind, as well as spite or poison in a serpent? And if a man may from self-love disapprove the vices of malice, eavy, and others of that sort, which naturally tend to the hurt of mankind, why may he not from the same principle approve the contrary virtues of meekness, peaceableness, benevolence, charity, generosity, justice, and the social virtues in general; which, he as easily and clearly knows, naturally tend to the good of mankind?

'Tis undoubtedly true, that some have a love to these virtues from a higher principle. But yet I think it as certainly true, that there is generally in mankind, a fort of approbation of them, which arises from self-love.

BESIDES what has been already faid, the fame thing further appears from this; that men commonly are most affected towards, and do most highly approve, those virtues which agree with their interest most, according to their various conditions in life. We see that persons of low condition are especially, enamour'd with a condescending, accessible, affable temper in the great; not only in those whole condescention has been exercised towards themfelves; but they will be peculiarly taken with such a character when they have accounts of it from others, or when they meet with it in history, or even in romance. — The poor will most highly approve and commend liberality.---The weaker fex, who especially need assistance and protection, will peculiarly effeem and applaud fortitude and generosity in those of the other sex, they read or hear of, or have represented to them on a stage.

As I think it plain from what has been observed, that men may approve, and be disposed to commend a besevolent temper, from self-love, so the higher the degree of benevolence is, the more may they approve of it. Which will account for some kind of approbation, from this principle, even of love to enemies; viz. as a man's loving his enemies

157

enemies is an evidence of a high degree of benevolence of temper;—the degree of it appearing from the obstacles it overcomes.

And it may be here observed, that the consideration of the tendency and influence of self-love may shew, how men in general may approve of justice from another ground, besides that approbation of the secondary beauty there is in uniformity and proportion, which is natural to all. Men from their infancy see the necessity of it, not only that it is necessary for others, or for human society; but they find the necessity of it for themselves, in instances that continually occur; which tends to prejudice them in its savor, and to fix an habitual approbation of it from self-love.

AND again, that forementioned approbation of justice and defert, arising from a sense of the beauty of natural agreement and proportion, will have a kind of reflex, and indirect influence to cause men to approve benevolence, and disapprove malice; as men see that he who hates and injures others, deserves to be hated and punished, and that he who is benevolent, and loves others, and does them good, deserves himself also to be loved & rewarded by others, as they fee the natural congruity or agreement and mutual adaptedness of these things. And having always seen this, malevolence becomes habitually connected in the mind with the idea of being hated and punished, which is difagreable to felf-love; and the idea of benevolence is habitually connected and affociated with the idea of being loved and rewarded by others, which is grateful to felf-love. And by virtue of this affociation of ideas, benevolence itfelf becomes grateful, and the contrary displeasing.

Some vices may become in a degree odious by the influence of felf-love, thro' an habitual connection of ideas of contempt with it; contempt being what felf-love abhors. So it may often be with drunkenness, gluttony, sottishness, cowardice, sloth, niggardliness.—The idea of contempt becomes afficiated with the idea of such vices, both because we are used to observe that these things are commonly objects of contempt, and also find that they excite contempt in ourselves.—Some of them appear marks of littleness,

The Nature of true Virtue. CHAP. V.

1. c. of small abilities, and weakness of mind, and insufficiency for any considerable effects among mankind.—By others, men's influence is contracted into a narrow sphere, and by such means persons become of less importance, and more insignificant among mankind. And things of little importance are naturally little accounted of.—And some of these ill qualities are such as mankind find it their interest to treat with contempt, as they are very hurtful to human society.

THERE are no particular meral virtues whatsoever, but what in some or other of these ways, & most of them in several of these ways, come to have some kind of approbation from self love, without the influence of a truly virtuous principle; nor any particular vices, but what by the same means meet with some disapprobation.

This kind of approbation and dislike, thro' the joynt-influence of self-love and association of ideas, is in very many vastly heightned by education; as this is the means of a strong, close, and almost irrefragable association, in innumerable instances, of ideas which have no connexion any other way than by education; and of greatly strengthning that association, or connexion, which persons are led into by other means: as any one would be convinced, perhaps more effectually than in most other ways, if they had opportunity of any considerable acquaintance with American savages and their children.

CHAP. V.

Of natural conscience, and the moral sense.

THERE is yet another disposition or principle, of great importance, natural to mankind; which, if we consider the confishence and harmony of nature's laws, may also be look'd upon as in some fort arising from self-love, or self-union: and that is a disposition in man to be uneasy in a consciousness of being inconsistent with himself, and as it were, against himself, in his own actions. This appears particularly in the inclination

of the mind to be uneasy in the consciousness of doing that to others, which he should be angry with them for doing to him, if they were in his case, and he in theirs; or, of forbearing to do that to them, which he would be displeased with them for neglecting to do to him.

I HAVE observed from time to time, that in pure love to others (i. e. love not ariting from felf-love) there's an union of the heart with others: a kind of enlargement of the mind, whereby it fo extends itself as to take others into a man's self: and therefore it implies a disposition to feel, to defire, and to act as tho' others were one with ourselves. So, felf-love implies an inclination to feel and act as one with ourselves: which naturally renders a sensible inconfiftence with ourselves, and self-opposition, in what we ourfelves chuse and do, to be uneasy to the mind: which will cause uneasiness of mind to be the consequence of a malevolent and unjust behaviour towards others and a kind of disapprobation of acts of this nature, and an approbation of the contrary. To do that to another, which we should be angry with him for doing to us, and to hate a person for doing that to us, which we should incline to and insist on doing to him, if we were exactly in the same case, is to dilagree with ourielves, and contradist ourselves. It would be, for ourselves both to chuse and adhere to, and yet to refuse and utterly reject, as it were the very same thing. No wonder, this is contrary to nature. No wonder, that fuch a felf-opposition, and inward war with a man's felf, naturally begets unquietness, and raises disturbance in his mind.

A THUS approving of actions, because we therein act as in agreement with ourselves, or as one with ourselves,—and a thus disapproving and being uneasy in the consciousness of disagreeing as d being inconsistent with ourselves in what we do,—is quite a different thing from approving or disapproving actions because in them we agree and are united with Being in general: which is loving or hating actions from a sense of the primary beauty of true virtue, and odiousness of sin.—The sormer of these principles is private: the latter is public and truly benevolent in the highest sense. The sormer (i. e. an inclination to agree with

200

with ourselves) is a natural principle: but the latter (i. c. an agreement or union of heart to the great system, and to God, the head of it, who is all and all in it) is a divine principle.

In that uneafiness now mentioned, confists very much of that inward trouble men have from reflections of conscience: and when they are free from this uneafiness, and are conscious to themselves, that in what they have acted towards others, they have done the fame which they should have expected from them in the same case, then they have what is called peace of conscience, with respect to these actions. And there is also an approbation of consciences of the conduct of others towards ourselves. As when we are blamed, condemned, or punished by them, and are confcious to ourselves that if we were in their case, and they in ours, we should in like manner, blame, condemn, and punish them. And thus men's consciences may justify God's anger and condemnation. When they have the ideas of God's greatness, their relation to him, the benefits they have received from him, the manifestations he has made of his will to them, &c. ftrongly impressed on their minds, a consciousness is excited within them of those refentments, which would be occasion'd in themselves by an injurious treatment in any wife parallel.

