

Aiveney of the Theological Seminary PRINCETON, N. J.

\_

Collection of Puritan Literature,

SCB 11107

Division

Section

Number

# PROTESTANT Religion

TRUELY STATED

AND

# JUSTIFIED:

By the late Reverend
Mr. RICHARD BAXTER,

Prepared for the Press some time before his Death.

Whereunto is added,

By way of Preface, some Account of the Learned Author: By Mr. Danel Williams, and Mr. Matthew Sylvester.

## LONDON,

Printed for John Salusbury at the Rifing Sun over against the Royal Exchange in Cornhill, 1692.

# READER

AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF

## TO THE

# READER

HE Author of the following Tractive the Reverend Mr. Baxter, now enjoying that Glory he so conversed with in his mortal state. Among his many Excellencies, his Love to God, to Peace, and Truth, was not the least eminent. The last rendred him averse to Logomachies and confusion; well knowing, How vain all critick debates be, if the Question be not truly and plainly stated. This Book will give thee a Specimen of that peculiar accuracy in this kind, as even determineth the Controversie before an Argument be produced.

It is not to be concealed, that some complains of the multitude of his distinctions; but such may consider, that the Comprehensiveness of his Mind accommodated things to the most subtil, as well as the less intelligent Render; and provided against future Errours, as well as the mistakes he attends to in the particu-

lar point before him.

luceed he was a man born for more lasting

A 2 service

service than one Age; year his Name will be greatest, when impartial inquisitiveness after Trush shall render men painful; and sadexperience of the meschief of narrow and deviding Principles bath forced the confident to mutual allowances, and well studied determinations. But how unhappy was he (or rather such as mistake him) that he is oft charged with deferting this or that Truth, becanse he understood it in a consistency with it felf, and such other truths wherewith it was connected. As if Ors hodoxie must be Jacrificed when-ever a Doltrine is made intelligible; or the choice of terms more apt to confute the erronzous, less obnoxious to mistakes, and most expressive of digested thoughts, ought to alarm all such, who seem capable to know little more of Truth than the found of oft repeated Phrases.

Nay, as more convincing what treatment any man must expect, who sets himself to beal a blind depraved World; The clearest representation of his mind will not silence the ignorant from charging him with those Errours which he most expressly disowns. Three of the most material are denied and consuted by Mr. Baxter in this very Treatise, viz. the moral freedom of the Will of an unregenerate man, conditional Election, and the merit of good Works as opposed to, or coordinate

with the Righteousness of Christ. Neither must to be over-look do that it was his Concern in this Book above any other, to speak as near to these points as his Judgment could admit; and in other Treatises he more large-

ly declares against them.

1. Of Free-Will, p. 87. he tells us, he denies that mans Will in his unregenerate state is free from vitious inclination, or from the conduct of an erring Intellect, or from the Biaß of Sensuality, &c. 2. He denies that the Will thus vitiated, will ever deliver it self without Gods Spirit and Grace, it being rather inclined to grow worse: 3. As that degree of common Grace, which is in the mregenerate, is but such as consisteth with the predominant Reign of Sin; so the Will of every unregenerate man in that pravity, is as a Slave to its own vitious dispositions, errour, and temptations. Who can Jay more against Free-Will? Obj. But he affirms the natural freedom of the Will. Answ. He doth To, and explains it, p. 84, 85, 86, 91. and it is no more than that a Sonner is a man still, sho be be depraved; and be is a liberal, and not a forced Agent in what he afteth.

Obj. But he faith, p. 88. That by common Grace a man may do more good and less evil than be doth. Answ. It strue, he sail to. Rus, p. 85, he distinguished between

g com-

common and special Grace, and denies that we can do that by common Grace, which is proper to special Grace: and saith, men have but just so much, and no more moral Liberty, and power, as they have of Gods Grace to relieve their vitiated Wills. See p. 91.

2. Of Conditional Election, p. 99. He condemns the Notion called Scientia Media: p.100. he saith, God decreeth not mens Salvation, or Sanstification, meerly on Foresight of our Faith: but decreeth our Faith it self. Sin he permitteth, but Faith he effectoth, and decreeth to effect: and p. 101. he shews, how God decreeth both the means and end. And tho' God justly denieth his Grace to many that forfeit it by wilful resistance and contempt; yet he takes not the Forseiture of the Elect. Yea he adds. That he is deceived, and wrongeth God, that feigneth him to send his Son to zedeem the World, and his Word to call them, and his Spirit to renew them; and all this at random, not knowing whether it may not all be lost; or leaving it chiefly to the Free-Will of them, whose Wills are contrarily inclined and vitiated; whether Christ and all his preparations shall be lost: p. 102. he approveth the plain Christian who holds that our destruction is of our selves, but our help and Sa vation of God; and God is the first and chief Cause of all good, and men and Devils of all evil. There Cathol. That Lib. 2

Obj. But he will not fay, that God both by his Will and Decree ordained from Eternity that men shall sin, or will and chuse evil, p. 100, & 101. God doth not decree that nen shall sin, that they may be damned; for in is no work of God; &c.

Answ. But yet be faith, p. 100. that. 1. God decreeth who shall be damned for fin. 2. That he foresaw mens fins, not as an idle Spectator, but a willing Suspender of his own Alts, so sar as to leave Sinners to their selfdetermining Wills. Reader, if thou art a man of thought, thou feeft Mr. Baxter is clear for absolute Election, tho' he did not think it necessary for the vindication thereof to judge, that God absolutely decreed men to (in, that he might damn them : it's enough, that it is from Gods Sovereign Will, that mary are not elected; it would be an ease to the damned, that they could justly say, God decreed us to all our sins, that he might bring us under all this punishment, a Non-election to Essicacious Grace, and a positive Decree to damn such for sin, which themselves would choole, best suited with his Conceptions of Gods Goodness, Truth, and Purity.

3. Of the Merit of good Works: Note, Reader, that he is not fond of the word Merit, but his Adversary leads him to the use of is, as thou mayst see p. 96. But let us hear

A 4 What

what his sence is of this point: p. 119. All Saints are faved by the Aul Sufficient Merits of Christ, and have none at all of their own, unless the amiableness of Grace freely given chem, be called their Merit; and, p.95. we do with Paul renounce all Works of our own, that are thought to make the remard to be of Debt, and not of Grace; and that are fer in the least opposition, or competition with Christs Merits, or in any place, save commanded subordination to him: nay, he fays he firmly bolds, That Works done with a concist of obliging God by Merit in commutative Justice, or as conceited sufficient without a Saviour, and the pardon of their failings, do more further their Damnation, than Salvation. Yea, p. 97. none but Christ merited of frist distributive Justice, according to the Law of Innocency, nor by any Works that will save from the charge of sin, and desert of death. And that thou mayest know what he ascribes to our Graces, Holiness, or Works: He tells us, p. 119. we mean by Merit but the moral aptitude for the reward of a free Benefactor, who also is Rector, when the ordering of a free gift suspended on official conditions, is sapientially made a means of procuring obedience. This one Claufe, if underfrood (and he is a bold Traducer of so great a Man, that cannot understand words so plain )

plain) will acquit Mr. B. and inform this of the place of all Gospel-Conditions. 1. All Gospel-blessings are the free gifts of Christ as Benefactor, they have their being without any regard to what we do, therefore nothing in man is a jot of the righteousness or merit for which they are bestowed. 2. Christ is our Rector or Governor, he will rule us as well as be beneficent to us. 3. As a means to incline us to comply with kim as Rector, he Justends thele blessings on terms of what he makes our Dity; and wiscly orders them as Motives to our Obedience. 4. Any Alt of our Obedierce is no more than a conformity to that Order of his, and doth not hinder all we receive from bim to be of tree gift.

Obj. But he faith, that good Works are

necessary to Salvation.

Answ. He doth so, and how sew deny it?
But, 1. Notif a man dye as soon as he be converted; but if he have time, p. 94. 2. Their rewardableness is by Gods stee Grace, and Promile, for the sake of Christs meritorious Reghteousness, Sacrifice, and Intercession; their impersection being pardoned, and their holiness amiable through him: These rehis words, p. 76. 3. He saith; Not without or as a supplement to the Sacrifice; Merus, and free Grace of Christ our Saviour, and Fauh in him, p. 93, 75. And we give

our selves to Christ, as our Prophet, Friest, and King, to be saved by his Merits, p. 94. 4. He saith, our best Works will not save a man from the charge of sin, and desert of death, p. 97. 5. He denies that external Obedience is necessary to our admission into a justified state, as he shews in the Thief on the Cross. And when he saith, we are justified by our Faith, Godliness, and Works; Justification is not taken by him for the pardon of fin, which he ascribes wholly to the Merits of Christ; but he takes Justification there for our acquittance against the accufation that we are Infidels, Ungodly, and Hypocrites. And faith, that against the charge, that we are Sinners, deserving Hell, we are justified by Christ believed in, p. 94. His meaning is plainly this, Christ alone by his Merit forgives our sins, and purchased eternal Life for us. But seeing that Christ hath promised to forgive none but the penitent Believer, and declared be will destroy all impenitent, unbelieving, ungodly sinners: Now he thinks, that we must be truly acquitted, that we are not fuch, or we shall not be saved by Christ: Yea, he thinks, that when God justifies a man for Christs Merits, he doth also declare a man to be a true Believer; because he will justifie no other, and will justifie all such; and when God admits a man into Glory, he dorh even thereby adjude

edjudge him a believing, penitent, holy, and pright man; and free from the charge of being an infidel, hypocritical, unholy Enemy; seasoft whom the Gospel denounceth Vengeance and bars relief. Let these things be weighed, and none will wonder that be should lay on his fick bed, No works, I will leave out works, if he grant me the other: And truly, in health none spake more humbly of his own Works than he used to do. But because some confident weak persons have inferred from that passage, that be changed his Principles when he came to dye; we (hall inform thee, that after that passage was utter'd by him, even the night before his death, Mr. Baxter was asked, whether he was of the same sentiments, as formerly, about 7ndification? He answered, That he had told the World sufficiently his thoughts about it by several Writings, and otherwise; and this ther he referr'd them. And after a little pause, with his Eyes lifted up to Heaven, he cryed, Lord, pity, pity, pity the Ignorance of this poor City. And in the time of his sickness he declared to us and others, that his thoughts in these things were the same as formerly.

Our regards to Mr. B. force the Publication of what we here infert; the we would not be judged so happy, as to arrive at his Light,

Light, to lead us to a full Agreement with all his Sentiments. As to this Book, we wish there be not still great need of such helps against Popery; and we are assured it will give more light than some greater Volumes on this Subject: That God may reader it useful, shall be the Prayer of

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

Thy Servants in the Gospel,

Daniel Williams. Matthew Sylvester.

THE

### THE

# CONTENTS.

1 F the Rule of Faith	p. 1
2 Of the Judge of Controv	ersies in
matters of Faith	p. 6
3 Of the Scriptures difficulty	p. &
4 Of Traditions	p. 19
of the private Spirit	p. 29.
5 If St. Peters Faith failed	p. 34.
7 If the Church can err	P. 39.
Of the Churches Infallibility	p. 50
Of ber Universality	p. 56
10 Of her Unity	p. 58
1 Of St. Peters Headship	p. 60.
2 Of a secular Princes Headship	p. 63
3 Of Antichrist	p. 67
4 Whether none but God can forgs	
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	p. 70
5 Whether we ought to confess to	
to God	p. 72
6 Of Pardons	p. 74
TWhether the Actions and Paffin	
a a	P. 74 18 Of
	100

#### The Contents.

18 Of works of Supererogation	15 3p. 15
19 Of Free-will	p. 8
20 Of keeping the Commandments	
21 Of Faith and good works	P. 99
22 Whether good works are m	erstorsom.
	p. 90
23 Whether Faith once had cann	ot be lost.
The second second	p. 91
24 Of Gods inevitable decree, wh	o shall b
damned and who shall be saved	
25 Whether we ought to affure our our salvation.	p.103
28 Whether every one hath his A	
er	p. 107
2.7 Whether Angels pray not for us	p. 108
28 Whether me may not pray to the	
29. Whether they can help us or no	
	p. 113
30 Of Saints Apparitions	p. 114
g I. Whether they know what passeth	March 1974 March 1974
17/2 .1 . 1	P. 117
32. Whether they pray not for us	ib.
33 Whether we may alledge their I	
favour of our selves	b. 118
34. Whether we may not pray to then	p. 121
55 Of the Reliets of Saints	p. 122
16 Of hallowing of Creatures	p. 123
7 Of the Necessity of Baptism	p. 126
8 Of Confirmation	p. 128
9 Of the last Supper	p. 130
o Of receivers under one kind	p. 136
	41 0/

# The Contents.

THE WHO WAS IN A SERVICE ASSETS.	
1 Of the Sacrifice of the Mass,	P. 144
1 Of Extream Unction	p.146
of Holy Orders	p. 148
44 Of Religious Vows	p. 153
45 Of fasting and abstinence from n	
46 Of Limbus Patrum	p. 147
47 Of Purgatory	P. 158
48 Of making Images	P. 162
49 Of worshipping Images	p. 164
so Of making the Picture of God	
41 Of bleffing with the fign of the C	
of service in an unknown Tony	
THE PARTY OF THE P	Sec. Maria
AND AND STATE OF A STATE OF	.02.00
	145
	1.02.0

There

Here will in due time be published a large Account of Mr. Baxters Life, mostly written by himself.

THE



# Protestant Religion

Truly Stated, and Justified, &c.

HE Deceiver calleth his Book
The Tonchstone of the Reformed
Gospel, as if he owned a Gospel distinct from that of the
Reformed Church. And he undertakes
to name fifty two points, which the Protestants affirm, but tells you not where,
nor proveth his affirmation, but you
must believe him as a Touchstone of
Truth.

Dec. The first Protestant affirmation seigned, is, That there is not in the Churc's One, and that) an infallible Rule for undergranding the Holy Scripture, and conserving Unity in matters of Faith.

Answ. A meer Lye, if he mean that this any part of Protestant Doctrine; but

he may find as crude confused words, I some ignorant person that is called a Pro restant.

The Reformed Catholicks hold, that there is in the Church one, and that an infalling Rule for understanding the holy Scripture, am conserving of Unity in matters of Faith. And that Rule is, The Evidence of its own meaning as inherent in its self, discernible of intelligible by men prepared and instructed, b competent Teaching and Study, and the ne cessary help of Gods Grace and Spirit. ] This is that Rule.

But the Reformed believe not 1. That there is any Rule by which ignorant, preju diced, heretical, wilfully blind, wicked. uncapable men can understand such Scripture, as they are hereby undisposed to understand, unless by a great change made on themselves. Nor that any Prince call make a Statute, which no Man can mill

understand, abuse or violate.

2. Nor that Men can understand i without teaching, and that found teaching. nor by hearkening to Erroneous Decelvers.

3. Nor that the Slo bfull, that will not meditate on it, can understand it, the

they have the foundest teachers.

4. Nor that Novices can understand smuch in a short time and final Study, s aged long exercised Students.

5. Nor that wicked proud men, that price Gods help, can favingly underand it without his Grace and Spirit.

6. Nor that any man, how holy foeer, perfectly understandeth every word

1 the Scriptures.

7. Nor that a person may not be falble, and deceived, that yet knoweth which is the Infallible Rule: It maketh

ot all Infallible that know it.

8. Nor that any Church, or any Numer of Christians on Earth have such a unity as consisteth in perfect knowledge and recement in all matters of Faith, that is,

f Scripture-record from God.

9. Nor that God hath tyed this hallible Regulation to the simpo of ome, or made bim this Rule; feeing no ch word of God is extant, and Genel Councils have condemned Popes of trefie, infidelity, Ignorance, and most unish lust and wickedness.

port of Christians or Bishops is the Inlible Rule; for 1. The Papal part but a third part: And they will hardbelieve that the other two or three

B 2

parts (Abissines, Egyptians, Syrians, Armenians, Georgians, Circassians, Greeks, Masseviers, Protestants) are the Infallible rule 2. And if they met in an equal Council they that are most out of the Council would be the most in it. And Ephes. 2 and many others now condemned, have had the Major part. And Chrysossom that thought that I few Bishops or Priess wer saved, I thought not the greater number to be the infallible Rule.

11. And Pope and Councils agreeing are not that Infallible rule; for two falli bles makes not one infallible, nor two Knaves one honest Man. Popes and Coun cils have oft condemned one another yea, they have oft agreed in evil, as die that at Laterane the 4th. under Innoces the 3d. that decreed the deposition of Prin ces, that exterminate not all that renounce not all Senses and Humanity; for thos that have led into the Churches of th West all the horrid Errors of Rome, t pretend yet that they are the Infallib rule of understanding Scripture, is Impo dency quite beyond that of Satan him ièif.

12. If this Deceiver hold what is contrary to his accused Protestant Opinion he must condemn the Church of Rame

that agreeth not of the fense of a thou-fand Texts of Scripture, Horseloads of Commentators, and Cartloads of Schoolcontenders, contradicting one another: And he that will fay that all revealed in Scripture is not matter of Faith, reproacheth God, as revealing that which not to be believed. All matters of Faith are not effential to Christianity, but some are only for the perfection of it: All is matter of Faith that we are bound to believe as Divine Revelation. All the Scripture is such, thô the ignorant must have time and help to understand it, and explicitely receive it. The Popes them-Telves (e. g. Sixtus Quintus, and Clem. 8.) have differed in many hundred Texts about the very Latine Translation. Many hundred Volumes of Controversies among them, tell us how far they are from ending Controversies, and agreeing in all matters of Faith: But in so much as is neceffory to Salvation, all ferious believing Protestants, or Reformed Catholicks, are agreed.

Now, to trouble the Reader with the proof of any of these twelve particulars, would be but to abuse Time and him; as to prove that no Man is persect, and be that suith be hath no sin, is a Lyar: and

B 3

to

Church are not infallible, and that God Word is not unevident and unintelligable, and that fuch Villains as their ow Councils and Historians say many Popt were, speaks not more intelligibly and wifely than God; and that the Volume of Canons and Priests Writings are not more evident meaning than God Word; these need proof to none but thost that are uncapable of it.

What Rule is there for the Infallible understanding the sence of all our Statute Laws? none but what I mentioned. The intelligible evidence in the words (what else are words used for) to menduely instructed and studyed. The Judges govern by deciding particular cause by the Law, but are not an Infallible Rustor all Men to understand the true sence of the Law by, (while Judges and Parliaments differ from each other, as Populand Councils did.)

The Texts cited by the Deceiver, and fo vilely abused, as if he purposed but to make sport by taking Gods Work

in vain.

" Point 2. Accused, [That in matter of Faith we must not rely on the Judgement of the Church, and of her Pastor.

but only on the written word.

And. The Deceiver would Cheat the

Reformed Catholicks: for,

1. It's false, that the Reformed hold of this undistinguishing Affertion. They distinguish between kumane Faith and Divine. (And I hope, God and Man may be distinguished.) They say that must be a Divine Faith (that is, The Selief of Gods nord for the Infallible Vecity of God) that must save us, and not the belief of Man alone: But that a humane Faith is needful in Subserviency to a Divine. 30d hath appointed bumane Teachers to the Flocks, and Oportet discentem Credere; He will never learn, that will believe nothing on his Teachers Credit. But he muli believe Man but as Man, an imperfect, fallible Creature, yet as like to know more than he that chooseth him for is Teacher; And that which Man is to each us, is to see the Evidence of Gods own Word, that we may believe it for bat Evidence, as our Teachers themselves nust do. For if the Teachers do but relieve one another, and not God, (or God only for Man's Authority, ) this is not Religion, nor Divne Faith, but humane, such as they had that believed Pythagoras, Plato, Mahomet, &c. If Boys learn of B 4 their

their School-Master to understand the Greek or Latin Testament, and besteve them as to Sence, this is not Divine Fairb but a help towards it. The word of God is Infallible: And by the help of fallible Men, (such as disagreeing Commentators be,) we are surthered for understanding it. But false bloody Usurpers are not the likest to teach us the Truth, nor sittest to be trusted.

His Citations of Scriptures, (to mika ted Controversies,) are so putidly impertinent, that I am ashamed to detect them b

words, which every Man may do.

#### The Third accused Point.

That the Scriptures are easy to be underflood, and therefore none are to be restrained from Reading them.

Anf. Meer Cheat to the Ignorant, by

confusion and falshood.

t. We and all Papists with us agree (the more is the Guilt of the Deceivers Fraud,) that some of the Scripture is casy to be understood, and is actually understood by all true Christians, ever all that is essential to Christianity, and necessary to Salvation. Bellarmine, Castrus and many others, tell us, that for all that

the Scripture is plain and fufficient: Yea, fo it is, in many Thouland particular Texts: If this be not fo, let this Man tell us if he can, how it cometh to pass, that Papists, Greeks, and Protestant Commentators agree of the meaning of most of the Scripture, (perhaps of Nineteen Texts in Twenty,) if it be not plain.

But do Protestants say, that there is nothing in the Scripture hard to be understood? The Father of Lyes will scarce affirm this of them, lest their Commentaries and Controversies shame him.

2. But what? Must the people be forbidden to Read Gods Word, because some passages are dark? Why not also forbidden to Read Statutes, Canons, Fathers, Jesuits, Fryars, and the Loads of Papists Controversies? Is there nothing hard in all these Volumes? what not in all the Canons? In all Chrysostom, Austin, Cyril, &c? In all Lombard, Aquinas, Bo. naventure, Scotus, Ockam, Cajetane, and all the Tribe? In all Suarez, Vasquez, Hustado, Albistine, &c? In all Cajacius, and his Tribe? Why are not these forbidden? Do but rub your Foreheads, and tell me,

B 5 who-

than the Gospel? and yet God charged than the Gospel? and yet God charged them, Deut. 6. and 11. To seach the words to their Children, and that lying down, and rising up, at home and abroad; and rewrite them on the posts of their Houses, and their Gates: And every blessed Man. (Pfal. 1.) was to delight in the Law of the Lord, and meditate in it Day and Night? Read Pfal. 119.

2. Whether Christ did not Preach the words Recorded in the Gospel to the unlearned common people; and Peter and Paul, and all the Apolities, to all the vul-

gar fews and Gentiles?

3. Whether they writ not their Recorded Epissles to the Vulgar, even to all

the Churches?

4. Whether it is not Gods Word that we must all be Ruled and Judged by, and is the Charter of our right to Heaven? and should we be forbid to read it?

5. Whether Hierom, Chrysostom, Auflin, and all the Pathers, do not press Men and Women of all Ranks, to read or learn, and study the Scriptures?

6. Whether he be not like Antichrift, that will forbid Men to read that, which God sent his Son from Heaven to Preach.

nd Christ appointed Apostles, Pastors, and Teachers, to communicate to all the World?

7. Whether the Prince of Darkness and Pride himself, would not be assamed penly to say, I have so much skill to speak at litigibly, and God so little, that you must lead my Books, and not read his? And whether Popes and Priests Volumes are not as unskilfully written, as Gods, and as like to draw Men to Heresie and Bin?

8. Whether he that thus Condemneth God and his Law, and extolleth Man's, be like to make good his accufation at God's Barr? Alas! must such things as these be disputed by Men that would be

our Infallible Rule?

9. Either the knowledge of God's Word is needful, or not. If not, why did God write part of it himself? And fend his Son to Preach it? And his Spirit in his Prophets and Apostles to write and Record it? Are blind Worms fit to acture God of Folly, and needless Work? Can Men obey God's Law that know it not? But if the knowledge of it be needful to our Obedience and Salvation, ask common Reason, whether the Difficulties should not rather oblige us to read and

study it so much the more, till we understand it, rather than not to read it at all h Do their ductile Followers that read it not, understand it better than those that Rudy it Day and Night? The less we know of needless things, the better and quieter we are: If God's Law and Gospel be such, what a God and Governour have we! Can Heathens and Turks Blafpheme him more, than to take him for so foolish a Governour of the World, as to make a stir by his Son from Heaven, and by Angels and Prophets, to give them fo needless, yea, pernicious a Law and Gospel, as that Men must be kept from reading it, lest it Poyson them with He-1 refie ?

to. Is it not effential to him that relatively we take for our God, to be the Governour of the World, and to be our Saviour, and the Holy Ghost to deliver and Seal the Gospel as glad Tidings to all Nations? And is it not by his Law that God Governeth, and by his Gospel that Christ Saveth, and the Holy Ghost doth illuminate and Sanctifie? And doth not that Man or Clergy then put down God the Father, Son and Holy Ghost, and fet up themselves in the stead, who forbid the reading of God's Law and Gospel Sanctifie and Gospel Sanctifies.

pel, and Command the knowledge and observance of their own Canons and Distates instead of them, as more Intelligible and safe? And is not this (as Robert Grosshead told Innocent 4.) next the Sin of Lucifer and Antichrist, or rather plain

Antichristianism it self?

liker to make the people understand God's Law and Gospel, (by multitudes of Gestures, Motions, Crossings, Ceremonies, that need long Expositions, that overwhelm the strongest Memories,) than the reading and study of the plain and full

words of God in Scripture?

12. Did this Deceiver ever hear Protestants say, that the Apocalypse, and Daniel, and Ezekiel, and the Canticles, and the Chronologies of Scripture, are all eafy to be understood? For if he have heard fuch a Fool, did he ever read this in the Confessions of any Church? Do not their Commentaries tell the difficulty? And ask this Man or his fellow Creature, whether the Infallible Pope, or Councils, have overcome all these difficulties to the Papists, and made all this easy to them? Or do not their Valuminous difagreeing Commentaries, and Controverfies, shew that they are still as hard to them as to us. 13. And

T3. And ask them whether Popt or Council, have ever yet written an Infallible Commentary on the Bible, or all fuch difficult Texts? If not, is it because they cannot, or because they will not? And what the better then is their Church for their feigned skill and power, infallibly to decide difficult Scripture Controversies? What can be more shameless than this pretence, in Men that will not do it, nor ever did?

14. And if still they tell you; that the people were always bound to believe and obey the Churches Rites, without dispute or Contradiction; ask them whether it was not the Church Rulers that killed Christ, and called him a Blasphemer and Deceiver, and that Perfecuted and accused the Apostles? And whether the People were bound to believe them, (as Jewish Papists,) and whether all the Apostles and Christians were Rebels and Hereticks, for not believing them? And whether it was not for the Sins of Priests and Princes, and the peoples complying with them, that God by his Prophets reproved the Israelites, and at last for sook them to Captivity, 2 Chron. last. Jer. 5 last.

is. And if they tell you of the Peoples need of Teachers, tell them that that is none of the Controversie. But whether their Teachers must teach them to understand God's Book, or to throw it away? May not the Teacher and the Book, coulst together? Must School Boys be forbid to Learn their Grammar, because they must have a Teacher? Must be teach them the Book, or teach them without Book? But all the Crast is, to get all the World to take only such Cheaters as this for their Masters, and then Bible or no

Bible may serve turn.

16. Is it not the Office of Teachers to Translate God's Word into known Tongues, that the People may understand it? This is the first part of Preaching it: If not, why do they use Translations in the Church of Rome, the Septuagint, and the Vulgar Latin? And they did Sixtus 5th. and Clem. 8. make such a stir to Correct the Latin? And why do so many Comment on them? And the Rhemists turn it into English? But what is all this for, but to help Men to understand the Book?

down Ignorance, and cry up Knowledge, from End to End? And what Knowledge is it, but Divine, of the Word and Law of God? What else is the scope of all

the first Nine Chapters of Solomon Proverbs, and of Pfal. 1. 19. and 119, &c., God saith, Hos. 4. 6. My People perish for lack of knowled e: And Isa. 27. 11. It is a people of no understanding, therefore he that made them will not save them. Ignorance and Blindness are made the common cause of Errour, Sin and Mifery.

But we are so far from taking all parts of Scripture to be equally necessary to be understood, that we are more than the Papists for first and most diligently teaching them the Essentials, the Creed, Lord's Prayer, and Commandments, and Baptism, and Church Communion, and the Lord's Supper, and lesser parts as they grow up; what they must learn first their Teachers must instruct them.

18. If he fay, as they still do, that the Ignorant will misunderstand the Scripture, and every one turn it to his own Fancy, and Heresie: I answer, The way to prevent this, is to teach it them diligently, (what else is the Ministry for?) and not to forbid it them. Every Knave may pervert the Law of the Land to maintain his own ill Cause; and must the Law therefore be forbidden them? Reason is far more commonly abused than Scrip-

time: There is no Herefie, or Error, no Villany, Perjury, Cruelty, Persecution, Oppression, or Injustice, but Reason is pleaded for it: Must Reason therefore be renounced? Herefies are for want of understanding God's word; and must be

cured by understanding it.

19. And if all the World must take the Popes or Priests words, instead of Gods, or for their Rule, how shall those in Æthiopia, Syria, America, or here, know what the Popes Word is? That never fee him, or any that hath feen him? And how shall we know, when above twenty times there have been two Popes at once, which of them is the Right? And when they contradict and Damn each other, which of them must we believe? And when General Councils accuse them of Errour, and Condemn them, which is to be trusted with our Souls? Or if it be Councils that must be to us instead of Scripture, when they Damn each other, which must we believe? (And so abundance of them have done.) When the Pope and they agreed to depose Christian Princes, and give away their Dominions, and disoblige their Subjects from all their Oaths of Allegiance, is it as true as the word of God.

God, that all Subjects must believe and

obey them?

But how shall all the poor People know what the Pope and Councils fay and hold? They can neither read their Volumes, nor understand them, nor know which are authentick and true? Must they all believe their Parish Priest? What if he be as very a Deceiver as the writer of this Touchstone, that doth but Cheat from the beginning to the end? Yet must we take his word instead of Gods? Or when other Priests or Fryars contradict him, which of them must we believe? What if his Parishoners know him to be ignorant, or a common Lyar? Yet must our Salvation rest on his word, and God's word be forbidden us. What if we obey him in Error, and Sin, will he undertake to be Damned for us? Or will his undertaking or Damnation fave those whom he missead, &c?

As to his Citation of Scripture against Scripture, it is so palpable a perversion, that I will leave any Man that will but Read the Text, to his own ability, to answer him: Rev. 5. 1. No Man in Heaven or Earth, was worthy to open the Sealed Books that John saw in his Vision: What then, must no Man therefore open the Bible?

Bible Or because the Revelation is hard, must therefore the People be forbidden to Read it, and the rest of God's word, which was written for them, as sufficient to make them wise to Salvation, yea, to make the simple wise, Psal. 19.

And with as shameless a Face doth he cite the Fathers, against the drift of all their writings and Labours, and the Judgment of all the Churches of Christ, for many hundred years, of its purest

foundest Primitive times.

# The Fourth accused Point.

"That Apollolical Traditions, and ancient Cultoms of the Church, (not Founded in the written word,) are not to be received, nor do oblige us.

Ans. This is but more Deceit, by con-

fusion and false report.

The Reformed Catholicks hold,

to deliver any Laws and Doctrines to Poflerity, through many hundred years, as writing is. For it must lie on the Memories of so many Thousands, in so many Ages, and so many parts of the World; Of so many Languages, Kingdoms, and cross Interests and Opinions in their quarrels; quarrels; and the things to be remembred are so many, that this needs no proof, with any but Fools or Mad-men: What a Religion should we have had, if instead of the Bible, it must have all been brought us down by the Memories of all the Rabble of ignorant and wicked Popes? yea, or of the best? and by the Memories of all the Prelates and Priests that have pretended to be the Church? Why do they themselves write their pretended Traditions, if writing them were not needful? And why have we all our Statutes, Records, and Law-Books, if the Lawyers and Peoples Memories would keep and deliver them without these? When Men's Memories, Wits, and Honesty are so weak, that we can scarce get one Story carried without fallifying through many Hands.

2. We hold, that God in mercy hath therefore considered Man's Weakness, and Necessity, and before the Apostles died, inspired them to Record so much of his Law, and Gospel, and Will, as was universally necessary for all his Subjects to know, in order to Divine belief, Obedience, and Salvation: And hath lest nothing of this importance and necessity unrecorded in the Law of Nature, (God's

knowing that after Ages were not to have new univerfal Legislators, to make such Laws for all the World; nor to have Men miraculously enabled to do it,

and give proof that it is Divine.

3. We hold that God's written Word and Law, is perfect in its kind, Pfal. 19. and fufficient to its proper use and end: Which Bellarmine, Cassinus, and the Council of Basil, and many School-men, in their Prologues on the Sentences, confess extendeth to all things commonly necessary to Salvation, yea, and to be the Divine Rule of Faith.

4. Yet we deny not, that if God had feen meet to deliver any necessary part of Law or Gospel, Faith or Practice, as his will, by bare word and Memory of Man; we had been bound to believe and obey it, when we had sound proof that

it was indeed from God.

5. We hold, that for fullest certainty, we have possession of the Bible it self, and of the Essentials of Christianity, brought us by two Means Conjunct, that is, The Stripture, and practical Custom of the Church. As the Scripture or written Word University to us by its own Light, so Tradition tells us which be the Canonical

Pooks,

Books, and how the Church received them as Divine, and that there are no other fuch: And the practice of Baptilmal Profession and Covenanting, and of the Church Affemblies, and reading Scripture, and Catechizing, and of Eucharistical Communion, and Prayer, &c. tell us what in all Ages hath been taken for true Christianity. As we hold a humane Belief, needful in Subserviency, as a means to Divine Belief, so we hold bumane Tradition needful to the conveyance of God's Word to us. But, by your leave, we will distinguish the Messenger from the Authour: If the King send me a Law or Mandate by a Messenger, or by the Penny-Post, I will receive and obey it, and yet not take the Post or Messenger for King, or Legislator, or Infallible.

6. And the Reformed Catholicks do own all true Tradition, but are for a far furer Tradition than the Roman Sect. Our Tradition of Scripture, and the great points of Christianity, cometh to us by Evidence Infallible, that may be called Natural, with the greatest advantage of Moral Evidence also; and not on the boast and bare word of one proud Sect, that pretendeth to Fanatick Inspiration and Authority above all others.

I call that Natural Evidence, which attricts from such necessary Causes that cannot be otherwise, nor can deceive: And I call that the best Moral Evidence, which cometh from Mens testimony of greatest credit for skill and honesty, and we have both these.

Mans Soul hath fome necessary acts that cannot but be, and cannot be otherwise: Such is sensation of sensible objects duely presented; Intellectual perception of things presented according to the evidence in which they appear: The Love of our felves and our own known welfare, and any thing that is known to be an only and necessary means thereto, and hath Omni-modum rationem boni: The Love of Truth 28 Truth, and Good as Good: The harred of misery, &c. These all Men have as men, and that which dependeth on these dependeth not only on mens honesty.

And our evidence of Tradition is such as this. It is from the Common Consent of all capable Witnesses, of various Opinions, Pallions and Interests, Friends and Focs; whereas the Tradition of Sectarian Papilts, dependeth on the Credit of one Sect, that fillly pretend a peculiar trust with both Scripture and Tradition, tho' against the greater part of Chriflians: And pretend Fanatically that even ignorant Popes and Prelates in Council, have a gift of infallible knowledge.

For Example: If there were a doubt raised, Whether there be any such City in the World as Rome, Paris, Vienn or whether there was ever fuch perfons as K. James, K. Charles, Ludovicus 14. of France, &c? Or whether the Statutes in our Books were really made by the Kings and Parliaments named in them. and be the same unchanged, &c. There is Natural evidence of all this, because it ariseth from necessary acts: All forts of men of contrary interests could never agree to lie and deceive men in such cafes, no more than they could all agree to kill themselves: And if some would be fallifyers, the rest would presently detect and shame them: If any Lawyers would falfyfie or change the Statutes, others would prefently manifest the deceit, they being commonly known, and the cross interests of so many depending on them; yea, I fay not only that this is Natural Infallible Evidence, but that it is more than very much other Physical Evidence of many other things; because we have better means to know Mans Natural necessary acts, than we have to know snow most other Creatures of God.

And then for Moral Evidence, we have all the Godly's attestation of all Ages, and Nations, and Sects of Christians, and among the rest the Papists also, agreeing that This Bible, and This Creed, and these Effentials of Christianity, were all certainly, transmitted to us from Christ and

his Spirit, in his Aposties.

And what's the Tradition of the Papal Sect to all this, who tell us falfely, you cannot know the Scripture to be God's Word, but by taking it on the belief of the Pope and Church of Rome, as Endowed with the Power of Judgment, and the gift of Infallibility. Alas! what abundance of Impossibilities must be proved true, before any Man can by this method believe God's word!

Before they can believe the Gospel, and that Jesus is the true Christ, they must believe that he hath a Vicar.

2. And a Church.

3. And the Pope is this Vicar, and his

Sect this Church. And

4. That he hath the Office, Power and Gift, of infallible Judging, which he Major number of Christians or Churches have not.

3. And that Christ (not yet believed

in,) gave him power and Infallibility

6. And that he that now Reigneth, is the true Pope by due Election, Confectation, Qualification, &c. With many more such Impossibilities: And what is it to give up the Cause to the Insidels, if this be not?

7. But we judge that God's Law in Scripture, secured from the charge of pretended Rememberers and Osurpers, is so sufficient to its proper use, that there needeth no Supplemental Tradition, as if it were but half God's Law; but only subservient historical Tradition. And we challenge the Papists to prove de fasto,

1. That any fuch supplemental Tradi-

tion is Existent.

2. That they possess any other, but

what the other Churches know.

3. That they are more than other Churches, authorized to be the Keepers and

Judges of that Tradition And

4. We fully prove them Innovators and that Popery is a meer Novelty: It is copiously proved by Peter Moulin, de no vitate Papismi, David Blondel de Ecchsia Andrew Rivet Defence of Morney against Coffetean, and against Silvester, and man others. Can they without the most product.

distance impudence pretend Apostolical Trail distance for denying the Laisy the Cup in the Lackerist, and for their praying in an expression Tongue, and forbidding the Scripture, and deposing Princes, and diffilving thanks of Allegiance, and for tormenting and killing all baptized persons that obey not

the Pope, with many such?

8. If Tradition tell us of any Customs uled in the Apostles, or Primitive times, that be not in Scripture, and so be not made matters of Necessity to all; yea, or of any occasion all musable Customs that are mentioned in Scripture, (as washing the Saints Feet, the Holy Kifs, the Womans Vail, long or (hort Hair, Collections each Lords Day, Preachers Travelling on Foot, c.) we quarrel not with the then use of luch Traditions, when they were feasonable, no more than with forbearing things strangled and blood: Nor quarrel we with the Churches after, that letted Easter Day, and made the 20th. Canon of the Nicene Council, and used divers Ceremonies at Baptilin. But Traditions of things Indifferent and Mutable, we receive but as such, to be laid aside when the ocalion cealeth: And if any will turn them nto a necessary common Law, we disclaim uch Ularpers; for they cross that very

Tradition. It was delivered as Induferent, and you feign and make it a necessary

Law, and so destroy it.

9. We maintain openly, that Tradition is against the Papacy and its Corruptions: They are but a third or fourth part of Christians: The other two or three parts of the Christian World, profess that the Tradition of their Churches is against the Popes universal Sovereigney, and against all the Corruptions of which they accuse him. None but the shameless will deny that the Abassians, Armenians, Greeks, and others, plead that this is their Tradition. And Reader, tell us, why the Tradition of two or three parts of the Church should not rather be believed against a third part, than that which the third part boast of against all the rest.

10. Ask them which way they know and keep their Traditions? Whether they have any History, Records, or any other way which we may not know as well as they? If they pretend that it is a Secret kept by their Church, it?s a strange Secret that so many Thousands know: But if i be a thing proveable, let them prove it.

11. Is it not unmercifulnels, to tell all the Christian World, that as big and hard a the Bible is, if they knew and obeyed

they cannot be faved, unless they believe and do more, kept by the Pope, and called Tradition? When yet these Decrivers can dispense with the knowledge and practice of God's own word, and think the Bible a Book too big and hard, and the Prophane say, too strict,) to be commonly understood and kept; And yet all the Bible is not Enough, but we must be bound to as much more as they will call Tradition, yea, Volumes also of Popul Canon Laws.

12. Did not Christ for this thing Con-

demn the Old Pharisees, Mat. 15?

Prove your Traditions to be Apostolical, and about things necessary, and not your Forgeries, or about things mutable and indifferent, and we will obey all such Apostolical Traditions. But your Novelties and Usupations shall not pass with us for Divine Laws, because you can call them such.

# The Fifth accused Point.

"That a Man by his own understanding and private Spirit, may rightly hadre and interpret Scripture.

Ant. Can any Man unriddle what this

Deceiver meaneth?

C 3

1. Can

7. Can a Man judge without his min

understanding?

2. What meaneth he by a private Spirit? Little know I. If he mean Go Spirit, it is no contemptible nor private Spirit, even in a private Man: If he mean a Man's own Spirit, Soul or Intellect, it is the same as [his own understanding.] If he mean any Evil Spirit, or fancy and Erroneous self-conceit, we defie such Spirits, and Deceivers that use them.

To understand without our own understandings, is a Mystery sit for Rome: Why may not a Dog, or a Sheep, be said so to understand the Scripture, if it may be understand without our own understandings? What a Curse is on the ignorant Nations, that will be led by such words as these!

But if he will fay that he meant, [By his own understanding alone without a Teacher, ] why did he not say so, but say one thing and do another? But that had been too gross a Lye, to have been be lieved, by them that see that we set up Teachers in all our Congregations.

3. Therefore I can imagine nothing be absurdity in his words, unless he mean that we hold that a Man may rightly Ir terpret Scripture by his own understanding immediately, instructed by his Teach

Sence only at the rebound, on the belief of the Pope and his Clergy. For we never thought that a Man's own natural Wit prebous a Teacher, and the help of God's Spirit, can favingly understand and apply

the Scripture.

And yet we would fain tell Papists a hetter way to Convert a Philosopher, or a Tark, than to Preach to them thus: God hath written his Law and Gospel to the World, but you cannot tell what is the meaning of it, till you take that sence on trust from our Pope and Clergy, and know that Christ authorized him to be Judge; and that he fore you believe in Christ, or understand he word that so authorizeth him. Were not corrupted Nature very blind in things Spiritual, Plow-men, and Tinkers, and Coblers, would be able to consure such Poppeties, and much more Priests, and Popes, and Prelates.