THERE is fuch a consciousness as this oftentimes within men, imply'd in the thoughts and views of the mind, which perhaps on reflection they could hardly give an account of. Unless men's consciences are greatly stupify'd, it is naturally and necessarily suggested; and does habitually, spontaneously, instantaneously, and as it were insensibly arise in the mind. And the more so for this reason, viz. that we have not, nor ever had from our infancy, any other way to conceive of any thing which other persons act or fuffer, or of any thing about intelligent, moral agents, but by recalling and exciting the ideas of what we ourselves are conscious of in the acts, passions, sensations, volitions, &c. which we have found in our own minds; and by putting the ideas which we obtain by this means, in the place of another; or as it were substituting ourselves in their place. Thus, we have no conception, in any degree, what understanding,

understanding, perception, love, pleasure, pain, or desire are in others, but by putting ourselves as it were in their Read, or transferring the ideas we obtain of such things in our own minds by consciousness, into their place; making such an alteration, as to degree and circumstances, as what we observe of them requires. 'I is thus in all moral things that we conceive of in others, which are all mental, and not corporeal things; and every thing that we conceive of, belonging to others, more than shape, fize, complexion, fituation, and motion of their bodies. And this is the only way that we come to be capable of having ideas of any perception or act even of the Godhead. We never could have any notion what understanding or volition, love or hatred are, either in created spirits or in God, if we had never experienced what understanding and volition, love and hatred are in our own minds. Knowing what they are by consciousness, we can add degrees, and deny limits, and remove changeableness and other impersections, and ascribe them to God. Which is the only way we come to be capable of conceiving of any thing in the Deity.

But though it be so, that men in thinking of others do as it were put themselves in their place, they do it so naturally, or rather habitually, inftantaneously, and without set purpose, that they do it insensibly, and can scarce give any account of it, and many would think strange if they were told of it. So it may be in men's substituting themfelves in others place in such exercises of conscience as have been spoken of: and the former substitution leads to the latter, in one whose conscience is not greatly stupisfied. For in all his thoughts of the other person, in whatever he apprehends of conceives of his moral conduct to others or so himself, if it be in loving or hating him, approving or condemning him, rewarding or punishing him, he necesfarily as it were puts himself in his stead, for the foremensioned reason; and therefore the more naturally, easily and quietly sees whether he being in his place should approve or condemn, be angry or pleased as he is.

Natural

162

CHAP. V.

Natural conscience consists in these two things.

I. In that which has now been spoken of: that dispofition to approve or disapprove the moral treatment which passes between us and others, from a determination of the mind to be easy, or uneasy, in a consciousness of our being confistent, or inconfistent with ourselves. Hereby we have a disposition to approve our own treatment of another, when we are conscious to ourselves that we treat him so as we should expect to be treated by him, were he in our case and we in his; and to disapprove of our own treatment of another, when we are conscious that we should be displeased, with the like treatment from him, if we were in his case. So we in our consciences approve of another's treatment of us, if we are conscious to ourselves, that if we were in his case, and he in ours, we should think it just to treat him as he treats us; and disapprove his treatment of us, when we are conscious that we should think it unjust, if we were in his case. Thus men's consciences approve or disapprove the sentence of their judge, by which they are acquitted or condemned. But this is not all that is in natural conscience. Besides this approving or disapproving from uneafiness as being inconfistent with ourselves, there is another thing that must preceed it, and be the foundation of it. As for instance, when my conscience disapproves my own treatment of another, being conscious to myself, that were I in his case, I should be displeased and angry with him for so treating me, the question might be asked, but what would be the ground of that supposed disapprobation, displeasure and anger, which I am conscious would be in me in that case? That disapprobation must be on some other grounds.

Therefore,

2. THE other thing which belongs to the approbation or disapprobation of natural conscience, is the sense of defert, which was spoken of before: consisting, as was obferved, in a natural agreement, proportion and harmony between malevolence or injury and refentment and punishment; or between loving and being loved, between shewing kindness and being rewarded, &c. Both these kinds

of approving or disapproving concur in the approbation or disapprobation of conscience: the one sounded on the other. Thus, when a man's conscience disapproves of his treatment of his neighbour, in the first place he is conscious that if he were in his neighbours stead, he should resent such treatment, from a sense of justice, or from a sense of uniformity and equality between such treatment and resentment and punishment; as before explained. And then in the next place he perceives, that therefore he is not consistent with himself, in doing what he himself should resent in that case; and hence disapproves it, as being naturally averse to opposition to himself.

APPROBATION and disapprobation of conscience, in the fense now explained, will extend to all virtue and vice; to every thing whatfoever that is morally good or evil, in a mind which does not confine its view to a private sphere, but will take things in general into its confideration, & is free from speculative error. For, as all virtue or moral good may be resolved into love to others, either God or creatures, so men eafily see the uniformity and natural agreement there is between loving others, and being accepted and favored by others. And all vice, fin, or moral evil fummarily consisting in the want of this love to others, or in the contrary, viz. hatred or malevolence, fo men eafily fee the natural agreement there is between hating and doing ill to others, and being hated by them and fuffering ill from them, or from him that acts for all and has the care of the whole System. And as this sense of equality and natural agreement extends to all moral good and evil, fo this lays a foundation of an equal extent with the other kind of approbation and disapprobation, which is grounded upon it, arifing from an aversion to self-inconsistence and opposition. For in all cases of benevolence or the contrary towards others, we are capable of putting ourselves in the place of others, and are naturally led to do it, and so of reflecting, or being conscious to ourselves, how we should like or dislike fuch treatment from others. Thus natural conscience, if the understanding be properly enlightned, and errors and blinding stupifying prejudices are removed, concurs with the law of God, and is of equal extent with it, and joins its voice with it in every article.

AND

AND thus, in particular, we may fee in what respect this hatural conscience that has been described, extends to true virtue, confifting in union of heart to Being in general, and supreme love to God. For, altho' it sees not, or rather does not taite its primary and effential beauty, i. e. it taftes no fweetness in benevolence to Being in general, fimply confidered, or loves it not for Being in general's fake (for nothing but general benevolence itself can do that) yet this natural conference, common to mankind, may approve of it from that uniformity, equality and justice, which there is in it, and the dement which is feen in the contrary, confilling in the natural agreement between the contrary and being hated of Being in general. Men by natural confcience may see the justice (or natural agreement) there is in vieiding all to God, as we receive all from God; and the justice there is in being his that has made us, and being willingly fo, which is the same as being dependent on his will, and conformed to his will in the manner of our Being, as we are for our Being itself, and in the conformity of our will to his will, on whose will we are universally and most perfectly dependent; and also the justice there is in our supreme love to God, from his goodness, the natural agreement there is between our having supreme respect to him who exercises infinite goodness to us, and from whom we receive all well-being. — Besides that disagreement and discord appears worse to natural sense (as was observed before) in things nearly related and of great importance: and therefore it must appear very ill, as it respects the infinite Being, and in that infinitely great relation which there is between the creator and his creatures. - And 'tis easy to conceive how that fense which is in natural conscience, should see the desert of punishment, which there is in the confrary of true virtue, viz. opposition and enmity to Being in general. For, this is only to see the natural agreement there is between opposing Being in general, and being oppoled by Being in general; with a consciousness how that If we were infinitely great, we should expect to be regarded according to our greatness, and should proportionably resent contempt. Thus natural conscience, it well inform'd, will approve of true virtue, and will disapprove and condemn the want of it, and opposition to it; and yet without feeing the true beauty of it. Yea, if men's consciences were fully enlightned

Way

enlightned, if they were delivered from being confined to a private sphere, and brought to view and consider things in general, and deliver'd from being stupefy'd by sensual objects and appetites, as they will be at the day of judgment, they would approve nothing but true virtue, nothing but general benevolence, and those affections and actions that are consistent with it, and subordinate to it. For they must see that consent to Being in general, and supreme respect to the Being of Beings, is most just; and that every thing which is incomi tent with it, and interferes with it, or slows from the want of it, is unjust, and deserves the opposition of universal existence.