4. But I pray you tell me, whether the Pope and his Prelates, do not interpret Scripture by their own understandings? Whose understandings else do they judge

by, in Conclaves or Councils?

s. And tell me, whether he that judgeth that the Pope is Christs Vice Christ and Reler, at the Antipodes, and is infallible,

4 tho

the' he be by Councils condemned for a 31monist, an Infidel, an Atheist, a Seaucer. or an ignorant Sot ? Doth not this Man. judge all this by his own understanding? If a Man take an ignorant lottish Priest for the Mouth of the Catholick Church, tho' he know no more what he talks against, than this Roman Deceiver, doth he not judge this by his own understanding? If a Sot will believe you, that your Selt is the whole Church, and all are Damned, tho' they love God, and believe in Christ, if they will not be ruled by the Pope and every Mass-Priest, doth he not judge thus by his own understanding? Do you Preach to Men, or Beafts, that have no understanding of God's Law and Will? If a Man must believe all the Canons of Popes and Councils, in Baronius, Binnius, Surim, Nicolinus, Caranza, &c. doth he not do it by his own understanding?

6. Oh! But the meaning is, Tou are all private ignorant Men, and we are the Clergy; Kings choose some of us, and Popes choose others, and whether we are Wise or Fools, Learned or Unlearned, Infidels of Christians, you are all Damned if you will not follow us, and if we be Damned, you must be content to be Damned with us. And is it so? Hath God made Man for no safety

and hence a Condition, than to be Damned when ever Sottish Drunken Priests will tell him, TYou must believe us that are the Mouth of the Pope, and the Pope, the you think that the Word of God is against it? ? Speak out Deceiver; would. you lave all Men be of their Rulers Religion, or not? Should the Fews have believed the Church, that Christ was a Blasphemer, Deceiver and Traytor, and the Apostles Seditious Fellows? Must we be Mahometans under Turks, Persians, and Indians, and Papists under Papists? And why not Lutherans under Lutherans also? And so our King shall be our God, and our Religion humane. Or must Men judge what is true or false, good or bad, by their own understandings? Do Kings and Prelates Rule Men, or Dogs, and Brutes? Il Cromwell say, He is Supream, and King Charles fay, He is Supream, tell us whether we must not use our own understandings, to know which of them to believe and obey? And must we not do so, if the World, the Flesh, and the Devil, say one thing, and Christ another?

And I pray you tell us, whether that be Religion that is not Divine, and whether it he not our own understanding, that must dislinguish between God and Man? Did

not Vulgar Folly fit flothful Fools for Hell, they would eafily perceive that Popery engaging them to renounce their own understandings, maketh us all Voluntary Brutes, to gratify the ambition of Men, and puts down God from being our Governour, and Man from being a Voluntary Subject, and turns the Kingdom of Christ into the Kingdom of Beasts.

#### The Sixth Point accused.

That St. Peters Faith bath failed.

Ans. Who could more ignorantly have

stated a Controversie?

1. Protestants are surther from the Opinion that Peters Faith sailed, than the greatest Papist Doctors: Some Protestants hold that no Man that hath true saving Faith, doth ever totally lose it; much less Peter. Others hold, that no Elest Persor that hath true Faith, doth rotally lose it And so thought Augustine: Others addithat though some, as Calvin speaks, qualecturque semen sidei perderiat, having no more immutable Grace than Adam had in Innocency, vet all that have a Consimula Radicated Habit, persevere. And as in Peters Faith, all save those called Arminicani agree, (as far as I know,) that his

Faith was not totally loft, nor Peter relapted into a State of Damnation: But will

ad the Jejuits fay as much?

We commonly hold that the Habit of Peters Faith, must be distinguished from the Alls, and the Ait of Assent from the Alls, and the Ait of Assent from the Alls, and that Peters Faith did not totally fail as to the Habit, nor the Assent, that Christ was the Messiah: But that it actually failed as to the latter Act, that should conquer Fear: Christ said to him and the rest before that, Why are ye fearful, I ye of little Faith: Little Faith, is I auth failing in Degree. This is our Villowy over the World, even our Faith, saith St. John. And did not Peters Faith sail as to part of that Victory, when he Curst Swore that he knew not the Man?

But by Peters Faith, this Deceiver meaneth the Popes Faith: And he instanceth in the Scribes and Pharisees, that were to be heard because they Sate in Mose's Chair, and in Cajaphas the High-Priest. Reader, see what Christians these Slaves of Christs pretended Vicar are: Doth he not plainly inser, that the people did well that believed the Priests, and the Scribes, and Pharisees, that Christ was a Deceiver and workt Miracles by the Devil; and

wes a Blasphemer and a Traytor, and deferved Death; and that cryed Jany with him: Crucifie him? And what wonder if they obey their High-Priest, when he Commandeth them to Murther Thoulands and Hundred Thousands Saints Nicknamed Hereticks, when they justifie them that killed Christ and the Apostles, because the Church Commanded it, (unless they will renounce their own plain Consequence?)

And must we indeed believe, that the Popes Faith never failed, because Peters did not? Then we must believe that General Councils that are their Church, have been very false and slanderous. Reader, I will give thee but an Account of one or two, (when their own most flattering Historians have written of many a long time, that they were rather Apostatics, than Apostolici, and named but to keep the Ac-

count of time.)

The great General Council at Confiance, (that Burnt John Hus and Jerome of Prague, for Truth and Honesty,) sinding three Popes Heading three Churches called Roman Catholicks, had no way to return to Unity, but by putting down all three With much adoe they got down two olthem: But Pope John at Rome had the

falle hold, and they had more adoe to get him down; and had not the Emperour refolved to back them, they had been fulled. Hereupon he is accused in the Council, First, by Fifty Four Articles, of fuch Monstrous Villanies, as one would think humane Nature were uncapable of. Afterward many more are added, of Poyloning Pope Alexander, of Incest with his Brothers Wife, and the Holy Nuns, and Ravishing Maids, and Adultery with Men's Wives, and much more; and of Smony, almost incredible: And amongst the rest, (which I forbear to recite, lest I sire the Reader,) they fay and prove, that he was a notorious Simoniack, and a pertinacious Heretick; That of before divers Prelates, and other Honest Men, by the Devils perswasion he pertinaciously said, Merted, dogmatized, and maintained, that there is no Life Eternal, nor any after this: And he said and pertinaciously believed, that Man's Soul dieth with the Body, and is exthat, as are the Bruits: And he said, that the Dead rife not, contrary to the Articles. of the Resurrection, &c.

These Articles being shewed to the Pope, he confest his Sin, and consented to be Deposed, and begged Mercy, but all in Hypothie, while he sought to get out of

their Hands and Power.

And now Reader, dost thou think that it is the mark of a Heretick, and delerveth Burning and Damnation, for a Man to think that this Popes Faith failed? Were it not for tiring you, I would repeat such Articles against many others of them, as would make you think, that not only the Heathen Philosophers, but even Mahomet was a Saint in comparison of these Swinish and Diabolical Popes.

After this, the Great Council at Bafil accused Engening the 4th, of Heresia and multitudes of horrid Crimes, and deposed him: But he outfaced them, and standing it out to the last, got the better, and the Succession is ever since continued from this Pope, that was deposed by a grand Gene-

ral Council.

Before these, Pope John the 12th. was deposed by a Council at Rome, called by Otho the Emperour, for such horrid Villanies, as no Pagans that we read of even matcht. Read them but in Baronius, and Binnius: Drinking Healths in Wine to the Devil, and calling at Dice upon Jupiter and Venus, besides Murders, Simony, Incess and all Wickedness, are all consisten with Papal Faith: And if this be no failing I shall grant that the Popes Faith (nor the Devils,) can never fail.

## The Seventh acinsed Point.

Thes the Church can Err, and hash Er-

Ans. This is truly and honeftly recited: All Protestants hold it, and marvel that all the Devils in Hell can so besood any as to

deny it-

1. No Body can tell what it is that they call the Church, till they tell us: But what ever it is, except confirmed Angels and Souls in Heaven, if they cannot Err, God and our Saviour, and the Apostles have Erred. For they tell us that, [we know but m part, and if any Man say that he hath no Sin, he is a Lyar, 1 Joh. 1. 1 Cor. 13. 12. And in many things we offend all, Jam. 2. 2. Plal. 19. 12. Who can und fland his Errours, cleanse thou me from secret faults. It was the Church of which God complaineth, that they alway Err in their Hearts, and have not known God's ways, Pfal. 95. 10. Heb. 3. 10. unles Caleb and Joshua were all the Church, Isa. 53. 6. All we like Sheep have gone a-

If by the Church they mean the Priests, how full of Complaints against their Errours are all the Prophets, and History

of the Kings and Chronicles? Isa 3, 12, O my people, they that lead thee, calletbee to Err, and destroy the way of thy paths. Ila, 9. 15, 16. For the Leaders of this people cause them to Err, and they that are led of them are destroyed. Mal. 2. The Priests Lips should keep knowledge, and they should feek the Law at his Mouth, for he is the Messenger of the Lord of Hosts: But ye are departed out of the way, and ye have caused many to stumble at the Law: Ye have corrupted the Covenant of Levin faith the Lord of Hosts: Therefore have I all made you contemptible and base before all the people, according as ye have not kept my ways, &c. Hol. 4. 6. My people are cul off for lack of knowledge: Because tho hast rejected knowledge, I will olso reject thee, that thou shalt be no Priest to me. Jer 53.31. The Prophets prophecy falfely, and the Priests bear Rule by their means, and my people love to have it fo, and what will ye do in the end hereof? 2 Chron. 36. 14 16, 17. All the chief of the Priests and the people Transgressed very much after the about minations of the Heathen: But they mocked the Messengers of the Lord, and despised his words, and misused his Prophets, till the wrath of the Lord arose against his people and there was no healing. Jo Isa. 1.2,3,4.

Res

Reader, is it not worse than Infinelly that thele Men teach, if they fay that the Church hath not Erred? Was it no Erfor when Aaron set them up the Golden-Calf? nor when they went after the Idols of the Heathen, and worshipped in the high places? Was it no Error to take hrift for a Deceiver and Blasphemer, worthy to be Crucified? Was it no Error reject the Gospel, and persecute the Apostles?

And had the Apostles no Error, when hey believed not that Christ must Die for our Sins, and rife again, and ascend to leaven, but thought he must then set up in Earthly Kingdom? Was it no Error f Peter, Math. 16. to disswade Christ rom Suffering, for which Christ said, Gerbee behind me Sathan, thou savourest not he things that be of God, but those that be f Men? And I think he Erred, when anl openly rebuked his Separation,

3al. 2.

If all the Church on Earth constst only of persons that have many Errors, then the thole Church bath many Errors: But the mecedent is fo true, that I take him that lenyeth it, to be to far from knowing that the Church is, or what a Christian that he knoweth not what a Man is,

and a Church of fuch are so unfit to be trusted as infallible, with all Mens Salvation, that they have not the Wit of common illiterate Men, or Children: And if in all things elfe they were as mad as in thele two Opinions. 1. That the Church never did nor can Err.

2. And that all Mens Senses must be denyed for Transubstantiation; doubtless they should be kept in Bedlam from humane

But for my part, I do not think that any Man of them not stark mad, doth believe himself that there are any men in the World that have no Error, (that have any use of Understanding.) He is far from knowing what Man is, that knoweth no that he swarmeth with Errours: I offine doubt whether the greater number of mot

mens thoughts, are true or falle.

But if by the Church they mean only the Pope, if he cannot Err, then it is n Errour to believe that there is no Life bu this, and that Mans Soul dieth as the Beafts, and that it is lawful to Murthe Gods Servants by Thoulands, or hundre Thousands; if all the forelaid Popes in the ages 800, 900, 1000, 1100, Erred no fure there is no such thing as Errour in the

But perhaps by the Church is meant Ge-

But 4. If they Erred not in their Decrees, doth it follow that therefore they

had no Errour?

Entri Navicula, only the Popes Messengers escaped the Herese? Did not the Council of Calcedon Err in their Opinion, when it determined that the Reason of Rames Primacy was because it was the Imperial Seat, &c? Were all the Councils free from Errour that were for the Arrians? And those that were against them? And all that were for Images, and those that were against them? And all that were for Images, and those that were against them?

But at last they come to this, that the Pope may Err, and Councils may Err, but when they agree, they cannot Err: A happy meeting of Erring persons, if they are both cured by it. But sure it is not the meeting: For the Pope is at Rome, when the Council is at Trent, Ephesus, Constantinopte, &c. If a Council may Err, and the Pope Err, what proveth it impossible for them to agree in Errour? The Pope and Council at Lateran 4th. agreed for

the Popes depoling Princes that Exterminate not all out of their Dominion that deny Transubstantiation, &c. Was this no Errour?

Obj. But this was not a matter of Faith Anf. Is it no matter of Faith with them. Whether it be Lawful or not, according to Gods Law, to kill men that believe their Senses, and to depose Princes? And whether Subjects may break their Oaths of Allegiance, and forsake their Prince if the Pope Command them, and all be cause their Prince will not be a Murtherer or Persecutor? These are no matter of Faith with them? But sure they have made them Articles of their Religion And either the Rebels, and Murtherers and Pope and Council Err, on else God Law and Gospel Err.

The Judgment of the Reformed Catho

licks is this,

1. That there is no man living withou

Errour.

2. That the Apostles of Christ were Commissioned to deliver his Gospel to the World, by Word and Record, and has his promise of his Spirit to lead them into all Truth, and keep them from doing the Work Erroneously which they were commissioned to do: (Tho' not to make the absolute the commissioned the com

cholotely free from Sin or Errour in all other things.) And therefore the Scriptore written by them is free from Errour, by Virtue of the special promise and Spirit.

That all true Christians, (really regenerate,) are free from all Errour, inconsistent with true faving Faith, and Ti-

de to Salvation.

4. That therefore the Church as it fignifieth only the faid regenerate true Christians, hath no Damning Errour, or none but what is pardoned, as confishent with

faving Faith and Holinefs.

5. That the Universal Visible Church, is the whole Company of men on Earth, that profess true saving Faith, and are by Covenant Vow Baptized into this Profession: And that all this true Visible Church, profession of the foresaid saving Fanth: Because the profession of saving Faith is essential to visible Christianity, and to the visible Church.

For mark, that I say not that they profess no Errour inconsistent with sincere finite in themselves subjectively, nor yet that as to objective Faith, may not by unform consignence overthrow it: For there is the a contatenation of Divine revealed.

Truths, that it is a doubtful case, whether any one Errour (which all men have fide not by remote Consequence subvert the very Foundations. But no true Visible Church or Christian, so profession any one Errour, as not to profess the essential points of Faith and Godliness, those they may think fallely that both are true. Therefore Protestants teach, that unseen Consequences are not to be so charged on those that see them not, and hold fast the (injured) Truth, as if it were a known o direct denyal of the Truth.

6. But every Church, and every man being imperfect both in knowledge, Faith and Holinels, have all some Errour: Fo to be objectively de fide, is to be of Divin Revelation: And all the Scripture is Divine Revelation. And if the question be Whether any Pope, Council or Church understand all the Scripture without an Errour? judge by Commentators, and

common Experience

And now what faith the Deceiver a

gainst all this?

1. He citeth Isa. 59. 21. God har promised to preserve his Word in the Church: Ergo, the Church cannot Err A forged Consequence, no more followeth, but that the true Church shall no

we or fortake Gods Word: For then it would ceale to be the Church:

But 1. Not that the best Churches unless and all that Word without any Er-

our.

2. Nor that any particular Church visible may not apostatize, or turn Hereticks, or corrupt Gods Word, and forbid men one it in a known Tongue, as the Patists do.

Next he citeth Joh. 14. 16. As if all he Church had the same promise of the spirit of Infallibility, as the Apostles had:

f lo, then,

1. Papists are none of the true Church,

because they have many Errours.

2. And if the major part be the Church, rather than a minor Sect, then all other thriftians that are against Popery are free from Errour, for they are twice or thrice is many as the Papists.

3. And when the far greater part were friuns, they were free from Errour: fea, the Council of Sirmium, to which ope Liberius professed full consent. Or lid Christ break his promise to all these?

4. If the Pope or all his Prelates, have full a promise of the Spirit as the Apolles, then they may write us a new Bible, and Word of God, as they did: No won-

der then if the Canons and Decreals be much Gods Word as the Bible? But wh then do they not confirm their Canons b Miracles as the Apostles did? And wh did so many Popes contradict each other Had both Stephanus, Formosus, Nichola and the foresaid Johns that denyed the Life to come, &c. the same Gist as the Apo ftles? Surely we may well fay to them a St. James, Shew me thy Faith by thy Works They did shew it by most odious Simony Gluttony, Drunkenness, Lying with Maids and Wives, even at the Apostolici Doors, Murdering Christs Members by Thousands, Silencing faithful Preachers Depoling Emperours, Commanding Perjury and Rebellion, even to Sons against their own Fathers: Forbidding all Church Worship of God to whole Kingdoms for many years, when a King will not obey the Pope: By fuch Works they shew their Faith! O the power of Satan, and the horrid pravity of man, when fuch things are not only Justified, but trusted in for Justification, and made confistent with a Church that never Erred.

Indeed these Errours crept in by Degrees, which maketh it difficult to Expc itors of Scripture Prophesie, to know just the year when the mischief became so the to prove Rome to be Babylon Apolitie to Pagano Christianity, and the Pope to be Antichrist. But if I see a man Raging mad in Bedlam, I will not make it an Article of my Faith, that he is Sane mentis, because I know not just when his amentia deliratio, or Melancholly became a Alama, or Furor.

The Deceiver also citeth, Mat. 18. 17. viz. Because men must hear the Church, where a Sinner dwelleth, that calleth him o Repensance after due Proof and Admonition, therefore the Pope and his Preates cannot Err. An Argument liker a Derisson, than a serious Proof: Did not he Pope then Err, when Bishops and Councils have in vain called him to Revent? Doth not the Church Err then most amnably, that commandeth Murder, reason, and most heynous Sin, and is ne Leader of the Impenitent? Must we ke such then as Heathens and Publicans? at as the man thinketh, fo the Bell tink-4. Do but Fancy that by the [Church,] meant only the Pope and his Clergy; d that all is fuch Sin which the Pope lleth io, the God command us, and en all fach Texts will feem to them to what they would have them fay.

The man also citeth, Eph. 5.27. viz. Christ will present his Regenerate Church, persect and spotless in Judgment. What then? Ergo, the Visible Church on Earth hath no Errour or Spot: And Ergo, the Pope and his Clergy are this Visible persect Church. And why not as well Constantinople, Alexandria, Antioch, or Jerusalem, the Mother Church? Which parties it that is the whole, or indefectible What is profaining Gods Word, if this be not? If any should be forbidden the Scripture, it is these prophaning Priests.

## The Eighth accused Point.

That the Church hath been hidden an

Invisible.

Ans. We do not think that the Pop and his Clergy-Church have been hidde and invisible. Their Wars, even in Ital and Rome, for many Ages made them V sible: Yea, and palpable too: The King and Emperours that they Fought again or Deposed, knew them; above 1000c Waldenses and Albigenses felt them to the Death: Que Region terristalis non plantaboris. Whether this man knew not the Protestants Judgment herein; or which the would not have his Reader knew them to the protestants.

it, I cannot tell; but I shall tell you what

1. Protestants commonly hold, That as the Word [Church] signifieth the Company of sincere Christians, and Heart-confinters to the Baptismal Covenant, so it is invisible to Man that knoweth not the Heart. Inward Faith and Love which demominate them, are not seen by others, dare any deny this?

2. But as the Word [Church] fignifieth the Universality of men baptized professing Christianity in publick Assemblies, so it was sever invisible, since such publick professions.

ion and Assemblies first began.

3. But when the Pagan Persecutors fored their meetings into Woods and Cells, nd Pits, called Conventicles, and to Nightleetings, they were hidden from the Perecutors, as well as they could hide themlves: And fo they were, when they d themselves from the Arrian Persecuon of Vaiens, Confrantius, Gensericus, unnerichis, and from the Persians: And were those of Tholoufe, Piedmont, Bowe, and others that hid themselves, and o from the Crujado's, under Simon Montd, and St. Domonick, and others that indered them. Christ himself fled to or, and Galilee, from Perlecutors. His D 2

Disciples were met secretly sor sear of the fems, when Christ appeared to them; when Peter was in Prison, many were alsembled by Night in a Conventicle, at the House of Marks Mother, to pray for him. The Papists themselves keep hidden Meetings, where they cannot bave more publick.

4. God hath not promised his Church such constant Prosperity, as that in every Age any Nation shall have publick Libert without all Persecution; much lets that they shall be still uppermost, and Master of the World, and have Kings and Emperours always for them.

5. But we cannot lay, that yet the Church hath been so low knee the days confiantine, that all Princes have discount the Essentials of Christianity, and we hop

it never will be fo.

6. But all Ages and parts of the Church have not been equally qure and found: fome Ages the Arrians were most: In the Reign of Theodossus Junior, Anastassus, Ethe Eutichians prevailed: In the days Philippicus the Monothelucs prevailed, that at one of their Councils Binius said There were Innumerable Bishops: In o Emperours time those prevailed that we against Images; in Irene's and Theodos

times, those that were for them: Sometime the Bishop of Rome had most Power, and sometime the Bishop of Constantineple, and Alexandria: For an Hundred years, even much of Italy sorsook him, and set up a Patriarch at Aquileia as their Head: Through many Ages the Citizens of Rome themselves expelled him or

Fought against him.

Now in all these Cases, the Church, as press sping Christinanity, was still Visible: But which of all the parts was the purest and soundest, was known to none but the sound parts themselves. And when and where the Errours became so great as really to nullisse, or invalidate the Prosession of Christianity, this was known only to those near, that had opportunity to know the mind of the accused: For Noxa Caput sequitur: One man, tho' a Prince or Prelate, cannot make all his Subjects Hereticks by his Errour.

So that nothing hath been more Visible, than that there have still been professed Christians, and so an Universal visible Church on Earth. But which parts of this Church have de fatto been Sound, and which Corrupt, and what Errours have rullified their Profession, and what only blems to, this hath never been vi-

D 3 fible

fible to the Erroneous; (For no mar knoweth that he Erreth;) but it hath beer visible to the Sound. And so, that the Church of Rome yet Prosesseth Christianity, we know: But whether their Errours prove them Babyton, or nullisse their Christianity, must be known only by trying the guilty Individuals.

Here the Cheaters say to the Ignorant.
If the Church hath been always Visible.

where was your Church before Luther?

Anf. 1. Where-ever there were men professing Christianity and Baptized, and not Apostatizing. Were there none such in the World, must we be put to prove where there were any Christians before Luther? Were not the Hearers grossy Ignorant, the Cheaters would have no Considence in such Fopperies as these.

Obj. But the Church of Rome profest Chri.

Stianity before Luther.

Ans. It did so, and as Christians we are of the same Church with them, we know no Universal Church, but the Christian as such, that is, all Christians as only Headed by Christ if you cannot tell whether before Luther there were any Christians in the World, (in Abassa, Egypt Syria, Armenia, the Greeks, Muscovites, Oc.) it's your grossignorance of History.

But whether Papilts, Arrians, Eutychians, Nelturians, Monothelites, Phantasians, Image-Worshippers, do invalidate their profession of Christianity, by their contrary Errours and Crimes, it much more concerneth themselves, than us to enquire

and judge.

But tho? those that nullify not their profession of Christianity, are all of the same Church Universal that we are of, yet we profess that their New humane Church, which is [only the Pope as Head, and all that adhere to him as such, ] are no Church of Christ at all. All Christians as such are parts of the Christian Church: But a Policy consisting of a Vice-Christ and his Subjects, is a Reliellious Usurpation, and no Church, Forma denominat: As Christ is the Head, all are of the Church that truly cleave to him as Head: As the Pope is the pretended Head, they are all a pack of Rebels.

And now what an ignorant Cant is it to fay, The Church cannot Apostatize; Ergo, it cannot Err; Ergo, the Pope of Rome, and his hireling Clergy cannot Apostatize; and Ergo, They cannot Err! Tell me, whether Rome be all the World? And whether the Church of Rome, and the Christian World, be Words of the same fig-

D 4 nifi

nification in any Dictionary? And whether an Alexandrian Catholick, or a C. P. are Catholick, be not as good Sence, as a Roman Catholick? And whether the Texts or Fathers, that you name prophanely, will prove that the Church of C. P. Alexandria, Antioch, or Jerusalem, can never Err, or Apostatize, or be Invisible? And whether your own Jesuits confess not that Rome shall do so too, in the Reign of Antichrist?

In the mean time take this answer.

1. The Church as intimately Sanctified,

and fincere, was ever invisible.

 The Church Universal, as professing Christianity, was ever Visible, tho' oft hid by Persecution.

3. Whether Rome, C. P. Jerusalem be true Church or Apostate, is invisible to

those that knew them not.

4. That the Papal Church, as informed by a Universal Vice-Christ, is a false Church, is Notorious. This is our Judgment.

#### The Ninth accused Point.

That the Church was not always to remain Catbolick or Universal: And that the Charch of Rome is not such a Church.

Anf.

Anf. The first part is a meer flat Lye: We hold that the Church is always to remain Universal, till it be presented perfect in Glory: If it remain not Universal, what becomes of it? Is it a part of something else, or annihilated? If Christ have no Church, he is no Head of the Church, and so no Christ. What Protestant Church ever said any such thing, as you

falfly charge them with?

That the Church of Rome is not fuch a Church, that is, Is not the Universal Church, indeed we not only fay, but think the contrary, fitter for a man Drunk than Sober? What, is Rome all the World? Is Abassia, America, Mesopotamia, Muscovy, Asia, Thrace, England, Scotland, Sweden, Denmark, no part of the World. yea, of the Christian World? And is not the Christian World, the Church Universal? Reader, here is a Controversy worthy the Wits, Learning and Honesty of all the Famous Fathers, and Doctors, and Juglers of the Roman Catholick Church. The Question is, Which of the Rooms in the House is the whole House? One saith that the Kitchin, or the Cole-House, or the House of Office, is the whole House. We Protestants say, that no one Room is the whole, but Hall, Parlors, Dining-D 5 Room, Room, and all the Chambers, and Clo fets, and Kitchin, are the whole; and I the Cole House, and House of Office will needs be parts, we will not contend with them, but we will never grant that they are either the whole, or the best part Tho by Fire and Stink, they think to force us to it.

But the ancient Writers distinguish be tween the Catholick Church, and a Catholick Church: By the first is meant the whole Church: By the second is mean such a particular Church, as is not Schiss matical, but a true and sound part of the whole.

But what could these Self-Condemners fay more against themselves, than thus openly to confess, that their Sect claiment to be the whole Church, and so Trayte rously unchurchest two or three parts of the Church of Christ, and Damneth most Christians, for not being Traytors to Christ, as they?

To confute his base abuse of Scripture.

is needless and irksome.

# The Tenth point accused.

That the Churches Unity, is not neseffary in elipsists of Faith. God hath revealed in Scripture to be believed, are points of Faith, (if the Word be used intelligibly by these men.) But all the points of Genealogies, Topography, Chronology, Prophecy in Scripture, are revealed to be believed, therefore they are points of Faith; and if Unity in all these is necessary to the Unity of the Church, then no Church on Earth hath Unity: Certainly Rome hath not, whose Commentators and Dostors dilagree about many hundred Texts of Scripture, and Sixtus 5th. and Clemens 8th. Popes, about the very Translation of many hundred Texts.

These men must now say, that we are not bound to believe all Gods Word, or else they must confess that their Church

hath not Unity.

That which Reformed Catholicks hold is, t. First points of Faith, (or revealed to be believed,) are some of them Essential to Christianity, and of necessity to Salvation, and some but Intergrals, if not some Accidents: The first all the True Church agreeth in: The second not: As who is Antichrist? or Babylon? or the Ten-Horned, or Two-Horned Beast in the Revolutions? What is the Time, Times,

and half a Time, with an Hundred such; But in general, all believe that all Gods. Word is true. It might convince these men, in that it was long before all the Churches received all the Canonical Books of Scripture, and yet all received not all their Apocryphal Books. And are these out of the Church? Or are none of these Books to be believed?

### The Eleventh Point accused.

That St. Peter was not Ordained by Christ the first Head or Chief among the Apostles; and that among the Twelve, none was grea-

ter or lesser than other.

Ans. Meer Falsehood, as undistinguished. The word Head is ambiguous; this Writer hath a Head, such as it is, that other Heads much differ from. Reformed Catholicks hold, that Peter is called first in numbring them: That he was by Christ in many instances, preferred before others: That he was an Eminent Speaker, and worker of Miraeles: That all the Apostles were not Equal in parts and worth; but some herein greater than other. What, was Judas no lesser than the rest, that was a Thief and Traytor? John was Eminently the Disciple whom Jesus loved.

But

But we hold, 1. That as John was not made Lord or Ruler of the rest, by being Loved more, to Peter's Preheminence made him no Master or Ruler of the rest: The twelve Apostles were chosen Relatively, to the twelve Tribes. Peter as Reuben was the first, and denyed Christ, and was called Satan, with a Get behind me, (Mat. 16.) as Reuben defiled his Fathers Bed. But as Levi was the third, fo was James the first Sanctified Apostle: And as Juda the fourth, is called the Law-giver, from whom the Scepter should not depart, &e. so John the fourth is the Disciple of Eminent Love; and Love is the Everlasting Grace, when Faith and Prophesie cease. But Christ made no one of them Ruler of the rest.

Proved, 1. No Text speaketh any such thing: And the Headship of Governing Power, would have been of such grand necessity to be known, that Christ and his Apostles must needs have plainly and oft inculcated it.

2. Peter never Exercifed any fuch Power; what mention is there of any Laws or Mandates of his to the other Apostles?

3. The rest never sought to him for Laws or Orders.

4. The

4. The Schifm and Controversies of Christians were never decided by appeal-

ing to him as the Judge.

5. When some at Corinth would have made him their Head, and said, I am of Cephas, Paul reproveth them as carnal, saying of all, What are they but Ministers, by whom ye believed?

6. Paul reproveth him, Gal. 2.

7. The Jewish Christians contend against him, for Eating with Gentiles, Ast. 11. whom he satisfiesh by proof from God, and not by pleading his Supremacy.

8. He never once claimed any fuch

Power.

9. Paul, 1 Cor. 12. tells us of none in the Church greater than Apostles. But the rest were Apostles as well as he.

10. No fuch Article was ever put into

the Churches Creed.

We grant that Christ did in instituting the Apostles Office, institute a disparity of Ministers in his Church, and this to be continued in the Ordinary continued part of their Works, but not in the Extraordinary. And we grant that in putting Peter first, Christ intimated, that among men of the same Office, there may for Order sake be a Priority; as the President of a Synod or Colledge, or the Fore-man of a

Jory, or a Chief-Justice, or the Speaker of a Parliament: God is not the God of Consussion, but of Order, as in all the Churches: If a Parish or an Independent Church have one grave Pastor, with divers young Assistants, that were but his Scholars, nature will give him some awing Preheminence among them. We are not against such a Primacy among Bishops, or Arch-Bishops: But this is nothing to a General Office. And if Peter had had such, what's that to the Pope of Rome?

## The Twelfth Point accused.

That a Weman may be Head, or Supreme Governess of the Church in all Causes, as the late Queen Elizabeth was.

Ans. A cheat by Confusion and Equivo-

cation.

The Church hath two forts of Government: One by the Word of God, and the Keys called Ecclefiastical. The other by the Sword, called Frincely or Magistratical: We never had King or Queen that claimed the former, and none but Enemies of Government deny the latter: Queen Elizabeth and all our Kings fince have publickly disclaimed the Priest by Office of Words, Keys and Sacraments, which maketh the

Clergy Tryers and Judges what to Preach and whom to Baptize and receive to Church Communion, absolve or Excom municate. But ask this Deceiver, Music the Church have none to Govern by the Sword ? All Chriflians are the Church, and fo all Christian Princes are deposed, be cause they are Christians. Or must the Clergy have no fuch Government over them? Yes, the Pope, say the Papal Canons; he is Sword-bearer over the Cler gy: So you lee what Church-power is come to. But I trow, few Papist Kings wil grant that they have no Sword-Govern ment over the Clergy, lest every Pries be Malter of their Houses, Wives, and Lives. The King is no Physicain, or Philosopher, no Architect, Shipwright Pilot, &c. but may he not be King and Ruler of all these? He is no Clergy-man or Priest, but the Ruler of the Clergy.

But they say, it must not be in Cause Ecclesiastical. Ans. Causes Ecclesiastical have two sorts of Government, in orde to two Ends. As if one be accused so Preaching against God or Christ, or the Life to come; or for Perjury, Adultery Murder, &c. Here the Bishops are Judges (and the Church,) whether this man be Guilty in order to his Communion or Excom

municution, or admonition. But the King and his Judges are to Judge, whether he be Guilty, and so whether to be Imprisoned, Fined, Banished, &c. fo far as Caules of Religion or Church, are to be punished by the Sword, the King is Head or Governour, and Judge: who would think that a fort of men that deny this, should have the Face to fay that they are Loyal to Kings, or any forcing Government: Must Kings Burn or Kill, as many Thoufands at the Popes command as the Pope will call Hereticks, and yet never have power to judge whether they are fuch, and do deserve it? O! how much worse than Hangmen, would such men make all Kings and Magistrates!

Was not all the Christian World in a fad case then, when the Pope was under the Arrian Goths, and the Subject of a Foreign Arian must Rule all Kings and

Kingdoms?

No man of Brains can be ignorant that Popedom or Prelacy, do not always make men mortified Saints, (that oft have been fearce Men, much less Christians;) nor that the Prince hath a great Power, both in Choosing and Ruling the Clergy that are his Subjects. It fell out happily, that Theodorick the Arian, (and divers Spanish Arian

Arian Kings,) were an honest fort of men but fure they were very mighty Prince at Rome, when one Subject of an Arrian Goth, was Ruler of all the Kings and Souls on Earth, (de jure, lay our Deceivers.) And if the Turk should posses Rome, as he doth C. P. all Kings and Nations must be subject to his Subject And what Power he hath over the four Pa triarks of C.P. Alexandria, Antioch, and Ferusalem, is too well known. And when Baronius, Binnius, &c. tell us of famou Whores, (Marozia, and Theodora,) tha made, and Ruled and unmade Popes how was the World Governed? As i was faid by a Lord Mayors Child, tha he Ruled all London, laying, my Fathe Ruleth London, and my Mother Ruletl my Father, and I Rule my Mother; for might it be faid, these Whores Ruled al the Kings and Nations of Christians or Earth; (if the Roman claim be Currant for they made and Ruled Popes that claim ed the Rule of all the World.) O! how much greater was a Roman Whore, (Ma rozia, Theodora, &c.) than Pallas, Venus or the great Diana of the Ephelians! Bu the mischief was, that they were muta ble, and could unmake a Pope, as well a make him, and fet the City and Country

the Ears: as Agua Venus Teneris; Vallas tergua fuit. And if all Kings must be Subjects to the Subject, (or Chaplains) of him that can win Rome, let us with

be Subjects to the Subject, (or Chaptains) of him that can win Rome, let us with hat he may not be a Mahometan, Pagar, or Arian: And why faid I an Arian, when an Anti-arian Pope, can Mutder Christians by Thousands, when a Theodorick would not have here them.

## The Thirteenth Point aexused.

That Antichrist shall not be a particular

nan, and the Pope is Antichrist.

Anf. This is Popish Stating Cases; rotestants find in the Creed, the Name of Christ, but not the Name of Antichrist; nd therefore while they know and trust Christ, they think it not necessary to Salration to know Antichrift: But they beeve Christ, who faid, that n any should come n his Name saying, I am Christ and deceive nany, even before the Destruction of the fews; and they believe St. John, that aid, there are many Antichrists already: The Fathers and Papists say, there is some me Great Antichrist to come towards the nd of the World: Most Protestants hink it is Antichrist that is described, in Thef. 2. and Rev. 12. 13, 17. To confute King James, Bishop George Don name, Dr. Henry More, (above all) Mi Mede, Cluverus, Grafferus, &c. will te quire more than this Writers Impertiner cies. There are many Protestants tha think it a meer mistake, that there will t any one Antichrist to Eminent as to obscur all the rest: And they pretend not to judg of Antichrist by the Apocalyps, but by th Ten Commandments, and all the Gospel And they believe, that he is Antichrist the usurpeth Christs Prerogative, and yet of poseth his Kingdom: And such they thin the Eastern Antichrist Mahomet is th most notorious, and the Western Antichris the Pope is his Second; in that he claimet Christs Prerogative of Governing all Nat ons of the Earth as Vice-Christ, and yet b Lies, Malice and Blood, suppresseth b. true Gospel, Grace and Kingdom; confut this if you can; Amending would be you best defence.

We doubt not but Antichrists past, hav been Individual men, such was Barchoche ba, and some say Herod, and some Diecle sian, but undoubtedly Mahomes: And the Pope be the Western Antichrist, it the Individual Popes that are such; bu many of those Individuals may make Succession of Antichristian Policy. At

wer Dr. More, and Cluverus of this, if

cou are able.

We lay not our opposition to Popery chiefly, on the dark Revelation, Prophecy, or on the question, Who is the Antichrist: But on the plain Word of God: If we find a Succession of men, claiming Omnipotency and Christs Prerogative, to Govern all Kings and Nations on Earth, and this by bare and base Usurpation and Novelty; and find the se men set up their numerous, false, treasonable, inhumane Canons, and forbid and revile Gods Law and Word, and find them turning Gods Worthip into unintelligible Mummery and Stage-Shows, and Ceremony, and find them living as Leeches on Blood, year on the Blood of Thousands of the befl Christians, and damning and separating from the far greater part of the Corifian World, because they refuse Subjection to this usurping Vice-Christ, and judging all to Fire and Ruine that renounce not all humane Senses, and worship not Pread presended to be desfyed by daily numerous Miracles of the basest Priests, and deposing kings that will not be such Executioners, and justifying their Subjects in Perjury and Rebellion: We will not differ with you for the Name, whether you will call those that are such, Antichrists, or DinDisbolists: Whether such a State be the Babylon, of far worse, as sinning again more Light, and by more horrid abuse of the Name of Christ against himself.

## The Fourteenth accused Point.

That no man, nor any but God, can for

give or retain Sins.

Anf. False as undistinguished. Whold, 1. That to forgive Sin, being the forgiving of the Punishment of Sin, and the obligation thereto, 1. Parents may on just cause forgive Corrective punishment to their Children, and Masters to their Servants.

2. Magistrates may on just cause, for give Corporal punishment to Subjects.

3. Equals may forgive Injuries to

Friends and Enemies.

4. Pattors may on just cause, forgive the Church penalties, of Excommunication, which they had power to inslict. And all the Flock must forgive and receive

the penitent accordingly.

5. When a Sinner by Faith and Repentance, truly performeth the Condition o Gods pardon expressed in Scripture, the Ministers of Christ are by Office authorized to declare and pronounce him pardone.

b

m God, and by the Sacraments of Baptim and the Lords Supper to Invest him a pardoned State, by delivering him a sealed pardon: But only Suppositively, If its Faith and Repentance be sincere, else he tath not Gods pardon of the Divine Punishment. This is all true and plain, and mough.

But we detest their Doctrine that say,

That men can pardon the Spiritual and
Eternal Punishment, any otherwise than
consequently declaring and delivering
Gods pardon, which shall hold good, if
the Priest results to declare or deliver it.

 Or that Popes or Priefts pardon, Purgatory pains, and Maffes, and Money, and the Redundance of Saints Merits, and pleafing the Pope, conduce thereto.

But if you will Speak so absurdly, as to say, that if the King send a pardon to a Traytor or Murderer, the Messenger pardoned him; we leave you to your phrases.

None of the Texts or Fathers cited, peak for any more than what we hold. The Pakors are to declare men pardoned, hat God pardoneth: And while they to udge according to Gods Word, it is partoned in Heaven: But not if they pardon be wicked and in penitent.

#### The Enfreenth accused Point.

That we ought not to confess our Sins any man but to God only.

Ans. This is a mere impudent Li. We ought to confess our Sin, to the Magistrate at his Judicature, when we are justly accused of it.

2. And to those that we have injured when it is needful to repair the wrong, o

to procure their forgivenels.

3. And to those that we have tempted in to Sin, or encouraged in it, when it is need

ful to their Repentance.

4. And to some faithful bosome Frience when it is needful that such know ou Faults, that they may watch over us, or advise us, or pray for our pardon and deliverance.

5. And when in Sickness, danger of Death, or other Affliction, we get the Pastors of the Church to pray for us; we should confess our Sin to them, that they may know on what cause they speak to God for our forgiveness.

6. And in any cale of Guilt, Trouble Fear, or Difficulty, in which we need the Pastors Counsel for our fastety, ease and peace of Conscience, our selves and other

Friend

mends being infufficient hereto, we should confess our Sins to the Pastors, whose adice we feek: As a Patient must truly open us Cale to his Physician, and a Clyent to ris Councellor, if he will not be deceived, by deceiving them. Is all this no Conethon?

But Protestants believe not, 1. That we must go to a Physician for every Flea-biing, or Scratch, or Cut Finger, or to a Lawyer to give him an account of all our Actions, Money, ot Lands; nor to Priests n cales that our felves or ordinary Friends can lafely and fatisfactorily relolve.

1 2. Nor that our Confessor must needs be Papist Priest, or one chosen by the Pope, or our Enemies; and not by our felves.

3. Nor that we must open all our Serets to him; or make any Conf ffin, which will do more hurt than good; nor over far trust the Fidelity of a Knave, nor a uspected or untryed person.

4. And we have reason to suspect hem that are importunate to know our

5. And when Confession is required, is in order to obtain a false forged pardon, lad to let up the Domination of Usarpers ver men's Consciences, and over the Vorld, it's then unlawful; If Protestants

would force Papills to confels all the fecret Sins to them, would not this fan Deceiver fay, it were unlawful?

## The Sixteenth accused Point.

That Pardons and Indulgences were r

in the Apostles time.

Ans. Another meer Lie, as undiftinguished. Such Pardons as I before own were in the Apostles times: But the P pish feigned pardons were not.

### The Seventeenth accused Point.

That the actions and passions of the Sain

do serve for nothing to the Church.

Ans. Most impudent calumny and fall hood. 1. We hold that the Prayers all the Saints on Earth, are of great is portance for the Churches welfare.

2. And that their Doctrine, Counfig and Reproof is so too, they being to Lights of the World, and the Salt of the

Earth.

3. And that their Example is of grobenefit to the Church and World, whetheir Light so shineth before men, that to may see their good works, and gloriste the Father which is in Heaven.

4 And their Charitable Works of them? felves, fure are beneficial to the Church: And to is their Defence of the Truth.

5. And their Sufferings Glorifie Gods Power, and his promites of reward; and they encourage others to Victorious Confrancy. Do all these serve for no-

thing to the Church?