Thus has God established and ordered, that this principle of natural conscience, which though it implies no such thing as actual benevelence to Being in general, nor any delight in such a principle, simply consider'd, and so implies no truly spiritual tense or virtuous taste, yet should approve and condemn the same things that are approved and condemned by a spiritual sense or virtuous taste.

THAT moral sense which is natural to mankind, so far as it is difintereited, and not founded in affociation of ideas, is the same with this natural conscience that has been deferibed. The sense of moral good and evil, and that dispefition to approve virtue, and disapprove vice, which men have by natural conscience, is that moral sense, so much infilted on in the writings of many of late: a misunderstanding of which seems to have been the thing that has missed those moralists who have insisted on a disinterested moral fense, universal in the world of mankind, as an evidence of a disposition to true virtue, consisting in a benevelent temper, naturally implanted in the minds of all men. Some of the arguments made use of by these writers, do indeed prove that there is a moral fense or taste, universal among men, distinct from what arises from felf-love. Though I humb'y conceive, there is some confusionin their discourses on the subject, and not a proper distinction observed in the instances of men's approbation of virtue, which they produce. Some of which are not to their purpose, being instances of that approbation of virtue. that was described, which arises from self-love. But other instances

instances prove that there is a moral taste, or sense of moral good and evil, natural to all, which don't properly arise from self-love. Yet I conceive there are no instances of this kind which may not be refer'd to natural conscience, and particularly to that which I have observed to be primary in the approbation of natural conscience, viz. a sense of desert and approbation of that natural agreement there is, in manner and measure, in justice. But I think it is plain from what has been said, that neither this, nor any thing else wherein consists the sense of moral good and evil, which there is in natural conscience, is of the nature of a truly virtuous taste, or determination of mind to relish and delight in the essential beauty of true virtue, arising from a virtuous benevolence of heart.

But it further appears from this—If the approbation of conscience were the same with the approbation of the inclination of the heart, or the natural disposition and determination of the mind, to love and be pleafed with virtue, then approbation and condemnation of conscience would always be in proportion to the virtueus temper of the mind; or rather, the degree would be just the same. In that person who had a high degree of a virtuous temper, therefore, the testimony of conscience in favor of virtue would be qually full: But he that had but little, would have as little a degree of the testimony of conscience for virtue, & against vice. But, I think, the case is evidently otherwise. Some men, thro' the strength of vice in their hearts, will go on in sin against clearer light and stronger convictions of conscience, than others. If conscience's approving duty and disapproving fin, were the same thing as the exercise of a virtnous principle of the heart, in loving duty and hating fin, then remorfe of confcience will be the same thing as repentance: and just in the same degree as the sinner feels remorse of conscience for sin, in the same degree is his heart turned from the love of fin to the hatred of it, inasmuch as they are the very fame thing.

CHRISTIANS have the greatest reason to believe, from the scriptures, that in the suture day of the revelation of the righteous judgment of God, when sinners shall be call'd to answer before their judge, and all their wickedness, in all

its aggravations, brought forth, and clearly manifested in the perfect light of that day, and God will reprove them, and fet their fins in order before them, their contciences will be greatly awakened and convinced, their mouths will be stopped, all stupidity of conscience will be at an end, and conscience will have its full exercise: and therefore their consciences will approve the dreadful sentence of the judge against them, and seeing that they have deserved so great a punishment, will join with the judge in condemning them. And this, according to the notion I am opposing, would be the same thing as their being brought to the fullest repentance; their hearts being perfectly changed to hate fin and love holiness; and virtue or holiness of heart in them will be brought to the most full and perfect exercise. But how much otherwise, have we reason to suppose, it will then be? viz. That the fin and wickedness of their heart will come to its highest dominion and compleatest exercise; that they shall be wholly left of God, and given up to their wickedness, even as the devils are! When God has done waiting on finners, and his spirit done striving with them, he will not restrain their wickedness, as he does now. But fin shall then rage in their hearts, as a fire no longer restrained or kept under. 'Tis proper for a judge when he condemns a criminal, to endeavour so to set his guilt before him as to convince his conscience of the justice of the sen-I his the almighty will do effectually, and do to perfection, so as most thoro'ly to awaken and convince the conscience. But it natural conscience, and the disposition of the heart to be pleafed with virtue, were the fame, then at the same time that the conscience was brought to its perfect exercise, the heart would be made perfectly holy; or, would have the exercise of true virtue and holiness in perfect benevolence of temper. But instead of this, their wickedness will then be brought to perfection, and wieked men will become very devils, and accordingly will be fent away as curfed into everlasting fire prepared for the devil and his angels.

But supposing natural conscience to be what has been described, all these difficulties and absurdities are wholly avoided. Sinners, when they see the greatness of the Being, whom they have lived in contempt of, and in rebelli-

The Nature of true Virtue. CHAP.VI.

on and opposition to, and have clearly set before them their. obligations to him, as their creator, preserver, benefactor, &c. together with the degree in which they have acted as enemies to him, may have a clear sense of the desert of their fin, confifting in the natural agreement there is besween fuch contempt and opposition of such a Being, and his despising and opposing them; between their being and acting as so great enemies to such a God, and their suffering the dreadful consequences of his being & acting as their great enemy: and their being conscious within themselves of the degree of anger, which would naturally arise in their own hearts in such a case, it they were in the place and state of their judge. In order to these things there is no need of a virtuous benevolent temper, relishing and delighting in benevolence, and loathing the contrary. conscience may see the natural agreement between oppofing and being opposed, between hating and being hated, without abhorring malevolence from a benevolent temper of mind, or without loving God from a view of the besuty of his holiness. These things have no necessary dependence one on the other.

CHAP. VI.

Of particular instincts of nature, which in some respects resemble virtue.

THERE are various dispositions and inclinations natural to men, which depend on particular laws of nature, determining their minds to certain affections and actions towards particular objects; which laws seem to be established chiefly for the preservation of mankind, tho' not only for this, but also for their comfortably subsisting in the world. Which dispositions may be called instincts.

Some of these instincts respect only ourselves personally: such are many of our natural appetites and aversions. Some of them are not wholly personal, but more social, and extend to others: such are the mutual inclinations between

the

the fexes, &c. Some of these dispositions are more external and fenfitive: fuch are some of our natural inclinations that are personal; as those that relate to meat and And of this fort also are some dispositions that are more focial, and in some respects extend to others: as, the more fensitive inclinations of the sexes towards each other. Besides these instincts of the sensitive kind, there are others that are more internal and mental: confifting in affections of the mind, which mankind naturally exercise towards some of their fellow-creatures, or in some cases towards men in general. Some of these instincts that are mental and focial, are what may be called kind affections; as having something in them of benevolence, or a resemblence of it. And others are of a different fort, having something in them that carries an angry appearance; such as the passion of jealousy between the sexes, especially in the male towards the female.

'Trs only the former of these two last mentioned sorts. that it is to my purpose to consider in this place, viz those natural instincts which appear in benevolent affections, or which have the appearence of benevolence, and fo in some respects resemble virtue. These I shall therefore consider; and thall endeavour to thew that none of them can be of the nature of true virtue.