6. Yea, we are so far from holding what he seigneth, that it is not the least cause of our hatred of Popery, that it liveth by the Desamations, Slander, Perfecution, and cruel Murder of Saints.

7. Yea, as Abels Blood cryed against Cain, so the Blood of Martyrs, and dead Saints, cryeth for Vengeauce against the

Persecutors of the Church.

8. And feeing Christ saith, that the Children of the Resurrection are like or equal to the Angels, we have reason to believe that even now they are perfested Spirits, Isleb. 12.24. And knowing that Angels are very serviceable and beneficial to the Church on Earth, we know not how sar he Spirits of the just are so too.

But we have a sufficient Mediator and Advocate with the Father, whose Sacrifice, Merits, and Advocation are perfect, and need no supplement: And the Spirits of the just do praise him as saved by his Me-

E 2

rits.

rits, and never boast that they have s their own a Redundancy to fave other But we all with thankfulness confess, the God useth to bless the Houses of the Fairl ful; the Children for the Parents sake and hath exprest this in the Decalogu and by many Promises: Yea, that I would have spared Sodom, had there bei but Ten Righteous persons there: And a Pe tiphars House, and a Prison may be ble in part for Josephs sake. And when Pl rents are Dead, this bleffing may be c their Children, through many General ons. And God remembred Abrahan when his Posterity provoked him. Davi had a special promise for his Seed. Nor of this is denyed by us.

Dut, 1. There is no Merit in any man Works, but their Rewardableness by God free Grace and promise, for the sake Christs meritorious Righteousness, Sacrific and Intercession, their Imperfection bein pardoned through him, and their Holine

amiable to God.

2. No man shall be faved for anothe Merit, or Holiness, or Works, that not truly Regenerate and Holy himself.

### The Eighteenth accused Poins.

Thee no man can do Works of Supererron

Ans. Supererrogation is a fustian word of your own, by which you may mean what you pleafe.

1. No man can perform to God, more Duty than he oweth him: It's a Contra-

diction; Duty is quod debetur.

2. No man can profit God by any thing

that he doth.

3. No man, save Christ, lived wirhout Ill Sin: And he that finneth doth not all his Duty, or keep all Gods Law perfectly. And he that doth not all, doth not all and more.

4. There is no Moral good done by any man, which was not his Duty, and Gods Law commanded not: For Gods Law is perfect, and therefore obligeth to Moral good: And as Sin is the Transgression of the Law, so Moral good is the conform Obedience to the Law.

5. God hath not Counsels to Moral allion, which are not obliging Laws, and make not our Duty. For to keep them is Moral good, and the Law were imperfect if it obliged not to all such good. If the Counsel oblige ut norms officie, it's a Law:

If it oblige not, it's vain.

6. But there are many actions that are neither Commanded, nor Counselled, nor forbidden: But those are not Moral actions, as being no objects of our Choosing or Refusing by Reasons Conduct. The nictus oculorum, our Breathing, our Pulse, the Circulation of the Blood, &c. are no Moral acts, Commanded or forbidden, but necessitated: Man maketh it no act of deliberation and choice, which Foot he shall set forward first, or just how many Steps he shall go in a Day; which of two equal Eggs he shall Eat, and an hundred such. These are neither Duty nor Sin, Commanded, nor Counselled, nor forbidden; neither virtuous or vicious.

7. And there are innumerable actions, that are not the Matter of any Common-Law or Counsel, and so as such, are neither Sin nor Duty, which yet as Circumstantiated and Cloathed with Accidents, are to this or that man either Duty or Sin.

This not understood, maketh these lgnorant Casuists abuse the words of Christ and Paul, about Chastity, and Marriage. And because Christ saith, every man cannot receive this Saying, and Paul, she hath not Sinned: He that Marrieth doth well, the that doth not, doth better; they ga-

we not best, and yet no Sin.

The true plan folution is from the two last Considerations. 1. God hath made no Law commanding or forbidding Marrage, or Celibate as such, or in Common. To Marry is no Sin, confidered meerly as Marriage- 2. But God hath made Laws against huntful and injurious Marriages, and to guide men to know, when Marrying is a Duty or a Sin. 3. And if any ones case were so neutral, as that it could not be discerned, whether Marrying were a hurt or benefit, it would be no Moral, eligible or refuleable action. 4. But to some it is a great Duty by accidents, and to some a great Sin. Therefore Paul never meant that it was no mans duty, and no mans sin, but only that simply as Marriage it was no mans duty or sin, or the matter of a commanding or forbidding Law, but only by accident, it may be fuch to one more than to another.

That this is Pauls meaning, the Papilts must confess. For 1. Do not they say that the Marriage of Priests, Fryars and Nuns are Sin? 2. If any one Marry an Infidel, or utterly unfuitable Person, without necessity, against Parents wills,

or one that is impotent, or hath the Pox, or that he cannot maintain, &? Is not this a hainous Sin? What elle fignific Gods Law, and mans, against unlawful

Marriages.

And if one cannot live chaftly without Marriage, and Parents command it, it is not a Sin to refuse? The Law saith, Let all things be done to Edification, and whether ye Eat or Drink, or whatever ye do, do all to the glory of God. And is it only Counfel and no Command, to Marry or not Marry as it makes to Gods Glory or against it? There are few actions of a mans Life, that make so much to his hurt, and utter misery, as unwise and unmeet Marrying. And is this no Sin? May they not see Pauls meaning then, if they were but willing? It is hard to imagine a case in which so important an action as Marriage, can be neither Sin nor Duty.

2. But sometime men use the word [Sin,] and [Sinners,] for meer Wickedness, and such Sin as is inconsistent with a state of Salvation. And we easily grant, that all Sins are not such Sins as these: But Gods Law is persect, tho' man be impersect, and sorbiddeth all Sin, even the

least "

. But the the Herefies of Popery? I his man here laith, [ To do that which is molelled, w not necessary, because one may weversheles be faved : But he who onntteth That is commanded, (unless be do pennance,) amout escap. Eternal pains.]

T. See here what a frivolous Counseller they make Christ, when it's not necessary

o follow his Counsel.

2. See here how they make Necessity to se only of that which a man cannot be fawithout: When Saul a Persecutor, and Blasphemer, an Infidel, Murderer, &c. may be faved, if he be truly Convicted: Thedience hath it's Necessity, tho' we knew hat God would forgive Disobedience, to the Convicted.

3. See here how they damn themselves and all mankind, every man living omitteth what is commanded many hundred times, for which he doth not that which they call Pennance. He is a Lyar, that saith he back no Sin, specially of Omission. Gods Law bindeth us all to Believe, to Hope, to desire Holiness and Heaven, to love God and our Neighbours, and our Ene. mies, with a stronger degree of Faith, lope, Defire, and Love, than we do: every Prayer, and Meditation is finfully defective: Every hour hath some omission

of improvement: And all this is not remembred, nor all confest to a Priest, nor all known or observed by any Sinner: And some omission we are guilty of at our very Death, by gradual defect of Faith, Hope, Patience, Love, Content, and Joy. And must all these go to Hell?

4. Seeing by Penance they mean not bare Repentance, but making God fatisfaction by a task, of Penalty laid on them by a Priest, ye see how they damn themselves, would be the Masters of all others.

Salvati n, by their Pennances.

g. But it's like that Purgatory is included by them in Pennances; or elle no man should go to Purgatory, but all to Hell: For all have many omissions of commanded Duty, which they did not Pennance for in this Life.

6. But you see of how sittle value the judge Christs Sacrifice and Merit, that pardoneth no omission of a duty without

Pennance, and Satisfaction truly.

### The Nineteenth accused Point.

That by the fall of Adam, we have a lost our Free-will, and that it is not in or power to shoofe good, but only Evil.

Anf. Reader, I must desire thee not to sudge of all the Learned Papists, by this Deceiver: For if thou hast read the loads Voluminous Controversies about Grace and Free-will among themselves, it will remain doubtful to thee, whether this mans stating the Protestants Doctrine, prove him ignorant of it, or a willing Cheater. He tells you not, that this is as much a Controversie among themselves, as with the Protestants. He tells you not, how Auguffine and Palagius managed it, and that their Pope Celestine took Augustines part: He tells you not, that the Thomists, Dominicans, Ormorians, and most Nominals, and Scotists, say as much against Free-will as we do, and we as much for it as they. He supposeth that you never read what Lombard, Aquinas, Bradwardine, Caje. tione, Ferrariensis, Zumel, Bannez, Alvarez, Agedius Romi, Capreolus, and a Multitude more, fay as much, and many more, against Free-will, than the Protefrants: He tells you, not that even the Learned Jesuits, Suarez, Vasquez, Bellarmine, with Penottess, and many fuch, are as much against Free-will, as most Learned Protestants. The man takes you for a Herd of filly Animals, that know not but that he faith true, what fallhood foever he shall tell you: But the stuth of the Controversie is this:

ting of Controversies, as this chat of Free-will without distinction: And so of Power.

2. They know that Physical, Morels and Political Freedom of will, are not all one thing. Physical Freedom or Power, is that by which the natural faculty of the will, can determine it self to act, (not without a superiour Cause, but) without any extrinsick or intrinsick Coaster, or necessitating cause of Evil.

Moral Freedom and Power, is that by which the will is from under the overstrong Byas of a vicious Disposition, or decensal

Argument.

3. Political Freedom is, when no Law of God or Man obligeth him to any Evil,

but all to good.

Prothestants hold, 1. That Physical Liberty and Power, is common to man, as man. That is, that he wants not natural faculties to choose aright, but a right Inclination: And that he sinneth not for want of such faculties, but for want of their right disposition and action.

2. That all men have just to much, and no more Moral Liberty and power, as they

have

we of Gade Grace, to Relieve their vitaated wills and dispositions, and to help them
in the act. No man is freed from visious
fuctions, further than Gods Grace freeth
but, which is much more where there is
freetal Grace and frong, than where there
is but common Grace or weak. And that
the Thraddom or Impotency of the Vitious,
is but the disease of their wills, and aggravation of their Sin: e.g. Where the
Drunkard or Fornicator taith, I cannot
frober my Sin: He is so much the worse
and more unexcuteable.

5. Every man hath not only Political Liberty to avoid Sin, but much more, even Gods urgent Precepts, Promiles, and Threats: God doth not only give me Leave, but commandeth us not to Sin.

t. It is the very Essence of the will to be a natural power or faculty of willing good and nilling evil, as such, as so apprehended by the intellect, and commanding the inferior faculties, some politically, and some deformedly, some difficultly, some easily, some perfectly, some impersectly, according to it's resolution and their receptivity.

2. Libertas hominie, when a man may have what he chooseth, is more than Libertal Voluntairs, which is but the Alude of he's fell determination; as we thout con-

fivaint it is a felf determining principle, of its own elicite acts, confidered comparitively.

Which is, 1. Liberty of contradetium, or Exercise, viz, to will or not will, nill or not nill. 2. Liberty of Contrariety, of Specification of the Act, viz. To will this or nill it. 3. Liberty of Competition, to will This object or That: To nill This or That, (of which see Robert Baronian

his Metaphyficks.)

The will hath fuch various forts of Lie berty, and the word [Free-will] is for ambiguous, that it is a shame, and irksome to read a pretended Teacher, state a cale thus indistinctly, Whether we have Free will or power to choose good and refuse evil He is no man that hath no such Free-will and power. And no man liath all forts of Free-will and power. Nay, as Liberty i opposed to Necessity, every man is necessity tated to will Good as Good, and mill Evil a Evil, and can do no otherwise: And when ever he willeth Evil, it is sub ratione bons mistaking it for good: And who ever ni lith good, doth it falfely, sub ratione mah The will is free from Confraint to Sin God will not so constrain it: Men, De vils, Objects, cannot. He that had real but their Pennattus and Gibienf oul

could fee what a shame it is thus consu-

But that which Protestants deny, is this. They deny that mans will in his unreentrate State, is free from a Vicious Incliation, or from the Condust of an Erring ntellect, or from the Byass and Temptation of Sensuality, or viciated Senses and magination; or from the Temptations of intan and the World.

2. They deny that the will thus Vitiaed and Tempted, will ever deliver it felf without Gods Spirit and Grace; being ra-

her inclined to grow worse.

3. They deny that this Grace is perfett n any in this Life, as without all defect in legree, or totally freeth any man from II Sin: and therefore they deny that my mans will is perfectly and inculpably free from every degree of vice

and danger.

4. And as that degree of common Grace which is in the unregenerate, is but lich as confisteth with the predominance or Reign of Sin, so that will of every unregenerate man in that pravity, is as a flave to it's own vitious deposition, and to Errour, Objects, and Tempters, being not delivered as to the predominance.

5. Yet we believe that common Grace is luch, that these menare not utterly void of all good Inclination, and knowledge and therefore that in Aloral Sence, every man can do more good, and less Evil that he doth: And that men perish, because not only they will not (by pravity) do what they have natural strength to do, but also because they will not choose and do what morally they might have chosen and done, as to the wills own power.

6. And we still say, that whenever a man sinneth, it was not because it was naturally impossible to do otherwise, (as to touch the Moon, to see without Eyes, or through the Earth, &c.) nor for want of natural faculties, nor doth God by Grace, give man other natural faculties, making him an Animal of another Species: But men sin because they will sin, and they will because they are tempted and now vitiously inclined; from which their wills are made free only in that measure, that Gods Grace doth sanctifie them.

If our Priest would have told us, what there is in all this Doctrine of Free will, that he dare accuse, (and what dare he not cccuse,) we should have seen cause to consider of his Arguments: But now he

citeth Scripture as in a Dream.

## The Twentieth accused Point.

That it is impossible to keep the Commandents of God, tho' assisted with all his

race and the Holy Ghost.

duf. Still meer Consusion. Protestants istinguish, 1. Impossibility as natural or torat; 2. Of Grace as perfect or Imperfect, and as determinately Operative, or only

Iff sting and not Determining.

And they hold, 1. That no Duty is Impossible, (or the performing of no Compandment,) by meer Physical Impossibility: he reason is, because God commandeth o Physical Impossibles: Such as before amed, to speak without a Tongue, to be without Light or Object, to knownings not knowable or revealed, to ead without any Teaching or Learning, &c.

If a man indeed disable himself, as put our his Eyes that he may not read, or cut in his Tongue that he may not Preach; he disabling act is vitiously aggravated, rom all the good to which he disabled intell, as if it still had been his Duty: but we cannot say that God still command-th him when Blind to Read, or when Jumb to Speak, & c. Indeed God chang-

eth not his Law; but recepitur ad modern recipientis: The man hath changed by Capacity, and is now no Subject capable of fuch an Obligation or Command; the he be capable of punishment for disablin himfelf, and Non-performance: Sin is n further Sin than it is Voluntary, by the wills Omission or Act, immediately or me diately.

2. But that it is Morally by our pravit now Impossible, for any man to keep all Goa Commandments and never Sin; what need there more than fad experience of the mat

ter of Fact.

1. Did he ever know the man himfelf that from his first use of reason to his Death did spend every minute of his time as Goo commanded him, and did Believe, and Love God and Man, and all good, with as great Love as God commanded him And was as free from every Fault Thought, Passion, Desire, Fear, Care Trouble, Pleasure, Word and Deed a God commanded? He would be no imal Sinner that were fo felf ignorant, proud unhumbled, as to fay that he is n Sinner.

2. Why else do these Priests force a men to confess their Sins to them, if me

be fuch as never finned?

why do they compose all their Ligres and Offices for their Churches, th Confessions of Sin; and Prayers for rgiveness?

Why do they Baptize all, if they we no Sin? And in what Sence do they

ve them the Eucharift?

5. How little use do they seign such

en to have of a pardoning Saviour?

6. In what Sence shall such say the ards Prayer, Forgive in our Sins or Tresses?

7. Doth not the Text expressly call him Lyar that saith he hathno Sin; as afored: And Christ condemn the Pharisee at justified himself, and justified the

nfessing Publican?

But it's like he will say that he did not ean, that any man doth keep all the Commonds, but that he can do it, tho' he do on. Ans. I again say, 1. He can as to the tural strength, if he were but perfectly a constantly willing: But it cannot be that a should be so willing without Grace, and trace is not perfect in this Lise. 2. The ore he boasteth of his Power to keep all ods Laws, the more he condemneth miels that can and will not. 3. And frustic protents a que nunquam & a nemine aucillar in astum: If he consess that no

man doth it, he must confess such a Man impossibility as the Prophet meant, the said, Can the Leopard change his Spots, the Blackmore his Skin? Then may the that are accustomed to do Evil, learn do well.

But perhaps he meant not that it is possible to keep all the Commands, for all of Lives, but for some short time? I answe 1. While a man hath the use of his Resson, he doth not reach the commande degree of Faith, Love, Joy, Heavenhards, one moment of time: But indeed when a man is assept, in a Swoun, an Applexy, stark mad, &c. he may for the time break no Command, nor keep any.

But perhaps he speaketh but of sincer Obedience, and not of absolute sinces per section. Ans. If so, he is a deceiver the section and supererogation is con

trary.

Obj. But he speaketh not what man con do without Grace, but by 11's assistance Ans. Grace maketh up man absolutely sin

less, and perfect in this Life.

Let him know, that Protestants do no only say that man by Gods Grace more keep Gods Commandments fincerely, (the not finlessly and perfectly, ) but that no an of Age and Reason shall be saved

at doth not lo.

And that the all our Obedience be neerfect, the Imperfections are pardod, and our Obedience accepted and rearded, for the Merits of the perfect Oddience, Sacrifice, and Intercession of an Saviour.

## The One and Twentieth accused Point.

That Faith only Justifieth, and that good Porks are not abjolutely necessary to Salation.

Ans. Many wordy Controversies are tade about things, that in Sence men are ommonly agreed in. 1. We all believe foods Word, that they were deceived that nought they could be justified either by he Law of Innocency or Nature, or the law of Moses, or any meritorious Works their own, without, or as a supplement to he Sacrifice, Merits and free Grace of brist our Saviour, and Faith in him.

2. By Faith is meant Christianity: In the Gospel it is all one to be a Believer, a Disciple of Christ, and to be a Christian. The Christian Faith is that which is extent in the Baptismal Covenant, believing and giving up our selves to, God the

Father, Son, and Holy Ghost: To Chras our Prophet, Priest and King, rustaved by his Merits and free Grace: At this is put in opposition to the Works Adam's or Moles Law, or any other than are conceited to luffice and merit, without the foresaid Redemption by Christ. As is not this the true Doctrine of all transfer of the christians?

2. These good Works that are our of bedience to the Law of Christ, are but the performance of our Baptismal Covenant and the Fruits of Faith, without which is dead Hypocrisie, and are of absolute necessity to Salvation, to all that have time to do them.

Against the charge, That we are Sinne deserving Hell, we are justified by Chribelieved in: Against the acculation, Thewe are Insidels, Ungodly, Hypocrite we must be justified by our Faith, Godl

ness, and Works, or perish.

But we do also hold, 1. That if a me be convicted, as the Theif on the Cros and should die suddenly, no outward goo which he cannot do, is absolutely necessity to his Salvation, but only his inware Faith, Love, and Repentance, and Confession if able.

we defined y hold, that Works done the a concert of obliging God by Merit, commutative Justice, or as conceited ficient without a Saviour, and the part of their failings, are such as more surer Damnation than Salvation, at least

those that hear the Gospel. 3. And we are no Papists, and therere believe not that ignorant words of rayer in a Tongue not understood, and earing Reliques, and going on Pilgrimaes, and needless confessing to Priests, and bjection to an universal Vice-Christ, and ving upon the Blood of Saints, Murdeng the Living, and praying to the Dead, nd the Sons honouring their Days, Recks and Monuments, whom their Fathers urnt or Persecuted; these are not Good Works necessary to Salvation, as is plain, Lub. 23. and Revel. 14. 17, 18, 6c. Vedo, with Paul, renounce all Works of or own, that are thought to make the leward to be of Debt and not of Grace, nd that are let in the least opposition or emperition with Christs Merits, or any lace fave commanded Subordination to

The Two and Tmentieth accused Point.

That no Good Works are Meritorious.

Anf. The word Merit is ambiguous and so abused by Papists, that indeed a Protestants are shyer of it than the Father were, lest the use of it should cherish abuse.

1. There is Merit of man, and of Go
2. And this in Commutative Justice (co
ceited,) or only in Governing distribution
Justice. 3. And this is either according
to the Law of Innocency or Moses, or a

cording to the Law of Christ.

Now Protestants hold, r. As to the Name, that azins and azina, worthy an worthiness, are Scripture words, and make used; and Merit is but of the same in infication, and we condemn not the Ancents that so used it: But the worst Sent must not be cherished.

2. Do they hold, 1. That no Creatucan merit of God in Commutative Justice that giveth quid pro quo to his Benefit God receiveth not from Man or Angels unless he will call Acceptance and Complacence Receiving.

3. None but Christ merited of stri

hat will live man from the charge of Sin

and desett of Death.

3. All at Age that will be faved, must have good Works according to their Capatry, for Christ is the Author of Salvation, and those that obey him. Heb. 5. 9. Tho' they obey not an unknown Priest t Rome.

But all these Works are our Obedience of Christs own healing Government, such the Laws of a Physician to the Sick. and we all agree, that he will Judge (that is, Justific or Condemn in Judgment) all wen according to their Works, that is, actording to the Law and it's promulgation, by which in their several Ages and Nations he governed them.