THAT kind affection which is exercised towards those who are near one to another in natural relation, particularly the love of parents to their children, called natural affection, is by many refer'd to inflinct. I have already confidered this fort of love as an affection that arises from felf-love; and in that view, and in that supposition have shewn, it cannot be of the nature of true virtue. But if any think, that natural affection is more properly to be refer'd to a particular instinct of nature, than to felf-love, as its cause, I shall not think it a point worthy of any controverfy or dispute. In my opinion, both are true; viz. that natural affection is owing to natural inflinct, and also that it arises from self-love. It may be faid to arise from instinct, as it depends on a law of nature. But yet it may be truly reckoned as an affection arising from felf-love; because, tho' it arises from a law of nature, yet that is such a law as according

according to the order and harmony every where observed among the laws of nature, is connected with, and follows from felf-love; as was shewn before. However, it is not necessary to my present purpose, to insist on this. For if it be for that natural affection to a man's children or family, or near relations, is not properly to be afcribed to felf-love, as its cause, in any respect, but is to be esteemed an affection arifing from a particular independent instinct of nature, which the creator in his wildom has implanted in men for the preservation and well-being of the world of mankind, yet it cannot be of the nature of true virtue. For it has been observed, and I humbly conceive, proved before (chap. II.) that if any Being or Beings have by natural inflinct, or any other means, a determination of mind to benevolence, extending only to fome particular persons or private system, however large that system may be, or however great a number of individuals it may contain, so long as it contains but an infinitely small part of universal ex-Estence, and so bears no proportion to this great and univer-Sal system, fuch limited private benevolence, not arifing from, nor being subordinate to benevolence to Being in general, cannot have the nature of true virtue.

However, it may not be amiss briefly to observe now, that 'tis evident to a demonstration, those affections cannot be of the nature of true virtue, from these two things.

First, That they don't arise from a principle of virtue.—A principle of virtue, I think, is own'd by the most considerable of late writers on morality to be general benevolence or public affection: and I think it has been proved to be union of heart to Being simply considered; which implies a disposition to benevolence to Being in general. Now by the supposition, the affections we are speaking of do not arise from this principle; and that, whether we suppose they arise from self-love, or from particular instincts: because either of those sources is diverse from a principle of general benevolence. And,

Secondly, These private affections, if they do not arise from general benevolence, & they are not connected with it in their first existence, have no tendency to produce it. This appears

pears from what has been observed: for being not dependent on it, their detach'd and unsubordinate operation rather tends to, and implies opposition to Being in general, than general benevolence; as every one secs and owns with respect to self-love. And there are the very same reasons why any other private affection, confined to limits infinitely short of universal existence, should have that influence, as well as love that is confined to a single person.—Now upon the whole, nothing can be plainer than that affections which don't arise from a virtuous principle, and have no tendency to true virtue, as their effect, cannot be of the nature of true virtue.

For the reasons which have been given, it is undeniably true, that if persons by any means come to have a benevolent affection limited to a party that is very large, or to the country or nation in general, of which they are a part, or the public community they belong to, tho' it be as large as the Roman empire was of old, yea, if there could be an instinct or other cause determining a person to benevolence towards the whole world of mankind, or even all created sensible natures throughout the universe, exclusive of union of heart to general existence and of love to God, nor derived from that temper of mind which disposes to a supreme regard to him, nor subordinate to such divine love, it cannot be of the nature of true virtue.

Ir what is called natural affection, arises from a particular natural instinct, so, much more indisputably, does that mutual affection which naturally arises between the sexes. I agree with Hutcheson and Hume in this, that there is a foundation laid in nature for kind affections between the sexes, that are truly diverse from all inclinations to sensitive pleasure, and don't properly arise from any such inclination. There is doubtless a disposition both to a mutual benevolence and mutual complacence, that are not naturally and necessarily connected with any sensitive desires. But yet its manifest such affections as are limited to opposite sexes, are from a particular instinct, thus directing a handing them, and not arising from a principle of general benevolence; for this has no tendency to any such limitation. And the these affections don't properly arise from the sensitive de-

fires which are between the fexes, yet they are implanted by the author of nature chiefly for the same purpose, viz. the prefervation or continuation of the world of mankinds to make persons willing to forsake fatter and mother, and all their natural relations in the families where they were born and brought up, for the fake of a stated union with a companion of the other fex, and to dispose to that union in bearing and going through with that series of labours; anxieties, and pains requisite to the Being, support and education of a family of children. Tho' not only for these ends, but partly also for the comfort of mankind as united in a marriage-relation. But I suppose, few (if any) will deny, that the peculiar natural dispositions there are to mutual affection between the fexes, aiife from an instinct or particular law of nature. And therefore it is manifest from what has been faid already, that those natural dispositions cannot be of the nature of true virtue.

ANOTHER affection which is owing to a particular inflinct, implanted in men for like purposes with other instincts, is that pity which is natural to mankind, when they fee others in great distress. -- 'Tis acknowledged, that fuch an affection is natural to mankind. But I think it evident, that the pity which is general and natural, is owing to a particular instinct, and is not of the nature of true virtue. I am far from faying, that there is no fuch thing as a truly virtuous pity among mankind. For I am far from thinking, that all the pity or mercy which is any where to be found among them, arises meerly from natural instinct, or, that none is to be found, which arises from that truly virtuous divine principle of general benevolence to fensitive Beings: Yet at the same time I think, this is not the case with all pity, or with that disposition to pity which is natural to mankind in common. I think I may be bold to fay, this does not arise from general benevolence, nor is truly of the nature of benevolence, or properly called by that name.

If all that uneafiness on the sight of others extreme diftress, which we call pity, were properly of the nature of benevolence, then they who are the subjects of this passion, must needs be in a degree of uneasiness in being tensible of

the

the total want of happiness, of all such as they would be disposed to pity in extreme distress. For that certainly is the most direct tendency and operation of benevolence or good-will, to defire the happiness of its object. But now this is not the case universally, where men are disposed to exercise pity. There are many men, with whom that is the case in respect to some others in the world, that it would not be the occasion of their being sensibly affected with any uneafiness, to know they were dead (yea men who are not influenced by the confideration of a future state, but view death as only a ceffation of all fenfibility, and confequently an end of all happiness) who yet would have been moved with pity towards the fame persons, if they had seen them under some very extreme anguish. Some mea would be moved with pity by feeing a brute-creature under extreme and long torments, who yet suffer no uneafiness in knowing that many thousands of them every day cease to live, and so have an end put to all their pleasure, at butchers shambles in great cities. Tis the nature of true benevolence to defire and rejoice in the prosperity and pleafure of the object of it; and that, in some proportion to its degree of prevalence. But persons may greatly pity those that are in extreme pain,, whose positive pleasure they may still be very indifferent about. In this case, a man may be much moved and affected with uneafiness, who vet would be affected with no fenfible joy in feeing figns of the fame person's or Being's enjoyment of very high degrees of pleasure.

YEA, pity may not only be without benevolence, but may confift with true malevolence, or with such ill-will as shall cause men not only not to desire the positive happiness of another, but even to desire his calamity. They may pity such an one when his calamity goes beyond their hatred. A man may have true malevolence towards another, desiring no positive good for him, but evil: and yet his hatred not be infinite, but only to a certain degree. And when he sees the person whom he thus hates, in misery far beyond his ill-will, he may then pity him: because then the natural instinct begins to operate. For malevolence will not overcome the natural instinct, inclining to pity others in extreme calamity, any surther than it goes, or to the

limits of the degree of misery it wishes to its object. Men may pity others under exquisite torment, when yet they would have been grieved if they had seen their prosperity. And some men have such a grudge against one or another, that they would be far from being uneasy at their very death, nay, would even be glad of it. And when this is the case with them, 'tis manifest that their heart is void of benevolence towards such persons, and under the power of malevolence. Yet at the same time they are capable of pitying even these very persons, if they should see them under a degree of misery very much disproportioned to their ill-will.

THESE things may convince us that natural pity is of a nature very different from true virtue, and not arising from a disposition of heart to general benevolence: but is owing to a particular instinct, which the creator has implanted in mankind, for the same purposes as most other instincts, viz. chiefly for the prefervation of mankind, though not exclusive of their well-being. The giving of this instinct is the fruit of God's mercy, and an instance of his love of the world of mankind, & an evidence that though the world be so sinful, 'tis not God's defign to make it a world of punishment: and therefore has many ways made a merciful provision for men's relief in extreme calamities: and among others has given mankind in general adisposition to pity; the natural exercises whereof extend beyond those whom we are in a near connection with, especially in case of great calamity; because commonly in such cases men stand in need of the help of others beside their near friends, and because commonly those calamities which are extreme, without releif, tend to men's destruction. This may be given as the reason why men are so made by the author of nature, that they have no instinct inclining as much to rejoice at the fight of others great prosperity and pleasure, as to be grieved at their extreme calamity, viz. because they don't stand in equal necessity of fuch an instinct as that in order to their preservation. But if pure benevolence were the fource of natural pity, doubtless it would operate to as great a degree in congratulation, in cases of others great prosperity, as in compassion towards them in great mifery.

THE instincts God has given to mankind in this world, which in some respects resemble a virtuous benevolence, are agreable to the state that God designed mankind for here, where he intends their preservation, and comfortable subsistence. But in the world of punishment, where the state of the wicked inhabitants will be exceeding different, and God will have none of these merciful designs to answer, there, we have great reason to think, will be no such thing as a disposition to pity, in any case; as also there will be no natural affection toward near relations, and no mutual affection between opposite sexes.

To conclude what I have to fay on the natural instinct disposing men to pity others in misery, I would observe, that this is a source of a kind of abhorrence in men or some vices, as cruelty and oppression; and so, of a fort of approbation of the contrary virtues, hamanity. mercy, &c. Which aversion and approbation, however, so far as they arise from this cause only, are not from a principle of true virtue.

CHAP. VII.

The reasons why those things that have been mentioned, which have not the essence of virtue, have yet by many been mistaken for true virtue.

altho' they have not the specific and distinguishing nature and essence of virtue, yet they have something that belongs to the general nature of virtue. The general nature of true virtue is love. It is expressed both in love of benevolence and complacence; but primarily in benevolence to persons and Beings, and consequently and secondarily in complacence in virtue, as has been shewn. There is something of the general nature of virtue in those natural affections and principles that have been mentioned, in both those respects.

In many of these natural affections there is something of the appearance of love to persons. In some of them there appears the tendency and effect of benevolence, in part. Others have truly a sort of benevolence in them, tho' it be a private benevolence, and in several respects falls short of the extent of true virtuous benevolence, both in its nature and object.

THE last mentioned passion, natural to mankind in their present state, viz. that of pity to others in distress, the not properly of the nature of love, as has been demonstrated, yet has partly the same insluence and effect with benevolence. One effect of true benevolence is to cause persons to be uneasy, when the objects of it are in distress, and to desire their relief. And natural pity has the same effect.

NATURAL gratitude, tho' in every instance wherein it appears it is not properly called love, because persons may be moved with a degree of gratitude towards persons on certain occasions, whom they have no real and proper friendship for, as in the instance of Saul towards David. once and again, after David's sparing his life, when he had fo fair opportunity to kill him: yet it has the fame or like operation and effect with friendship, in part, for a season, and with regard to so much of the welfare of its object, as appears a deserved requital of kindness received. And in other inflances it may have a more general and abiding influence, so as more properly to be called by the name of love. So that many times men from natural gratitude do really with a fort of benevolence love those who love them. From this, together with some other natural principles, men may love their near friends, love their own party, love their country, &c.

THE natural disposition their is to mutual affection between the sexes, often operates by what may properly be called love. There is often times truly a kind both of benevolence and complacence. As there also is between parents and children.

Thus these things have something of the general nature of virtue, which is love; and especially the thing last mentioned

mentioned have fomething of a love of benevolence, What they are effentially defective in is, that they are private in their nature, they don't arise from any temper of benevolence to Being in general, nor have they a tendency to any fuch effect in their operation. But yet agreeing with virtue in its general nature, they are beautiful within their own private sphere: i. e. they appear beautiful if we confine our views to that private lystem, and while we shut all other things they stand in any relation to, out of our confideration. If that private system contain'd the sum of universal existence, then their benevolence would have true beauty; or, in other words, would be bezutiful, all things confidered: but now it is not fo. These private systems are so far from containing the sum of universal Being, or comprehending all existence which we stand related to, that it contains but an infinitely small part of it. The reason why men are so ready to take these private affections for true virtue, is the narrowness of their views; and above all, that they are so ready to leave the divine Being out of their view, and to neglect him in their confideration, or to regard him in their thoughts as tho' he were not properly belonging to the system of real existence, but as a kind of shadowy, imaginary Being, And tho' most men allow that there is a God, yet in their ordinary view of things, his Being is not apt to come into the account, and to have the influence and effect of a real existence, as 'tis with other Beings which they fee, and are conversant with by their external fenses. In their views of beauty and deformity, and in the inward fensations of displicence and approbation which rise in their minds,'tis not a thing natural to them to be under the influence of a view of the Deity, as part of the fystem, and as the head of the system, and he who is all in all, in comparison of whom all the rest is nothing, and with regard to whom all other things are to be viewed, and their minds to be accordingly impress'd and affected.

YEA, we are apt thro' the narrowness of our views, in judging of the beauty of affections and actions to limit our consideration to only a small part of the created system. When private affections extend themselves to a considerable number, we are very ready to look upon them as truly virtuous, and accordingly to applicate them highly.

Thus it is with respect to love to a large party, or a man's love to his country. For tho' his private system contains but a small part even of the world of mankind, yet being a confiderable number, thro' the contracted limits of the mind and the narrowness of his views, they are ready to fill his mind and engross his fight, and to seem as if they were all. Hence among the Romans love to their country was the highest virtue: tho' this affection of theirs, fo much extolled among them, was employ'd as it were for the destruction of the rest of the world of mankind .- The larger the number is that private affection extends to, the more apt men are, thro' the narrowness of their fight, to mistake it for true virtue; because then the private system appears to have more of the image of the universal system. Whereas, when the circle it extends to, is very small, it is not so apt to be look'd upon virtuous, or not so virtuous. As, a man's love to his own children ---

AND this is the reason why self-love is by nobody mistaken for true virtue. For tho' there be fomething of the general nature of virtue in this, here is love and good-will, yet the object is so private, the limits so narrow, that it by no means engrosses the view; unless it be of the person himself, who thro' the greatness of his pride may imagine himself as it were all. The minds of men are large enough to take in a vastly greater extent: and tho' self-love is far from being useless in the world, yez, 'tis exceeding necessary to society, besides its directly and greatly seeking the good of one, yet every body sees that if it be not subordinate to, and regulated by, another more extensive principle, it may make a man a common enemy to the fystem he is related to. And tho' this is as true of any other private affection, notwithstanding its extent may be to a system that contains thousands of individuals, and those private systems bear no greater proportion to the whole of universal existence, than one alone, yet they bear a greater proportion to the extent to the view and comprehension of men's minds, and are more apt to be regarded as if they were all, or at least as some resemblance of the universal system.