4. Your own Doctors that know what ney lay, tell us, that by Meric, they mean othing but the Rewardable quality of their strength of their Merits. And doch any Protestant Church

eny this?

The Three and Twentieth accused Point.

That Faith once had, cannot possibly be

Ans. Still confused flander and deceit.

Protestants hold, 1. That the Faith no rooted, prevalent and saving, is frequently

lost, such as you call fides informs.

2. That even sincere Faith may be lost as to the Act for some little time, that is suspended in a deliquium, as Peters and theirs, Luk. 24. that said, We trusted this bad been he, &c.

3. That many lose to the Death som

degree of their habitual Faith.

4. But they differ in the rest, just a you do among your selves, Dominican and Jesuits. 1. Some think that no one (at Age at least) in a State of fuch Faith a at present would have saved him, doth eve totally lose it. 2. Some think that many have but fuch loseable Grace as Adam had x. As being not Elect to Salvation, and therefore not in Gods decree of Prefervation and Perseverance, 2. As having a Faith not Rooted and Confirmed: And that these may fall from a justified State But that, i. The Elect. 2. Nor the Confirmed, never fall away. This was Austins Judgment, and his followers, of which see Vossii Theses. And is that Je (wit honest that feigneth this proper to the Protestants, where the Controverse is the fame among themselves?

## The Four and Twentieth accused Point.

That God by his will and inevitable dece, hath ordained from all Eternity, who

I be Damned and who Saved.

Anf. What a falle Deceiver is this. at would make us believe, that this is oper to the Protestants, when it is the ommon Doctrine not only of the Domicans, but of the very Jesuits themselves, d all their Church.

1. None of them dare say, that men e Damned or Saved without Gods foreowledge, nor against his absolute will.

overcoming his Power.

2. None of them dare say, that this re-knowledge of God was not from ernity, but that he knew one day what

knew not before.

3. All that the Jesuits themselves say. that God decreed it upon this foreowledge, and that he hath a Scientia dia, what will come to pals, positis quidam, if such and such things be done man; and that this fore-knowledge in der of Nature is before the Decree, t both from Eternity. But Cardin Camecensis ( Petrus de Aliaco, ) hath irrefrably confuted this impoling Priority and

Posteriority of act on God; the I think some Divine acts as denominated only Relatively from the order of Object.

may be so distinguished ×

4. In all this, we say not, that God had by his will and decree ordained from Eternity, (or in time) that men shall sin, or will and choose Evil, but only who shall be Damned for sin, which God never willed or caused, but foresaw; not as if he were an idle Spectator, but a willing suspender of his own acts, so far as to leave Sinners to their self-determining wills.

5. But God being the cause of Good, and Men and Devils of Evil, our Salvation is of him, and our Destruction of our selves; and therefore God decreeth not Men's Salvation or Sanctification, meerly on foresight of our Faith, but decreeth our Faith it self: Sin he permitteth, but Faith he essected and decreeth to

Effect.

6. As for them that feign that we fay, that God decreeth that some shall be Saved and others Damned however they Live it is but the dictates of the Father of Lies. We say that God at once decreeth the End, and the Means; as he doth not decree that men shall live though they neither Eatnor Drink, nor that they shall have

Libr. pag. 24 & Helash Leaves of this Book torn, though they neither Plow nor Sow, that they shall Eat and Drink, and live increby; and that they shall Plow and sow, and mannure the Soil, and so have Corn. "So God doth at once decree,

Corn. "So God doth at once decree, I that this and that man shall have the means of Grace, (especially a Saviour and the Golpel,) and shall faithfully use them, and be Sanchified by them, and fincerely obey God, and overcome the World, the Flesh and the Devil, and persevere to the End, and that for Christs Merits he will give them the Grace of his Spirit, and pardon their Sins, and bring them to Glory. All this is our Decree of God.

But he doth not decree that men shall sin, that they may be Damned: For sin is no Work of God, nor a means appointed by him for Men's Damnation, no more than a Righteous King doth make men Traytors or Murderers, that he may Hang them. But he justly denyeth his Grace to many that forseit it by willful Resistance, Disobedience, and Contempt; though he take not the sorseiture of his Elect.

He is deceived and wrongeth God that maketh him the Author of Men's fin: And fo doth he that feigneth God to fend his Son to redeem the World, and his Word

F 3

and Ministers to call them, and its Source to renew them, and all this at Rando not knowing whether it may not be all for or leaving it chiefly to the Free-will or them, whose wills are contrarily inclined and vitiated? Whether Christ and all his

Preparations shall be lost?

The plain Christian that holdeth but to these two points, that our Destruction is of our selves, but our help and Salvation of God, and that God is the first and chief cause of all good, and Men and Devils of all Evil, is liker to be wise with Sobriety and Sasety, than the Ignorant Intruders into Gods Secrets, and the prating Calumniators that speak Evil of the things which they understand not; and repreach those that speak not as Rashly and Ignorantly as themselves, even in some equivocal unexplained words

Methinks Papists should be so kind to God, as seeing the Pope can tell who is a Damned Heretick, and to be kill'd, (even all that believe not in the Pope, or are not his Subjects,) and who is in Purgatory, and how long he shall stay there: Or how many years Torment the Pope can shorten: They should allow God to know a little more, and that not as one whose Power and Grace is Conquered by impotent

( 103 )

potent Worms, against his absolute Will.

The Five and Twentieth accused Point.

Thus every one oughs Infallibly to affure himfelf of his Salvation, and to believe that he is of the number of the Predestinate.

Anf. I would fain excuse the man as far as I can, and therefore I hope, that as the man was excusable that did eat Snakes for Snigs, (or Eels,) so he read some Pamphlet of an Antimonian, either Crisp or Salemarsh, or some other such, or talkt with some of their filly Novices, and thought he had Convers'd with the Reformed Catholicks, or read the Confessions of the Re-

formed Churches.

The first Sentence is a Fundamental Truth, and a damnable Falshood, 'as the Equivocal words are variously understood. And is it not pity that the Priests of the Intellible Church, should put things so different into the same words, and that in an accusation of so many Churches and Nations; when yet God himself is seigned by them to write by his Spirit so Unintelligibly, that without these Doctors skilled Exposition, it is but like to make men Hereticks, (that is, Adversaries to the Pope and his Clergy.)

4 T

To office our selves of our Salvation Lawy mean, to give all diligence to make our Solvation infallibly sure: This every one

ought to do.]

Or it may mean, that every man ought to believe it as an infallible Truth, that be shall be saved. The next Sentence seemeth to make this his meaning in the first: Which if it be, he is a false Calumniator of the Reformed Churches.

But if the first be his meaning, and he deny it, he is an open Enemy to Man's

Salvation.

What is all the Scripture for, and all our Religion, but to make fure of our Salvation? 2 Pet. 1. 10. Give all diligence to make your Calling and Election sure. And if no man can be fure, to what purpose hath God made fo many promifes of it, expressing the Conditions, (to them that believe, that love God, that for lake all for him, ) if no man can know whether he perform the Condition, and that he is within this promise? why doth God lay down fo many figns to difference the Children of God from the Children of the Devil, if they cannot be discerned? Sure Heaven and Hell be not like; and yet are the Heirs of Heaven and Hell undistinguishable? Is the Image of God and the Devil fo like that

hat none can know them afunder? No, hat the man that hath had them both? And why doth God to aften call on Believers to Rejoyce, if they cannot know whether hey shall be in Heaven and Hell for ever? I you say, he is not fure to perfevere, many Papills grant that the Confirmed may. And why may not Bradford, Hooper, Sanders, and Thousands else, that are Dying by the Sacred blood-thirsty Church, be altured when they are Dying, that they have for saken Life and all for Christ.

But operate mendacem effe memorem still: Why do you not tell men when the Pope is selling them Pardons, and saving them out of Purgatory, that when all's done they can have no assurance of Salvation? yea, that they ought not to endeavour to make it sure? And whose now is the safe Church and Religion, if a Papist can never be sure that he shall be saved in your Church and Religion; nor sure that he is in a State of Salvation? That is, that he is a true Christian, and hath Charity, and is an honest man?

2. A man that hath got true and clear Evidence that he hath a Confirmed Faith, and Hope, and loveth God; as God above all, ought confequently to take it for an infallible Truth, that so Dying, he inall

F

be faved: Elie he must either give Gethe Lie, that hath promised it, or he me be supposed to be deceived when he thinleth that he believeth and loveth God.

But that every man must believe the he is of the Number of the Predesting to Salvation, is a damnable Doctrine, be cause it requireth all the Millions of ungot ly men to believe a Lie, yea to believe as a Divine Truth, and to make God bot the Author of the Lie, and of the dece of our selves by this Command. An when Millions are not of the Number c Sanctified, and therefore not of the Predestinate, if they so continue, what ca more harden them in their Impenitence than to tell them that they must all believe that they shall be faved? How man hundred Protestant Books, and thousant Sermons tell the World that it is the Preachers earnest drift, to save Wicker men from fuch Prefumption, which make men call them terrible Preachers? Every man is bound to believe Gods promise to be true, and that he himself shall be faved if he be a true penitent sanctified Christian, and so continue, and that else he shall be Damned; and not to distrust God as unwilling to continue the Grace he hath given him.

The Six and Twentieth accused Point.

That every man hath net an Angel Guar?

Ans. 1. We hold that every true Chriblan, even the least, hath his Angel who becoldeth the Face of his Father in Heaven: and that Angels are Gods Ministring Spiits, for the good of his Elect; and that hey guard us and pitch their Tents about e, and bear us up in their Hands, and beep us in and from Danger, and rejoyce the Conversion of a Sinner, and that we we in invisible Communion with them, and shall be like them.

2. But whether every Christian have me Angel to himself alone, that guardeth no other, or one Angel guard Hundreds or Thousands: Or whether some (as Lower Officers are fet over a few, and others as General Officers are over whole Kingdoms,) we leave to the determination of the Infallible Pope, who is bolder with Gods Secrets than we dare be.

3. But till now, I thought they had not been so presumptuous, as to affert that every man hath a Guardian Angel. Where is there one word of God for this? Is every man an Herr of Salvation, or one of

Christs

Christs little ones, or under his promits Had Cain and Judas such Angels, and the Sodomites?

Christ made it an argument of Terror to Perfections, that they offend such as based fuch Angels with God: And dare you pair them as Devils, and Burn them, or Murder them by the Dragons Dragoons, you believe that every man hath such Guardian Angel? Surely Saints, the vallet Hereticks, have such.

The Seven and Twentieth accused Point.

That the Holy Angels pray not for us, norknow our Thoughts and desires on Earth.

Ans. A false accusation. We say not that they know not our desires, nor any of our Thoughts. We say that Angels are no such Strangers to Saints and sincere Godlines, as not to know that all Godly men desire the Hallowing of Gods Name, the coming of his Kingdom, and the doing of his Will on Earth as it is done in Heaven: They that know what Grace is, and what our Prayers are, know much of our desires: And we do not think that Angels know less of our Thoughts than Devils, who we seel to our Trouble are not alto-

gethe:

dether unacquainted with them. And those Angels that rejoyce at a Sinners Convertion, are not unacquainted with it.

And as to their Praying, we know not how it is that Angels express their desires to God; but we all agree that they desire our welfare, and therefore may be said to pray for it, if all notified Desire be Prayer. We suppose that they know and Love us, far better than we know and Love each other.

But we read that the Heretical Gnofticks, or their like, did deceive men, [by Voluntary Humility, and worshipping of Angels, intruding into those things which they had not seen, vainly puft up by a fleshly mind, 7 Col. 2. 18, 19. Therefore we dare not pretend to Papal Infallibility, nor boldly to conjecture, how far it is that our Thoughts are known to Angels, nor how much they are ignorant of them; nor when, or how oft, or bow far, or in what manner they pray for us: How far particularly, and how far only generally, &c. Had this knowledge been needful to us, God would have revealed it: Much less do we know what Angel or what departed Soul of a Saint hath the care or charge of our Sheep, and of our Cutel, and who of our Pigs and Geele, and who of our Fruits and Corn;

Corn; abundance of these things we leave to the Infallible Church: As we do their acquaintance in Purgatory, while our acquaintance and Convertation in Heaven, can reach no higher than the prospect which we have in and by the Glass of Scripture Revelation.

The Eight and Twentieth accused Point.

That we may not pray to them.

Ans. 1. We may defire Living Saints to pray for us, and this may be called Praying to them: So a Child prayeth to his Father or Master. But we pray not to dead Saints nor Angels: 1. Because we have an hundred Commands to pray to God, and not one to pray to them, and where there is no Law, there is no Transgression or Sin. Therefore while we are fure it is no Sin to forbear it, and know not but it is Sin to do it, we go the fafe way: If they fay, it is against the Popes Law or his Clergy's, we fay with Paul, it is a small thing to us to be judged of man, (who can but kill our Bodies,) we have one that judgeth us, even the Lord. Let the Pope Damn us if he can.

2. As the first Commandment forbiddeth us to have any God but one, fo the

leathers did their Idols, because it is Boily interpretative Idolatry and Scandal: fut the Heathens used to pray to their uner Deities, whom they judged to be much like that which the Papists judge of angels, and praying to Invisible Spirits is o imitate them as scandalously as praying oward Images: No wonder therefore hat you so usually leave out the second Commandment.

3. Gods Word is the Rule of all acceptable Religious Worship, (tho' but a General Rule in many Modes and Circumstances,) and therefore we fear swerving

from it.

4. Angels themselves never demanded it, nor Christ bespake it for them, yea, they twice sorbad it John: See then do it not.

5. Angels being more holy than we, are more for the Glory of God, and the harred of Creature arrogance and Idolatry; and as God calleth himtelf specially Jealow against bodily Worship like the Idolaters, in the second Commandment, so Angels are more jealow against it than we are.

6. As Angels said, See thou do it not, so contrarily Satan tempted Christ, with the offer of the Kingdoms and glory of the World,

to fall down and worthin knot. Therefore we had rather hearken to the Angels that to Devils: And fear, they that do other wife, worthip Devils for Angels, becaut only Devils have fought such Worship And the Devil oft turneth himfelf as interan Angel of Light to deceive, as hi Ministers do into Ministers of Righteous ness.

7. We know not when Angels hear us and when they do not: And therefore know not when and how to pray to them.

8. As we are fure that God would have bid us do it, if he would have us do it, fo we know that he is all sufficient to tell them what and when to doe for us; and to pray to him is the way to secure their Service.

9. And we know that there is one Mediator between God and Man, whose Intercession is sufficient.

10. And we know that Christians praying to Angels and separated Souls, greatly hardeneth the Heathen World that pray to separated Souls, and Dæmons that are their Sub-deities.

11. And when these men saw not, we must pray to Angels, but we may do it; what horrid Murderers are they, that will Burn, Kill, and Damn men, for not doing aher they think they may do, without we make or Divine Obligation? Why they it not at best, as part of their

works of Supererrogation?

The Deceiver prophaning the Scripte, Puts Jacobs Benedition desiring Angels guard on his Son, to be a layer to Angels. Yea, when the Faers say, that Angel was Christ him-

2. And facebs words to the Angel that peared to him, to be a reason for our taying to unseen Spirits: If they appear us, we shall the better know what and then to speak to them.

# The Nine and Twentieth accused Point.

## That the Angels cannot help us.

Anj. This is too gross stating of Conroversies for a Collier or a Cobler, tho' not for a Doctor of Infallible Church.

1. We say, that not only an Angel, but a Man, an Als, (as Balaams,) a blast of Wind, Flies, Frogs, Lice (as in Egypt,) can help us, when God sendeth them to telp us.

i. We believe that Angels are specially impowred and willing for it. So that

they

they are Gods Eminent Ministring Spu

for the good of his Elect.

3. But we believe that they can do no thing for us, but what God empower and Commissioneth them to do. He th Curseth those that trust in man, and man Flesh their Arm, instead of trusting Go, will so Curse them that so trust in Angel But yet we may and must trust man an Angels, according to their several mea fures of Gods authorizing and enabling them. The great Mercies of Protection and affistance that God giveth us by Angel is the matter of much of our daily thank to God: And I am daily thankful to Angel themselves; and I think I love them bet ter than any Friends on Earth, because they are better, and love God better: And I am the willinger to Die, because I shall go to the World of Love, where as Go and Christ is Love, so Angels love God, and we for his fake, better than I love my felf, while our Papists that pray to Angels, devour the blood of Saints.

#### The Thirtieth aecused Point.

That no Saint Deceased, buth after appeared to any on Earth.

Many. A meer false Calumny. What totellant Confessions have any such Ar-How know we what hath been whose of that kind in all the World to this Read but Dr. More, and Mr. Glan-Books of Apparitions, and Mr. Am-Mole, and Mr. Lawrences Books of our Communion with Angels. Read Zanhins, Luther, Melauston, Manlius, walu, &s. and you may see that this is o Protestant affertion. We know that Christ appeared to Saul, and that many lodies of Saints arose at Christs Death, nd appeared to many: And what the Witch of Endor showed as Samuel, we snow not: We only fay, 1. That it is nuch liker that Apparitions are oftest made by Devils or bad Spirits that dwell n the lower Regions, than that bleffed Spirits come from Heaven. 2. But yet being Angels thence appear, we cannot lay that Holy Souls never do. 3. But that God will not have it to be any ordinary or trusty means for Men's Salvation: for we cannot know when it is a Holy Soul, and when a Devil: And they that will not believe Moses and the Prophets, (and Christ,) neither will they believe tho' one rose from the Dead: It's no Article of put Faith, that they ever did appear or

not. And if these men think otherwi why must this Opinion more than thousand such, be obtruded as necessary all others?

The One and Thirtteth accused Point.

That the Saints Deceased, know not who

passeth here on Earth.

Ans. Confusion and Calumny. Ther is knowledge Immediate by Intention and Mediace by Notification from other And there is knowledge perfect, and a part.

1. We take not on us to know the ex. tent of the knowledge of separated Souls, And these proud Infallible men know no more than we, but so much less, in that they know not their own Ignorance: Yet neither Lilly, nor any Aftrologer, nor Conjurer, that ever I heard of, that pretendeth the greatest acquaintance with Spirits, did ever pretend to make their Opinions of them necessary to Salvation; por to kill all Diffenters as Hereticks, but the Vice-Christ and his Church, we cannot know all that they pretend to know. And why must we needs know whether ever fuch Souls appeared? If they did, we will try what they are by the word of God,

wich is our Rule. And why must we now how much they know? We know at they are not Omniscient, nor Omnirefent. And how much they know by relent Intention, not one of these Preenders know. That they know more on we, and know much by the notices Angels or one another, and specially f the General State of Christs Kingdom in Earth, we make no doubt: And what hrist himself maketh known to them, we thow not. O! what fort of men are hele, that forbid us to Read the Word of God, and yet obtrude on us (on dismal Penalties, to many things more than all the Bible doth contain!

## The Two and Thirtieth accused Point.

That the Saints pray not for us.

Arf. Equivocal and falle. 1. All Saints on Earth pray for us: It is part of the Communion of Saints.

2. We say of the Prayer of departed Souls, the same that we said before of the Prayer of Angels. Their General Requests for the Church and against Enemies, proveth not to us what extent their knowledge of particulars hath, nor what particulars they ask, nor that every Christian

can fay that they pray for him, and that his particular cases.

The Three and Thirtieth accused Point.

That we enght not to be seech God, to grant our prayers in favour of the Saints, or the Merits: Nor do we receive any benefi

chereby.

Ans. This is before answered to the 17th. Accusation. I told you that we hold, that God bleffeth Children for their Holy Parents sakes, their Relation making the welfare of the one to be the others: And God bleffed others for Josephs sake, and sometimes preserveth whole Countries for the sake of the Godly there: And on what account, and how far, I will not again repeat.

And the Union and Communion of Saints in Heaven and Earth are so near, that I dare not say that God doth any good to any one faithful Soul, that is not in some respect for the sake of all the rest; as the Cure of an aking Tooth is for the sake of all the Body: That is, 1. For the good of the whole. 2. And done out of

love to the whole.

But this will not satisfie confounding Deceivers. No doubt it is dead Saints that

meaneth: And what he meaneth by Air, I suppose he knoweth not himself; He he would have told us: How far own, or abhor the pretence of Merits, rewed before. All Saints are faved by full fufficient Merits of Chrift, and e none at all of their own, unless the inbleness of Grace freely given them called their Merits, as a thankful Child re deserveth his Fathers Love, (that is, more Lovely) than a Rebel that scorneth n; and a piece of Gold deserveth to esteemed above Dirt; and a Nightine above a Toad. Yea their own let Vasquez, not only denieth all Merit of d in Commutative Justice, (as all save mans and a few fuch Sots do,) but also point of distributive Justice, by which Teemeth to deny Merit more than Prostants do. For by Merit we mean but for al aptitude, for the reward of a free nef Etor who is also Rector, when the oroling of a free Gift suspended on official mattions, is sapicutially made a means of ocuring Obedience.

Whatever God hath promised to give for other Men's sake, that he will so we. But our Faith shall not go beyond a Promise: If God have told us any nere, who Saint Nicolus, and St. Becket,

and St. Christopher, and St. Jonn, and June, and St. Winifred are, and where were to us more than others, and they were real Saints, and that he in promised us Mercy for their sakes, and us pray to him for their Meriting for elet them shew us this in his Word. If it be only the Popes Command and Primise, let his Subjects obey and trust it.