Thus I have observed how many of these natural principles, which have been spoken of, resemble virtue in its primary operation, which is benevolence, Many of them

also have a resemblance of it in its secondary operation, which is its approbation of and complacence in virtue itself. Several kinds of approbation of virtue have been taken notice of, as common to mankind, which are not of the nature of a truly virtuous approbation, confifting in a fenfe and relish of the essential beauty of virtue, confisting in a Being's cordial union to Being in general, from a spirit of love to Being in general. As particularly, the approbation of conscience, from a sense of the inferior and secon. dary beauty which there is in virtue, confifting in uniformity, and from a fense of desert, confisting in a sense of the natural agreement of loving and being beloved, shewing kindness and receiving kindness. So from the same principle, there is a disapprobation of vice, from a natural opposition to deformity and disproportion, and a sense of evil defert, or the natural agreement there is between hating and being hated, opposing and being opposed, &c. together with a painful fensation naturally arising in a sense of felf-oppofition and inconfiftence. — Approbation of conscience is the more readily mistaken for a truly virtuous approbation, because by the wife constitution of the great governor of the world (as was observed) when conscience is well informed. and thoroughly awakened, it agrees with the latter fully and exactly, as to the object approved, tho' not as to the ground and reason of approving. It approves all virtue, and condemns all vice. It approves true virtue, and indeed approves nothing that is against it, or that falls short of it; as was shewn before. And indeed natural conscience is implanted in all mankind, there to be as it were in God's stead, and to be an internal judge or rule to all, whereby to distinguish right and wrong.

It has also been observed, how that virtue, consisting in benevolence, is approved, and vice, consisting in ill-will, is disliked, from the influence of self-love, together with association of ideas, in the same manner as men dislike those qualities in things without life or reason, with which they have always connected the ideas of hurtfulness, malignancy, perniciousness; but like those things with which they habitually connect the ideas of profit, pleasantness, comfortableness, &c. This fort of approbation or liking of virtue, and dislike of vice, is easily mistaken for true virtue, not only because those things are approved by it that have the

nature of virtue, and the things disliked have the nature of vice, but because here is much of resemblance of virtuous approbation, it being complacence from love; the difference only lying in this, that it is not from love to Being in general, but from self-love.

THERE is also, as has been shewn, a liking of some virtues, and dislike of some vices, from the influence of the natural instinct of pity. This men are apt to mistake for the exercise of true virtue, on many accounts. Here is not only a kind of complacence, and the objects of complacence are what have the nature of virtue, and the virtues indeed very amiable, such as humanity, mercy, tenderness of heart; &c. and the contrary very odious; but besides, the approbation is not meerly from self-love, but from compassion, an affection that respects others, and resembles benevolence, as has been shewn.

ANOTHER reason, why the things which have been mentioned, are mistaken for true virtue, is, that there is indeed a true negative moral goodness in them. By a negative moral goodness, I mean the negation or absence of true moral evil. They have this negative moral goodness, because a being without them would be an evidence of a much greater moral evil. Thus, the exercise of natural conscience in such and such degrees, wherein appears such a measure of an awakening or fenfibility of conscience, tho it be not of the nature of real politive virtue or true moral goodness, yet has a negative moral goodness; because in the present state of things, it is an evidence of the absence of that higher degree of wickedness, which causes great insensibility or stupidity of conscience. For fin, as was observed, is not only against a spiritual and divine sense of virtue, but is also against the dictates of that "moral sense which its in natural conscience. No wonder, that this sense being long opposed and often conquered, grows weaker. All fin has its fource from selnshness, or from self-love, not subordinate to regard to Being in general. And natural confeience chiefly confifts in a fense of defert, or the natural agreement between fin and mifery. But if felf were indeed all, and fo more confiderable than all the world befides, there would be no ill defert in his regarding himfelf above all, and making all

other interests give place to private interest .--- And no wonder that men by long acting from the felfish principle, and by being habituated to treat themselves as if they were all, increase in pride, and come as it were naturally to look on themselves as all, and so to lose entirely the sense of ill defert in their making all other interests give place to their own. And no wonder that men by often repeating acts of fin, without punishment, or any visible appearance of approaching punishment, have less and less sense of the connection of fin with punishment. That sense which an awakned conscience has of the desert of fin, consists chiefly in a sense of its desert of resentment of the Deity, the fountain and head of universal existence. But no wonder that by a long continued worldly and fenfual life, men more and more lese all sense of the Deity, who is a spiritual and invisible Being. The mind being long involved in, and engross'd by senutive objects, becomes sensual in all its operations, and excludes all views and impressions of spiritual objects, and is unfit for their contemplation. Thus the conscience and general benevolence are entirely different principles, & sense of conscience differs from the holy complacence of a benevolent and truly virtuous heart. Yet wickedness may by long habitual exercise greatly diminish a fense of conscience. So that there may be negative moral goodness, in sensibility of conscience, as it may be an argument of the absence of that higher degree of wickedness, which causeth stupidity of conscience.

So with respect to natural gratitude, tho' there may be no virtue meerly in loving them that love us, yet the contrary may be an evidence of a great degree of depravity, as it may argue a higher degree of selfishness, so that a man is come to look upon himself as all, and others as nothing, and so their respect and kindness as nothing. Thus an increase of pride diminithes gratitude.—So does sensuality, or the increase of sensual appetites, & coming more and more under the power and impression of sensible objects, tends by degrees to make the mind insensible to any thing else; and those appetites take up the whole soul; and thro' habit and custom the water is all drawn out of other channels, in which it naturally flows, and is all carried as it were into one snamel.

In like manner natural affection, and natural pity, tho' not of the nature of virtue, yet may be diminished greatly by the increase of those two principles of pride and sensuality, and as the consequence of this, being habitually disposed to envy, malice, &c. These lusts when they prevail to a high degree may overcome and diminish the exercise of those natural principles: even as they often overcome and diminish common prudence in a man, as to seeking his own private interest, in point of health, wealth, or honor, and yet no one will think it proves that a man's being cunning, in seeking his own personal and temporal interest has any thing of the nature and essence of true virtue.

ANOTHER reason why these natural principles and asfections are mistaken for true virtue, is, that in several respects they have the same effect, which true virtue tends to; especially in these two ways——

- The present state of the world is so ordered and constituted by the wisdom and goodness of its supreme ruler, that these natural principles for the most part tend to the good of the world of mankind. So do natural pity, gratitude, parental affection, &c. Herein they agree with the tendency of general tenovolence, which seeks & tends to the general good. But this is no proof that these natural principles have the nature of true virtue. For self-love is a principle that is exceeding useful and necessary in the world of mankind. So are the natural appetites of hunger and thirst, &c. But yet nobody will affert, that these have the nature of true virtue.
- 2. These principles have a like effect with true virtue in this respect, that they tend several ways to restrain vice, and prevent many acts of wickedness.—So, natural affection, love to our party, or to particular friends, tends to keep us from acts of injustice towards these persons; which would be real wickedness—Pity preserves from cruelty, which would be real and great moral evil.—Natural conscience tends to restrain fin in general, in the present state of the world.—But neither can this prove these principles themselves to be of the nature of true virtue. For so is this present state of mankind ordered by a merciful

ciful God, that men's self-love does in innumerable respects restrain from acts of true wickedness; and not only so, but puts men upon seeking true virtue: yet is not itself true virtue, but is the source of all the wickedness that is in the world.

Another reason why these inferior affections especially fome of them, are accounted virtuous, is, that there are affections of the same denomination, which are truly virtuous, Thus, for instance, there is a truly virtuous pity, or a compassion to others under affliction or misery from general benevolence. Pure benevolence would be sufficient to excite pity to another in calamity, if there were no particular infinct, or any other principle determining the mind thereto. It is easy to see how benevolence, which seeks another's good fhould cause us to desire his deliverance from evil. And this is a fource of pity far more extensive than the other. It excites compassion in cases that are overlook'd by natural instinct. And even in those cases to which instinct extends. it mixes its influence with the natural principle, and guides and regulates its operations. And when this is the case, the pity which is exercised, may be called a virtuous compassion on. So there is a virtuous gratitude, or a gratitude that arises not only from self-love, but from a superior principle of difinterested general benevolence. As 'tis manifest, that when we receive kindness from such as we love already, we are more disposed to gratitude, and disposed to greater degrees of it, than when the mind is destitute of any such friendly prepoffession. Therefore, when the superior principle of virtuous love has a governing hand, and regulates the affair, it may be called a virtuous gratitude. - So there is a virtuous tove of justice, arising from pure benevolence to Being in general, as that naturally and necessarily inclines the heart, that every particular Being should have such a share of benevolence as is proportioned to its dignity, con-sisting in the degree of its Being, and the degree of its vir-Which is intirely diverse from an apprehension of justice, from a sense of the beauty of uniformity in variety: as has been particularly shewn already. And so it is easy to see how there may be a virtuous sense of desert different from what is natural and common. And so a virtuous conscienciousness, or a tanchisied conscience. And as when na.

tural offections have their operations mixed with the influence of virtuous benevolence, and are directed and determined hereby, they may be called virtuous, so there may be a virtuous love of parents to children, and between other near relatives, a virtuous love of our town, or country, or nation. Yez, and a virtuous love between the sexes, as there may be the influence of virtue mingled with instinct, and virtue may govern with regard to the particular manner of its of eration, and may guide it to such ends as are agreable to the great ends and purposes of true virtue.

Genuine virtue prevents that increase of the habits of pride and sensuality, which tend to over-bear and greatly diminish the exercises of the forementioned useful and necessary principles of nature. And a principle of general benevolence softens and sweetens the mind, and makes it more susceptible of the proper influence and exercise of the gentler natural instincts, and directs every one into its proper channel, and determines the exercise to the proper maniner and measure, and guides all to the best purposes.

CHAP. VIII.

In what respects virtue or moral good is sounded in sentiment; and how far it is sounded in the reason and nature of things.

THAT which is called virtue, is a certain kind of beau tiful nature, form or quality that is observed in things. That form or quality is called beautiful to any one beholding it to whom it is beautiful, which appears in itself agreable or comely to him, or the view or idea of which is immediately pleasant to the mind. I say, agreable in itself and immediately pleasant, to distinguish it from things which in themselves are not agreable nor pleasant, but either indifferent or disagreable, which yet appear eligible and agreable indirectly for something else that is the consequence of them, or with which they are connected. Such a kind of indirect agreableness or eligibleness in things, not for themselves, but

for some thing else, is not what is called beauty. But when a form or quality appears lovely, pleasing and delightful in itself, then it is called beautiful; and this agreableness or gratefulness of the idea is what is called beauty. It is evident therefore by this, that the way we come by the idea. or fensation or beauty, is by immediate fensation of the gratefulness of the idea called beautiful; and not by finding out by argumentation any confequences, or other things that it stands connected with; any more than tasting the sweetness of honey, or perceiving the harmony of a tune, is by argumentation on connections and confequences. And this manner of being affected with the immediate presence of the beautiful idea depends not, therefore, on any reasonings about the idea, after we have it, before we can find out whether it be beautiful, or not; but on the frame of our minds, whereby they are so made that such an idea, as soon as we have it, is grateful, or appears beautiful.

THEREFORE, if this be all that is meant by them who affirm, virtue is founded in fentiment and not in reason, that they who see the beauty there is in true virtue, don't perceive it by argumentation on its connexions and consequences, but by the frame of their own minds, or a certain spiritual sense given them of God, whereby they immediately perceive pleasure in the presence of the idea of true virtue in their minds, or are directly gratified in the view or consemplation of this object, this is certainly true.

But if thereby is meant, that the frame of mind, or inward sense given them by God, whereby the mind is dispofed to delight in the idea or view of true virtue, is given arbitrarily, so that if he had pleased he might have given a contrary sense and determination of mind, which would have agreed as well with the necessary nature of things, this I think is not true.

VIRTUE, as I have observed, consists in the cordial consent or union of Being to Being in general. And, as has also been observed, that frame or mind, whereby it is disposed to relish and be pleased with the view of this, is benevolence or union of heart itself to Being in general, or a universally benevolent frame of mind: because he whose temper is to love Being in general, therein must have a disposition to approve and be pleased with love to Being in general. Therefore now the question is, whether God in giving this temper to a created mind, whereby it unites to or loves Being in general, acts fo arbitrarily, that there is nothing in the necessary nature of things to hinder but that a contrary temper might have agreed or confifted as well with that nature of things, as this?

And in the first place I observe, that to affert this, would be a plain abfurdity, and contrary to the very supposition. For here 'tis supposed, that virtue in its very essence confists in agreement or consent of Being to Being. Now certainly agreement itself to Being in general must necessarily agree better with general existence, than opposition & contrariety to it.

I OBSERVE fecondly, that God in giving to the creature fuch a temper of mind, gives that which is agreable to what is by absolute necessity his own temper and nature. For, as has been often observed, God himself is in effect Being in general; and without all doubt it is in itself neceffery, and impossible it should be otherwise, that God should agree with himself, be united with himself, or love himself: and therefore, when he gives the same temper to his creatures, this is more agreable to his necessary nature, than the opposite temper: yea, the latter would be infinitely contrary to his nature.

LET it be noted, thirdly, by this temper only can created Beings be united to, and agree with one another. This appears, because it consists in consent and union to Being in general; which implies agreement and union with every particular Being, except such as are opposite to Being in general, or excepting such cases wherein union with them is by some means inconsistent with union with general existence. But certainly if any particular created Being were of a temper to oppose Being in general, that would infer the most universal and greatest possible discord, not only of creatures with their creator, but of created Beings one with another.

Fourthly;

Fourthly, I OBSERVE, there is no other temper but this, that a man can have, and agree with himself, or be without felf-inconfistence, i. e. without having some inclinations and relishes repugnant to others. And that for these reafons. Every Being that has understanding and will, necesfarily loves happiness. For, to suppose any Being not to love happiness, would be to suppose he did not love what was agreable to him; which is a contradiction: or at least would imply, that nothing was agreable or eligible to him, which is the same as to say, that he has no such thing as choice, or any faculty of will. So that every Being who has a faculty of will, must of necessity have an inclination to happiness. And therefore, if he be consistent with himfelf, and has not some inclinations repugnant to others, he must approve of those inclinations whereby Beings desire the happiness of Being in general, and must be against a disposition to the misery of Being in general: because otherwise he would approve of opposition to his own happiness. For, if a temper inclined to the misery of Being in general prevailed univerfally, 'tis apparent, it would tend to universal misery. But he that loves a tendency to univerfal misery, in effect loves a tendency to his own misery: and as he necessarily hates his own misery, he has then one inclination repugnant to another. - And besides, it necessarily follows from self-love, that men love to be loved by others; because in this others love agrees with their own love. But if men loved hatred to Being in general, they would in effect love the hatred of themselves: and so would be inconfiftent with themselves, having one natural inclination contrary to another.