We are certain that none but Saints are faved: And why then must I go to God for the Merits of St. Nicholas, or St Bridge any more than for the Merits of all the

rest, which are many Millions?

As God is jealous of his Honour again Idols, so is he of Christs Honour again Antichrists and salse Mediators, and wimust do nothing that seemeth to ascribany part of Christs proper Office of Mediation to any Creature: And doth it no seem so, if we pray, Lord hear, pards and save me for the Merits of Becket will Bridget, &c. For what more can we say of the Merits of Christ?

But still mark, that these men say nethat, me must pray thus for the Merit of Saints, but that we may. And must all be Burnt or Damned that will not do all that the Pope thinks they may do?

Man recesse no benefit by them, is a niged Calumny and not our Doctrine: We believe that the Jews had benefit by thraham, Moses, David, when they rere Dead: And that the Reformed Churhes have had benefit by the Blood of the fartyrs, shed by the Blood-thirsty Pails to this day; and that the whole church hath benefit by the Writings of thrysostom, Nazianzene, Augustine, Ge. wither, Calvin, &c.

### The Four and Thirtieth accused Point.

That we ought not expresly to pray them to ray or intercede to God for us.

Ans. There was enough faid of this be-

ore, about praying to Angels.

When God bids us pray to dead Men's ouls, we will do it. Till then your faying we may do it, proveth neither may nor mift to us. Why then cannot you keep your [may] to your felves? Never a Conarer in England can tell us, how far Souls in Jeaven can hear, nor where and when they re present or within hearing; nor which them are so, whether all, or one, or which so nor whether those Saints that undertood not Latin on Earth, do understand atin Prayers sent up from Earth, when

G

the

he Speaker himself understandeth then or. Alas! Christian Reader, what idark uncertain Worship, like Charming would this Infallible Church compell meto offer the most Holy God, while they accuse his Word of ensuring dangerous obscurity.

We will pray to those alive, that we know do hear us, to pray to God for us, for the fake of Christ: But it's but profanation of the Scripture, to fay, that because Luke 16, a man in Hell supposed to see and hear Abraham, did pray him to send La. zarm on Earth; therefore we that neither see nor hear the Dead, should pray to them. But Dives prayed in vain, and fo may you. And what if those Souls fnould prove to be in Purgatory? Must we pray both to them that are in Purgatory, and for them also? And is it certain that the Pope and all his Church, are fure which Saint is not in Purgatory, when all are there or worse (say they,) that ever sinned and did not Pennance for it?

The Five and Thirtieth accused Point.

That the Bones or Relicks of the Saints are not to be kept or reserved; no Virtue proceeding from them after they be once dead.

Ans

Ant. 1. Where hath God Commanded us to keep them, for the Virtue that pro-

seede h from them?

2. We deny not but a man may keep Skeleton or Skull, and if it be his Fahers, we will accuse him no higher than of Imprudence and Passion. But what proof have you of Virtue proceeding from Bones, till you see it by experience? Is it any appointed means for God to work Miracles by? And how know you that all were Saints that the Pope calleth fo? Had all the Debauched Popes of Anno 800, 900, 1000, skill Infallible to know Saints from Hypocrites? And hath God promifed Virtue to all their Bones! And are you fure that they are their Bones? Alas! what numerous Tricks have men to trust to, to deceive themselves and others, that yet will not obey Christs plain Commands, and trust his promise!

The Six and Thirtieth accused Point.

That Creatures cannot be Sanstified, or made more holy than they are already of their own Nature.

Ans. A down-right flander. 1. We believe that all men that shall be faved, are or G 2 shall than they are of their own Nature.

2. We believe that to the Pure, athings are Pure, and are Santified by the Word and Prayer: And that whatever we do, we should do it to the glory of God: And when a Christian devoteth and useth his Food, Estate, and all to Gods Service, it is Santified.

3. We believe that a Temple, a Font, a Table, and Utenfils, may well be feparated from common uses to Gods Worship: And that Separation is a sanctifying of them.

To be Santlified or Holy, is but to be feparated from common use, to Gods special Service, according to the nature of the

thing used.

t. Godly men are Santtifyed and Saints, because by Soul-consent and Devotion, and Practice, they are sincerely separated to God, from the slavery of the World, the Flesh and the Devil; being Habitually and Predominantly lovers of God and Holiness, by the grace of Christ and the Holy Ghost.

2. Professed Christians are Sacramentally Sanctifyed, when by outward Baptism, they are devoted to God in Christ.

3. Even bad Ministers are externally inchifyed, as separated and consecrated

o a Holy Office.

4. Temples, and Books, and Church Itenfils are tanctifyed, when by men they are separated from common and uncleadinge, to Gods Worship. So that the Holiness in all be this separation to God, yet, as the Persons and things are not the ame, so neither is their Holiness in specie,

out only in genere.

And there is a Superstitions and an Idolarous Mock-Holiness, when men will devote that to God and Holy uses which he abhorreth, or accepteth not, nor ever required of them: And fay as the Hypoerite Pharifees, it is Corban, who required this at their hands? The Hypocrites and Idolaters have always been forward for this unrequired Mock-Holinels, to quiet their Confciences, inflead of real faving Holineis. It's Cheaper and Easier to have Holy-Water, Holy-Oil, Holy-Spittle, Holy-Images, Holy-Croffes, Holy-Vestments of many forts, Holy-Altars, Holy-Shrines, and Pilgrimages, Holy-Bones, and Chips and Places, than to have Holy-Hearts and Lives, which love God, and Grace, and Heaven, above all this World and Life it felf, and by the Spirit mortify all fleshly Lusts. G 3

The Seven and Thirtieth accused Point.

That Children may be saved by their Parents Faith, without the Sacrament of Ho-

ly Baptism.

Anf. Can you untiddle this charge? Whether the man mean that they may be taved by Baptism without their Parents Faith? Or that both must be conjoyned as necessary to Salvation? He will not tell us that.

1. That God hath made abundance of promises to the Seed of the Faithful, and taketh them into the Covenant of Grace with their Parents, and faith that they are Holy, 1 Cor. 7. 14; Protestants have copiously proved against Anabaptists and Papists. But it is Gods Mercy, and Christs Merit, Grace, and Covenant, that they are faved by: The Parents Faith is but that Qualification and Relation, which maketh them receptive and capable of this faving Grace. The Parenrs Faith faveth themselves, but as the Moral qualifying dispofition and condition of Gods faving Gift: And to Infants it is required, not that they be Believers, but Believers Seed, devoted to God by Parents or Pro-Parents, whose they are.

2. We doubt not, but regularly, where may be had, this Dedication should be ilemnly made by Baptismal Covenanting: tak the Anabaptists whether we hold not his. But we believe, that as private Marage maketh Husband and Wife before God, but solemn Matrimony is necessary for publick Order, without which they may be punished as Fornicators: So if an Intent be the Child of one believing Parent, dedicated to God, he is Holy and in the same Covenant with the Parent, (and were else unclean:) But that before the Church, he is not regularly to be judged in Covenant till it be solemnized in Christs appointed way by Baptism.

Still excepting where Baptism cannot be had; and there even sober Papists say, that the Votum, the Vow, or desire, will

serve.

And this necessity is manifold: 1. When the Child dieth, before Baptism could be had.

2. Where there is no capable Person to do it, or that will not atterly depraye it.

3. When the Parent is an Antipædo-Baptist, and omitteth it, thinking it a Sin. If they think that the Infant is not saved by the Parents Faith, why should they think, that believing Parents Children are dam-

G 4

ne

ned because the Parent Erreth in igca

éxternal thing?

But Papills, that turn other parts of Holinels into Form and Ceremony, and make a Religion of the Carkais mornifyed, would here also perswade People, that the very outward act of Washing, is of so great moment with God, that though it were the holyest Persons or their Seed, a mistake, or a delay, or surprize of Death, will damn them if they be not Baptized, (or Martyred.) This tendeth to Subject all to the Mercy and Dominion of the Priests, that they may seem more necessary to Salvation than they are, or at least their external Forms, by Lay-men or Women Baptizers administred.

Constantine himself, the Churches great Deliverer, was not Baptized till near his Death: Are they sure that he was till then in a state of Damnation, and had been Damned if he had so Died? Methinks in gratitude, the Church of Rome, should have east him no lower than the Torments

of Purgatory.

The Eight and Thirtieth accused Point.

That the Sacrament of Confirmation is not necessary, nor to be used.

Ans.

Ans. You may so mean by the Word Sacrament ] and [Confirmation, ] as that te do deny them. And you may fo mean, that we are more for them than you

1. If by a Sacrament, you mean one of od's Institution, appointed by him to be his olemn Delivery and Investiture in a state f Christianity or necessary Grace; and f by Confirmation you mean Arch-Bilhops nointing Infants, or Ignorant Children, or Persons, with hallowed Oyl, compounled once a year, and his Ceremonious oxing them, and such other Formalities; hen we deny that such Confirmation is any such Sacrament, nor is necessary, or to be uled; because Holy things are not to be

mortyfied and profaned.

2. But if by a [Sacrament] you mean, but a Solemn renewal of our Covenant with God in Christ; and by Confirmation you must, that those Baptized in Infancy friould at due Age, understandingly, under the Pastors hand or Care, profess their serious personal Consent to that Covenant which by others they imputatively made in Baptilin; we are so far from denying this, that we think till this Solemn personal Covenanting, and owning their Baptism with understanding and seeming seriousness, be made

(130)

mad the Entrance into the state of solution Church Communion, the wosul Correct on of the Church is never to be well held; but while one side turn Consistantic into a dead Shadow and Mockery, and the Anabaptists scandalized Heresie, are all scandal

The Nine and Thirtieth accused Point.

That the Bread of the Supper of our Lord was but a Figure, or Remembrance of the Body of Christ, received by Faith, and

not his true and very Body.

Ans. 1. Protestants hold, that as all words are to be taken according to the usage of the Subject or Science that they are used about, Physical Terms Physically, Rhetorical Rhetorically, Geometrical, Astronomical, Arithemetical, accordingly, Law Terms according to Law, and Moral and Theological Terms Morally

Theologically; lo, if as Naturalists, le 25k what the matter of that Sacrament we say Bread and Wine: If as Moralifts d Theologues, we say, it is the Body and and of Christ: As if you ask of a Gold d Silver Coyn, what it is in a Natural ince, we fay, it is Gold and Silver: But you ask in a Civil, Political and Lavlence, we fay, it is a 20 s. piece, a Jabus, a Carolus piece, or it is a Crown, r a Shilling. So we say that Sacramentally ad Morally, and Relatively, that which is saturally true Bread and Wine, is yet also he true Body and Blood of Christ: And we lay not that it is only a Figure and Remembrance, but it is such a Figure as is Representatively his very Flesh and Blood: And it is to Deliver to we, and Invest us in a Spiritual Union with Christ himself, and right to his saving Grace.

A proxy that as Representative of a Prince Marrieth a Foreign Lady, is more than a Remembrance; and so is his Image, if it be uled in the Marriage. A Key, or a Twig and Torf, by which Investiture in House and Land is delivered, and a Staff and Ring, by which Bishopricks were of old delivered by Investiture, are all more than

a vare Romembiance.

2. Asto your implied Dost ine of Tran-

fubRantiation, that after the words of Con fectation, there is left no real Bread and Wine, it is Copiously and underryably proved a Novel Doctrine, to montrous, at if it had been formed to engage Mankind in a Renunciation of Christianity, Humanity, and common Senles, and to be an obliging profession of this Renunciation. It is enough for us to believe, that after the crue Confectation, it is no more meen Bread and Wine, (as after the Coyning a 20 s. Piece, a Crown, or a Noble, or an Angel, it is not meer Gold, but the faid named Coyn.) But if ever Satan shewed himself a Dragon, under the Name of an Angel of Light, it was when he made the Canons of the 4th. Laterane General Counoil under Innocent the 3d. that fet up Tranfubstantiation, and the Murdering of all that deny it, of depoking Princes that will not exterminate them. This adjuncts and effects will shew the difference between this Counterfeit Sacrament and Christ. Christs Sacrament was instituted to be a Sacrament and Covenant of dearest Love between God and Man, and one another: But as Saran, when he Covenanteth with Witches, to fell him their Souls, must have it sealed by his sucking their Blood, so the feal that he fet to the Monster of Transubstant

than, was that his Church and it must live

But what will convince men, that by only and Worldly Interest have Conqued all that is proper to a man, yea, or a

ving sensible Animal.

They pretend Christs Words, This my Body, when they know that it was as ordinary parabolical phrase, and they will take this Physically and fingularly as lifferent from all the rest. Tho he say, I am the Door, I am the Vine, and ye are he Branches, and my Father is the Hustend-man, and the Field is the World, and the Tares are the Children of the World, and the Tares are the Angels; they that received the Seed by the High-way, are they that, Go. And so he speaketh usually.

a. They know that in a Cor. 11. Paul calls it Bread after the Confectation, three times in the three next Verses: And would they have Burnt Paul for a Heretick? What can they devise against these plain

words?

Hell that will believe their Eyes, Tafte, Feeling or any Sente of themselves, and all others, that perceiveth true Bread and Wine after Confectation.

4. Hereby they make God as Created the Grand Deceiver of the World, by de-

luding all Men's Senses.

5. And hereby they overthrow all arrainty of Faith Divine and Humane. For Sense and Humanity are before Faith and Christianity, and their perception presupposed: And if Sense be presupposed fallible, yea salse, Faith must needs be so: For we are not sure that ever we saw a Book, or Man, or Light, or heard man speak, or what he saith: And how can he believe Gods Word or the Popes, or Priests, that is not sure that ever he heard or saw them?

6. They feign every fortish filthy Priest, to work more Miracles at his pleasure, by his transubstantiating, than Christ or his

Apostles did.

7. They enable a drunken Priest to undee Bakers and Vintners, by saying the words of Consecration Intentione Conseerandi over all their Bread and Wine: And then they have none left.

8. They feign Christ to have eaten his own Body by his Body, and either that the same Body did eat it self, or that he

had two Bodies that did eat neither.

9. They feign that his whole Body did eat his broken Body, and that his Disci-

e did eat it, before it was bnoken; id drank his shed Blood before it was

ne 5th. and Nice the 2d. tell us, that thrifts body in Heaven now is not Flesh and thood, and Paul saith 1 Cor. 15, that telh and Blood cannot inherit the Kingdom of God, yet they maintain that Christ hath and these 1600 years a body of Flesh and Blood.

IT. They feign abundance of Accidents without subject Substances, that are the Accidents (Quantity, Quality, &c.) of nothing.

12. They feign a fottish Priest to make

his Maker day by day.

13. When Christ saith, He that eateth my Flesh, and drinketh my Blood, shall live for ever; they seign the most wicked men to eat his Flesh and drink his Blood.

14. They feign Mice to eat God.

15. They feign every wicked man to eat his God, and digest part of him into his own Flesh and Blood, and cast out the other part into the Jakes.

16. They teach men to commit Idola.

try, by worshiping Bread as God.

17. All this is enforced by Fire and Sword, against the Blood of Holy men.

18. All

18. All this is Novel Herefie, contratto the Doctrine of the Universal Church for a Thouland years after Christ ammore.

19. It's contrary to Paul's Quere 1 Cor. 12. Are all workers of Miraeles

understood Negatively.

20. It's feigned a fufficient ground to depose Princes, and destroy whole Lands.

But these things, and specially the forged Miracles of the Transubstantiation, are more largely consuted in many Treatiles.

What hope of ending any Controversies with Papists, that agree not with us in the credit of Senses as Heathens do? Can we bring any Controversie to a plainer issue, than to all Men's common Senses, about due Objects and due Mediums? And is there any disputing where no principle is agreed on?

#### The Fortieth accused Point.

That we ought to receive under both kinds,

and that one alone is not sufficient.

Anf. It concerneth them that deny this, either to keep men from Reading Gods Word, or to tell them it is falle,

200

Lie Pope's is true that contradicteth it: that is a flat defyance of God or his ord, if this be not? Christ saith, Math. 27, 28. Drink year of it: For this Blood of the New-Testament, which Bed for many, for the remission of sins. and St. Paul faith, I Cor. 11. 23. I have weived of the Lord that which I delivered you: That the Lord Jesus, the Night in nich be was betrayed, took Bread, &c. ers. 25. After the same manner also he ok the Cup, when be had Supped, saying, his Cup is the New-Testament in my blood : his do ye as oft as you drink it, in rememrance of me: For as often as yo eat this read, and drink this Cup, ye do shew the ord's Death sill he come : Wherefore whonever shall eas this Bread, and drink this inp of the Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of he body and blood of the Lord: But let a nan examine himself, and so let him eat of hat bread, and drink of that Cup.

These words do so plainly say, it is is need after the Consecration, and do so plainly require all to drink of the Cup, as well as to eat of the Bread, that the Infalliple Clergy are sain to accuse the Light of Darkness, the Text of deceitful Obscurity, till the Pope and his Prelates have expounded it, by giving it the Lye: Just like

like the Knave in Ignoranus's Play, the Proclaimed the man to be Mad, frow whom he intended to extort Money, this, for binding and abusing him. What it to proclaim Christ and Paul to be Foot that could not speak Sense, if this be not?

But the Doctors have also contradiction to charge on Christ, even that else-when he saith, [He that eateth his Flesh shalive for ever:] Ans. 1. That is, He that trusteth in a Sacrificed Christ as the means of his Salvation, as bread is the means of natural Life: He that would not understand cannot understand the plainest Words. But doth Christ say, that any man eates his slesh, that drinketh not his blood? Or that he shall have Life, that doth the one without the other?

2. And feeing they take every Rogue that eateth their Wafer to eat Christ-Flesh, do they not here falsly say that all such shall have Eternal Life: O happy (miserable) Church, that hath Eternal Life, how wicked soever, for eating the Wafer, and calling it Christs Flesh! And all this, that Faith may not be thought to be meant by eating.

3. But seeing it must needs be eating by the Teeth, or by Flesh eating that is meant, they have found out a crasty literal way.

Christ

ift faith that be loveth and cherisheeh his arch as his own Flesh, and we are Memof his body, of his flesh, and of his es: And so they that Murdered a Milof the Albigenses, &c. and Massacred 200 in France, and 200000 in Ireland, Burnt them in England, Germany, the w Countries, and Tormented and Kilthem in Bohemia, and many other nds, did learn the literal way of eating rists Flesh. And who doubts but the evil tells them that they shall thereby obin everlasting Life? But why then are ey against drinking his blood, when actuly they draw it out by streams? Perhaps y Burning his Bones, (as they did Bucers, hagins, Wickliffes, and 1000 more;) le of gnawing them, and thus they feed n Christs body, shesh and bones: For heir Masters beat Witches if they bring im not account at every Meeting, of ome mischief that they have done. 3. And what but flat opposition to Christ, should move these men to forbid one half of his Sacrament, which he calls the New-Testament in his blood? One would wonder what should be their Motive: It is no matter of Pleasure, Profit, wit than to pretend Antiquity for it, 6 any one ancient Doctor of the Church They dare not deny (fave to Ignorant) and Fools,) that it is a Novelty contrart to unquestioned Consent and Practice all Christs Church, for above a thousand years, or near at least. It is undenyable against Christs Institution and Command against his Apostles Doctrine, and Scriptur Practice; against all the Judgment and Pra-Clice of the ancient Church, against the Nature and Integrity of the Sacrament against the Concord of the Church, that will never Unite against all these, against the Sense and Comfort of Believers. What then doth over-rule fo many men to Tear the Church, to Murder fo many Bobemians as they did, &c. for such a thing as this is? Reader I will tell thee all that I know: The Devil is in constant War against Christ and his Kingdom, and the Souls of men: As he thought he could have triumphed in making Fob curse God to his Face; so he would fain shew that he can make Christs own pretended Ministers oppose and despile the plainest of his Commands, and defie his Word and him to his Face. To this he gets by the baits of Worldly Wealth, Honour and Dominion, a fort of Fleshly Worldly men to be Bi-

horn, whole very hearts are against the have of Christ: And puffing up these men by degrees, he tells them how they must be Great, and arrogate Power equal o Christs Apostles, and so domineer over he Souls of men; and all this on pretence honouring Christ: And having gotten Generation of gross ignorant debauched Villains, into the Papal elevated Seat, and the Ruling Church Power, when some poor Woman once or twice thed fome of the Wine, or a Priest chanced to spill it, their prophane Holiness decreed that they should drink the Wine no more (lave the Clergy,) but should eat Christs Blood, which they faid was in his Flesh, and a while they dipt the Wafer in Wine; and then pretended Infallibility being their vain Glory, they must not change lest they should seem to be fallible, and should Repent; for Repenting undoes Satans Kingdom.

### The One and Fortieth accused Point.

That there is not in the Church a true and proper Sacrifice; and that the Mafs is not a Sacrifice.

Ans. True and proper, if the words are intelligible, are put against false and equevo-

cal

us which Sence of the word [Sacrifice must be taken for the only proper Sence when with Heathens and Christians the word is used in so many Sences, and there are so many forts of Sacrifices? This may would not tell you whether it be the Thing or the Name that he controverteth; that would be to come into the Light. It is be the thing, we never doubted but divers things are and must be in the Church which are called Sacrifices, some in Scripture, and some by Papists: And some things by them called Sacrifices, are in their Church which God is against.