THESE things may help us to understand why that spiritual and divine sense, by which those that are truly virtuous and holy, perceive the excellency of true virtue, is in the facred scriptures called by the name of light, knowledge, understanding, &c. If this divine sense were a thing arbitrarily given, without any foundation in the nature of things, it would not properly be called by fuch names. For, if there were no correspondence or agreement in such a sense with the nature of things, any more than there would have been in a diverse or contrary sense, the idea we obtain by this spiritual sense could in no respect be said to be a knowledge B b 2,

ledge or perception of any thing besides what was in our own minds. For this idea would be no representation of any thing without. But fince it is otherwise, fince it is agreable, in the respects abovementioned, to the nature of things and especially since 'tis the representation and image of the moral perfection and excellency of the divine Being, hereby we have a perception of that moral excellency, of which we could have no true idea without it. And it being to, hereby persons have that true knowledge of God, which greatly enlightens the mind in the knowledge of divine things in general, and does (as might be shewn, if it were necessary to the main purpose of this discourse) in many respects affift persons to a right understanding of things in general, to understand which our faculties were chiefly given us, and which do chiefly concern our interest; and affifts us to fee the nature of them, and the truth of them, in their proper evidence. Whereas, the want of this spiritual fenfe, and the prevalence of those dispositions that are contrary to it, tends to darken and distract the mind, and dreadfully to delude and confound men's understandings.

And as to that moral fense, common to mankind, which there is in natural conscience, neither can this be truly said to be no more than a sentiment arbitrarily given by the creator, without any relation to the necessary nature of things: but is established in an agreement with the nature of things; so as no sense of mind that can be supposed, of a contrary nature and tendency could be. This will appear by these two things.

1. This moral fense, if the understanding be well informed, and be exercised at liberty and in an extensive manner, without being restrained to a private sphere, approves the very same things which a spiritual and divine sense approves; and those things only; though not on the same grounds, nor with the same kind of approbation. Therefore, as that divine sense has been already shewn to be agreable to the necessary nature of things, so this inferior moral sense, being so far correspondent to that, must also so tar agree with the nature of things.

2. It has been shewn, that this moral sense consists in approving the uniformity and natural agreement there is between one thing and another. So that by the supposition it is agreable to the nature of things. For therein it consists, viz. a disposition of mind to consent to, or like, the agreement of the nature of things, or the agreement of the nature and form of one thing with another. And certainly such a temper of mind as likes the agreement of things to the nature of things, is more agreable to the nature of things than an opposite temper of mind.

Here it may be observed——As the use of language is for mankind to express their sentiments or ideas to each other, so that those terms in language, by which things of a moral nature are signified, are to express those moral sentiments or ideas that are common to mankind; therefore 'tis, that moral sense which is in natural conscience, that chiefly governs the use of language among mankind, and is the mind's rule of language in these matters among mankind; 'tis indeed the general natural rule which God has given to all men, whereby to judge of moral good and evil. By such words, right and wrong, good and evil, when used in a moral sense, is meant in common speech that which deserves praise or blame, respect or resentment. But as has been often observ'd, mankind in general have a sense of desert, by this natural moral sense.

Therefore here may arise a question, which may deferve to be considered, viz Seeing it is thus, that sentiment among mankind is the rule of language, as to what is called by the name of good and evil, worthy and unworthy; and itis apparent, that sentiment, at least as to many particulars, by some means or other is different in different persons, in different nations; that being thought to deserve praise by one, which by others is thought to be worthy of blame; how therefore can virtue and vice be any other than arbitrary, not at all determined by the nature of things, but by the sentiments of men with relation to the nature of things?

In order to the answering this question with clearness, it may be divided into two: viz. Whether men's sentiments

90 The Nature of true Virtue. CHAP. VIII.

of moral good and evil are not arbitrary, or rather casual and accidental? And, whether the way of their using words in what they call good and evil, is not arbitrary, without respect to my common sentiment in all, conformed to the nature of things?

As To the first, I would observe, that the general disposition or sense of mind exercised in a sense of desert of esteem or resentment, may be the same in all: though as to particular objects and occasions with regard to which it is exercifed, it may be very various in different men or bodies of men, through the partiality or error that may attend the view or attention of the mind. In all, a notion of defert of love, or refentment, may confift in the fame thing, in general, viz. a fuitableness, or natural uniformity and agreement between the affections and acts of the agent, and the affections and treatment of others some way concerned; or the natural agreement between love (or fomething that some way implies love, or proceeds from it, or tends to it) and love; a natural agreement between treating well, and being well treated; the natural agreement between hating (or foinething that some way partakes of the nature of hatred) and being hated, &c. I fay, this general notion of defert may be the same: and yet occasions and objects through variety of apprehensions about these occasions and objects, and the various manner in which they are viewed, by reason of the partial attention of the mind, may be extremely various; and example, custom, education, and affociation may have a hand in this, in ways innumerable. But 'tis needless to dwell long on this, since things which have been faid by others (Mr. Hurcheson, in particular) may abundantly shew, that the differences which are to be found among different persons and nations, concerning moral good and evil, are not inconfistent with a general moral sense, common to all mankind.

Nor, secondly, is the use of the words, good and evil, right and wrong, when used in a moral sense, altogether unfix'd and arbitrary, according to the variety of notions, opinions, and views, that occasion the forementioned variety of sentiment. For the signification of words is determined by use, yet that which governs in the use of terms

is general or common use. And mankind, in what they would fignify by terms, are obliged to aim at a confiftent use: because it is easily found that the end of language, which is to be a common medium of manifesting ideas and fentiments, cannot be obtained any other way then by confistent use of words; both that men should be confisent with themselves, and one with another, in the use of then. But men can't call any thing right or wrong, worthy or ill-deferving, confiftently, any other way than by calling things fo, which truly deferve praise or blame, i. e. things, wherein (all things confidered) there is most uniformity in connecting with them praise or blame. There is no other way that they can use these terms considently with themfelves. Thus, if thieves or traitors may be angry with informers, that bring them to justice, and call their behaviour by odious names, yet herein they are inconfistent with themselves; because, when they put themselves in the place of those that have injured them, they approve the same things they condemn. And therefore such are capable of being convinced, that they apply these odious terms in an abusive manner. So, a nation that prosecutes an ambitious defign of universal empire, by subduing other nations with fire and fword, may affix terms that fignify the highest degrees of virtue, to the conduct of such as shew the most engaged, stable, resolute spirit in this affair, and do most of this bloody work. - But yet they are capable of being convinced, that they use these terms inconsistently, and abuse language in it, and so having their mouths stopped. And not only will men use such words inconsistently with themselves, but also with one another, by using them any otherwise than to fignify true merit or ill-deserving, as before explained. For there is no way else, wherein men have any notion of good or ill-defert, that mankind in general can agree in. Mankind in general feem to suppose some general standard or foundation in nature for an universal confidence in the use of the terms whereby they express moral good and evil; which none can depart from but thro' error and mistake. This is evidently supposed in all disputes they may have one with another, about right and wrong; and in all endeavors uled to evince or prove that any thing is either good or evil, in a moral fense, thing is china