If it be the Name that is the question, we know that in a General Sence it may be given to many things of different Species, and equivocally yet to more; but which Sence to call proper among so many, let quibling Grammarians tell him: We strive no further about Names, than tendeth to preserve the due Judgment of

things.

. Sometime a Sacrifice fignifieth a second

thing offered to God by way of worship.

Sometime more strictly, somewhat supposed highly to gratifie or please him, offered to explate some Crime that displeaseth him, or by pleasing to procure some benefit from him. Among ( 142 )

Among Heathens and Jews, there were prious lorts of Sacrifices: Some Hilastial lome Eucharistical: Some of things iteless, and some of Living Creatures; where strictly part was burns and so offered o God, and part given to the Priest, and

We hold, 1. That Jesus Christ offered is Body on the Cross a Sacrifice to God or the expiation of Sin, as a thing pleasing to God, in a sence which no other Sacrifice ever was or is; not that God delighted in his Blood, Pain or Death as such; but as sinis gratia, it was the most excellent means to demonstrate his Wisdom, Love, Justice, and Mercy, and save a sinful race of men, with the honour of his Law and Government.

2. We hold that Christ hath instituted his Sacrament, to be a visible Representation of this his Sacrifice, both for Commemoration and for actual Investiture and Collation of Christ to be our Saviour, and Head in Union, and of his Grace and Benefits, Pardon, Reconciliation, Adoption, Justification, Sanctification, and Title to Glory. And we know that the ancient Churches called this often a Sacrifice: Not in the same sence as Christ was our Sacrifice; nor as the Mosaical Types were Sacrifice:

presenting Christs own. But we are the thier to use the name Sacrifice, where P

pifts apply it to Idolatry.

3. We know that all Christians and bound to dedicate themselves to God, and even to lay down their Lives when he requireth it; and bound to offer him pentent Consession, Praise, Thanksgiving and to give Alms to the poor, and serve and honour him with all their Wealth and Power. And all these are called Sacrification Scripture, because they are sacred oblations, acceptable to God through the merits of Christs Sacrifice.

Is not this man a Calumniator then, that faith we hold, [that there is not in the Church a true and proper Sacrifice,] unless he call none true and proper but what no

man can offer to God.

But what say we to the Sacrifice of the Mass? We say, that for the Priest to pretend that after his words, Bread is turned into Christs Flesh in a Physical sence, and Wine into his Blood, and that this is our God, and that he facrificeth this God to God, and eateth and drinketh him so sacrificed, and that all that so receive him have Eternal Life: This is a prophanation of Holy things, a deceiving of Souls, a blas-

the phening of Christ, and Idolatry athat God. And all facrificing in their Male, that is more than a Representation of Christs own sacrificing himself, for Commementation and Communication of the gifts of his Testament, and the expression of our Graticude, and Devotedness to God by bim, is their own prophane invention.

How do they offer his broken body and blood shed, any otherwise than Representaelvely, unless they kill him, and eat him when he is Dead? It was only a Representation of his own sacrificed Body and Blood, which he made at the Sacrament himself; not then broken and shed, but to be broken, flain, and shed soon after, funless he had two bodies, one dead and one alive.) The Sacrament indeed was called a Sacrifice by the ancient Churches, to fignifie that it is not Christs body as now glorified in Heaven that is there Represented, but his body as once flesh and blood Secrificed on the Cross: And how can it be that, but by Representation, sucrificing it was killing it: Do they kill Christ a thoufand thousand times over, yea, and kill his Gloryfied body? He hath no existent Flesh and Blood in Heaven, speaking properly and formally; but a Spiritual glorified hade, that was Flesh and Blood on Earth:

And doth every Priest turn Christs Spirtual glorified body into Flesh and Blood again? O what a Mass of prophanation their Mass.

# Tho Two and Fortieth accused Point.

That Sacramental Unction, is not to be used to the Sick.

Ans. In those Hot-Countries, anointing their bodies was used as a great refreshment for Delight and Health. And Christ and his Apostles applyed it to the Miraculous use of Healing, as Christ did Clay and Spittle to a blind Man: And while that miraculous ale continued, St. James bids those that are sick as a punishment for some sin, to send for the Elders of the Church, that they may pray for the pardon of his sin, and for his recovery, and anoint him with Oil, and if he have not finned unto Death, (that is, a Capital Crime, which God would have Magi-Arates punish with Death, and will do fo himself,) his Sin thall be forgiven, and he shall be healed.

See now the Malice of the Prince of Darkness. He that tempteth men to cast out half the substance of the Lords Supper, meerly to shew what they can and will,

and dare do against his Word, and tempseth men to forbid the very Scripture it felf, yet to undoe, he will overdoe, and draw men to be wife and Righteous over much: Who would think these men are against the sufficiency of Scripture, that will turn its temporary occasional actions into perpetual Sacraments? They make Conscience of washing Feet, of bearing Palms, of the Holy-Kifs (but on the Pax) and a Sacrament of anointing the fick: And why they make not a Sacrament of anointing the Blind with Clay and Spittle, of washing at Jordan or Siloam Pools, and of the faid Kiss, and washing of Feet, of bearing Palms, of the Popes Riding on an Ass. 60. I know not.

But for the Name of a Sacrament, (bring first a Military, and then a Church Term, not used in Scripture) we will not quarrel with them: They may laxly extend it to almost any Ceremony or sign Religiously used, rightly or wrongly. But, 1. They use that to the Dying, when they judge them past hope, which St. James spake of using for Recovery.

2. They are that as an ordinary thing, which was to be afed only for miraculous Cures: and yet shew not that they have the Faith or gift of Miracles, nor cure any by it.

H 2

3. They

3. They force men to that feigued Sacrament now ceased with that gift, which was used to none but fuch as Voluntarily defired it. Why are they not contented to use it themselves, but they must force all others to it as necessary? What Man, Woman, or Child, do you read of in all the New-Testan ent, that was anointed in order to Death, lave a Woman that meant no fuch thing, that anointed Christ in Health? Where read you that Dragoons or Inquisitors inforced it, and draged naked the bodies through the Streets, and Buryed them in Dunghils, or where Dogs may eat them, if they refule it? Whole Sacraments can we think are these?

### The Three and Fortieth accused Point,

That no Interior Grace is given by Impofition of hands in Holy Orders: And that ordinary Vocation and Mussion of Pastors, is not necessary in the Church.

Anf. Contrarily the Reformed Catholicks hold, 7. That God often gave miraculous interior gifts to men, by the Impo-

fition of the Apostles hands.

Chareft.

2. And if he please he may now bless Ordination to the increase of thems mental

finels for the Ministry; and when he doth

But we hold, 1. That men should be supposed by the Ordinances to be true Christians, and to have competent Miniderial Abilities before they Ordain them.

2. That now miraculous gifts cease, no man can tell when any other inward Grace is given by Imposition of hands in Ordination, than Relative, which is Obligation and Authority for the work of the Ministry. And Durandus and other of their School-men, say that their Indelible Charalter is no other. And the rest know

not what to make of it.

3. If we read of Multitudes of Debauched, Ignorant, Apostatical Popes and Prelates, and many Ages of Church Barbarism, and Bruitishness, (even in Baronius, Genebrard, and the fiercest Papists;) and if we see Priests after Ordination to be Ignorant, Drunkards, Fornicators, unable and unapt to Teach, haters of a Godly Lise, we cannot tell what Grace it is that these men are said to receive in Ordination: Whatever it is, it will not keep them out of Hell, as it keeps them not from serving Satan.

4. We take an ordinary Calling and Mission to be ordinarily needful to the H 3 Church-

Church Ministry. This Calling consistent, In necessary Abilities, without which God sendeth none. 2. In willinguess and Content. 3. In the Ordination by Senior Pastors, where it may be had. 4. And to fix them in relation to particular Congregations, the mutual consent of themselves and the Flocks.

5. Put we know Rules of meer Order are for the things ordered, and the Edification of the Church, for which all Church Power is given, and God Commandeth that all be done: And we know that God who will have Mercy and not Sacrifice, would not have us destroy the substance by pretence of a Ceremony. And that in feveral Cases, Ministers may be lawfully called without Imposition of hands, and Canonis cal Ordination. As, 1. In case men be cast into Infidel Countries, where no Bi-Chops or Pastors can be had: As by Shipwrack, or Merchants Factory, or Embaffadors, or when a Bishop with them dyeth by the way: They must not be without all publick Church Worship, for want of an Imposing Bithop.

2. In case Persecution drive all the Bi-

Thops out of reach.

3. In case the persecuted Bishops results to Ordain for sear of suffering.

4. In case the Bishops be Hereticks, or intollerable Usurpers and no true Bishops, wanting the Essentials of a Qualification and a Call.

Oath, Subscription, Covenant, or Profession, or any other Sin, as the Condition without which they will not Ordain, (which is the case of all the Papists Prelates;) their Ordination in these cases is

not necessary.

6. We know that in such cases the Ministry faileth not, but there may be a true succession of Pastors, though regular Canonical Ordination be interrupted. For there is nothing necessary after Gods Law, which specifieth the Office by stated Institution, but only the determining who the Persons are that God would have in this Office: Which may be well known without Canonical Ordination, where that cannot lawfully be had. There are instances in the ancient Churches, that when some Elected to be Bishops, sled or hid themselves; the Bishops Ordained them absent, by writing, without imposition of hands.

7. Yea, we know that if in any one Church or Nation, the succession were totally interrupted, for many years, God H 4.

hath lest means sufficient to restore it.

1. His Word describeth the Office, and giveth the Authority and obligation to the Person when determined of. 2. That Determination may be made, i. By the due Qualification of the Person: 2. The inviting Necessities of the People and opportunity. 3. Mutual Consent; and without these the Ordination and Mission of a

Bishop is vain.

8. The Church of Rome more needeth this Doctrine than the Protestants: For it is notoriously certain, that regular Succession hath sailed oft and long in the Papacy, and consequently in its Clergy. 1. There is no more notorious interruption than by the utter incapacity of the Unqualified: And such have been those that were Children or declared Sots, Beasts, Simonists, silthy Lechers, Hereticks, Insidels, Schismaticks, by General Council, and the most Papal Historians. Their Succession now is from Engenius the 4th. deposed as an Heretick by a General Council.

2. When there have been two or three Popes above twenty times, no man know-

eth which was the right.

3. Either Election is in the power of fome in special, or not; if not, the Turks, or Heathens, or Hereticks, may choose

Power? Its known that at first the Bishop of Rome was chosen by the People of one Congregation: After by the Clergy and people of the Christians of the City: After that by the Bishops of the Diocels: Sometimes by the Emperors: Or Arrian Kings (with the Clergy and people:) Sometimes by General Councils: Sometimes against General Councils: Sometimes against General Councils, by an Armed Faction: And of late times by things called a Colledge of Cardinals. If all these were lawful, no one fort have the Electing Power: If any was unlawful, the Succeifion hath been interrupted.

4. Either the Ordination of a Superior is necessary, or not: If yea, then the Pope having no Superior, was never truly Ordained: If not, then a Presbyter may be Ordained without a Bishop. Rome is more concerned to answer these things

than we.

The Four and Fortieth accused Point.

That Priests and other Religious persons who have Vowed their Chastity to God, may feeely Marry notwithstanding their Fewer

Hog to walled An

Ans. 1. Must none keep Vows but

Priests and Religious People.

2. The known Doctrine of the Protestants about Oaths and Vows (which you may see in Sanderson de Juramento,) is, 1. That Antecedently it is unlawful to enfnare our selves by unneecstary Vows, of that which is out of our Power, or to mutable, that it may hereafter be made our Duty which now is not. 2. But having once Vowed, we must distinguish of the Imposing, the making of the Vow, and the Matter of it. And that, 1. Though it was by Parents, or others unlawfully imposed, 2. And by our felves, by temerity unlawfully made or sworn, 3. Yet if the Matter consideratis considerandis be necesfary or lawful, the Vow must be kept: But if it be Sin that is Vowed, it must not be done. Because Man's Vows cannot abrogate or suspend Gods Laws. Can any of your Calunts deny this?

Therefore, if Boys or Girls Vow Chastity, and it prove that they cannot keep it without fin, the Matter becometh to their unlawful, and they must break it: As for instance: t. If they cannot keep it without apparent hurt to their Souls by Lust. 2. Or if the Heir of the Crown, or some great Estate, Yow it, and if he

keep it, the Kingdom or Church is like to luffer by it. 3. If Parents or Prince

countermand the Vow in Youth.

But if they can keep it, and that keeping become not Sin by confiquent accidents or changes, they ought to keep it; though they must repent of their rash unlawful making it. Gods Law is perfect, and maketh Duty enough for us, and we should not foolishly make more as Law-givers to our selves, when we are conscious how far short we come of keeping Gods own Laws.

## The Five and Fortieth accused Point.

That Fasting and abstinence from certain Meats, is not grounded on Holy Scripture,

ner causeth any Spiritual good.

Anf. Still deceitful Confusion: Protestants hold, r. Fasting is a needful Duty to several Persons in several cases. As, 1. To take down the Flesh when it groweth too strong in Lust. 2. For the cure of many Diseases from sulness. 3. To exercise our Humiliation in times of publick Danger and Calamity, or of personal repentance for some great Sin, or under some affiction that calleth for great Humiliation.

2. They hold that Abstinence is needful in it's time and place, as Fasting is in it's: And that all Eating and Drinking is unlawful, which gratifieth the Appetite by Quantity or Quality against Men's health, and the just Rules by which we should judge what is healthful: Yea, that bare Eating and Drinking to please the Appetite, which doth not some way conduce to

fit us for our Duty, is Sin.

3. We know that the same Meat and Drink for Quality and Quantity which is best for one, is hurtful and mortal to another: And we know that Fasting is as Physick, whether for Heakth, or for the Soul: and if we are sallen into the hands of such Physicians, as will tye all the Land and all the World to take the same Physick, and on the same days, to take a Purge or a Vomit every Wednesday, Friday, and Holy Evens, we shall obey them when we are a weary of our Lives. I think our London Colled ges would deride such preseribers.

4. And if any will tell us that we shall merit of God, and save our selves by sorbearing the coursest fort of Flesh, and eating the more costly Fish, Junkets, Sweetmeats, and drinking Wine and strong-Drink, we abhor such Mock Fasts, for

God

God will not be mocked: But Hipocrites turn all Religion into a Mockery. I have heard those called strict precise Protestants, accused as being against abstinence and Fasting; and upon enquiry I found that those of my acquaintance, eat and drink less all the year, than their accusers of my acquaintance do on their Mock-fasting days. To such their Diet would seem a strict Fast, even Calvin that macerated his body, with eating but a few bits once a day, is by some Papists called a sensual Glutton, (though Massonius saith the contrary.)

The Six and Fortieth accused Point.

That Jesius Christ descended not into Hell, nor delivered thence the Souls of the Fathers.

Ans. 1. And do not these salse Accufers know that both the Creed which we
all profess, and the Articles of the Church
of England, say expressly that Christ descended into Hell? 2. And those ahar dislike the Translation of down into Hell, yet
grant Christ went into down; and that's all
the Scripture saith: So that all the doubt
is but what down fignisheth? Whether the
Hell of Torment, or more Generally the
unscensus of separate Souls! If you mean

the last, what Protestants deny it? If you mean the first, what presumptuous cruelty is it, to believe that all the Souls of the Fathers were in Hell, till the Death of Christ? Christ alleadging, I am the God of Abraham, of Isaac, and of Faceb, sure meant not, that God was their God, because they were in Hell: Was Lazarus in Hell when Abraham faid, Now he is Comforted? It was a Hell of Joy and Comfort: Were Samuel, Elisha, Job, Daniel, &c. in Hell? Was Moses in Hell, that appeared in Glory on the Mount with Elias? But what is it that the Infallible Church cannot make good, when they have once prefumed to affirm it?

The Seven and Fortieth accused Point.

That there is no Purgatory Fire, or other Prison, wherein sin may be satisfied for after

this Life.

As f. 1. Which way this Church came to be so much acquainted with Hell, and Purgatory, and Prisons, and satisfying in them, in the other World, more than is revealed in the Word of God, we know not, unless some have told them that come thence, or from Heaven. But for our parts, we think Gods Word more trusty

then Dead men whom we know not: God fendeth us to the Law, and to the Testimony: If they speak not according to these, it is because there is no Light in them, Isa. 8. 20. Abraham preserved Moses and the Prophets before one from the Dead. The prophane citation of Scripture by him for such a Furgatory-Prison, and Satisfaction, needs no answer save the perusal of the Texts.

What mean these men by [satisfying for Sin?] 1. If they mean that Satisfattion by the merits whereof God pardoneth sin without dishonour to his Justice, Government, or Law. ] Christ, and he only, hath thus fully satisfied for sin, already, and there remaineth no more Sacrifice for sin; for by one offering he hath perfected for

ever them that are sanctified.

2. But if by fatisfying for fin, they mean that all must suffer all the punishment that their fin deserveth, then God forgiveth no fin at all: For to forgive the fin, is to forgive the punishment: And then they renounce the Office, Sacrifice and Blood of Christ, which are for the pardon of Sin: And they renounce Baptilin and the Lords Supper that give and Seal it: And they cast away all hopes of Salvation, and damn all Mankind: For all Sin deserv-

eth some degree of Damnation in Hell. But if the Pope can pardon, sure God doth pardon tome: To veny pardon, is to deny all the Scripture, and an humane

hope and mercy.

3. But if by [ latisfying for fin, ] they mean that God when he forgiveth through Christ the destructive everlasting Punishment, will yet require some corrective temporal punishment, with which he is said to be satisfied, in that he requireth no more, we conless de re, that such a thing there is in this World; Death as Death, and Pain as Pain are such; and the Curse on the Earth, and the loss of some degrees of Grace; they are all corrective Penalties: And if any fay that a lower degree of Glory for the loss of some degree of Grace is fuch; or that the separation of the Soul from the Body till the Resurrection, hath fome nature of Penalty; we strive with no man about fuch things: But de nomine. we justly here dislike the Word, [ Satist fying, because in common Sence, it soundeth as some Compensation, and somewhat that is of the same nature with Christs [aristaction; and that is all that Justice requireth to purchase our pardon. And it encourageth the ill use of it by Papists, that make it meritorious.

and de re we believe no such Pargatory, (much less the Popes Power to deliver men out of it, for Masses or the like,) because God tells us of no such thing. And the Primitive Churches never owned it: Augustine first seemed to doubt of it: But I find none before that over held it, unless you will call Origens Opinion such, that thought the Devils and Damned should have a time of Deliverance, (now called Heresie.)

Asto 1 Cor. 3. 13, 15. Is there no fiery Tryall of mistaken Doctrine, and of the

Erroneous in this Life?

As to Job. 11. 22. What an Expositor is this: Iknow (faith Martha,) that whatever thou wilt ask of God, he will give it thee: Ergo, Lazarus was delivered out of Purgatory. As well he may fay, All Saints shall have a Resurrection: Therefore all are in Purgatory. Or God denyeth Christ nothing: Therefore there is a Purgatory.

So Acts 2. 24. Whom God hath raised up, having loosed the pains of Death, because it was not possible that he should be holden of it. Here he noteth two things.

1. That where Christ was, there was pains, Ans. As if Death it self were not a Penalty: It was Christs pains, or penal State

of Death, that Peter mentioneth, and the man himself here consessent that Christ had no pain in that place. 2. But he saith that it was not Christs, but others pain that is said to be loosed; when the Text plainly saith, 1. That it was Christs pains of Death. 2. Loosed by his Resurrection. 3. Because it was impossible that he, (not they) should be held of it.

So I Cor. 15. 24. Because there is no mention of Baptizing for the Dead, he seigneth a Purgatory meant. And Luk. 16. 9. That Receiving at Death into the everlassing Habitations, proveth a Purgatory: When yet they say that Purgatory is to none an everlassing Habitation: And Luk. 23. 42. Because the Thief would be remembred by Christ in his Kingdom, Souls may be holpen after death out of Purgatory: As if it was Purgatory that was the Paradise with Christ, where that Thief was to be that day: Is it not tedious but to read such prophanation of Gods Word?

- The Eight and Fortieth accused Point.

That it is not lawful to make or to have Images.

Anf. This Lie hath conquered the bluthing Passion. r. Can such men believe that

that there are no Protestant Painters? Are there none of their Shops in London, or Holland? Do none but Papists make or sell Pictures? Are not the Statues of Kings at the Exchange, the Stacks-Market, Charing-Cross? Are there no Images on our Coyn? Nor our Banners? Nor out the Escutcheons of the Nobility and Gentry of this and other Lands: Are there no Images at the Sign-posts in all London, nor in all the Cities, and Market-Towns in the Kingdom? Nor in any of the Church-Windows?

But perhaps they will say, the we speak so universally (to deceive the ignorant,) yet we meant it of Images of Religious signification and use. Ans. And do not all the Lutherans keep them in their Churches? Are they not continued in most

Church-Windows in England?

Obj. But at least it's true of the Calvinists or Puritans? Ans. 1. And will you therefore flander the rest? 2. But we must not hastily believe any thing that false accusers say? Have not the Holland Calvinists multitudes of Pictures? Did you never see Beza and others, Icones virorum illustrium, nor Mr. Samuel Clerk's Lives with Images? Nor the Puritans English Geneva Bible, with the Images of the Histories?

stories? Nor the Dutch Quarry-Bricks for Chimneys, on which most of the History of the Bible is painted? O.! for

Truth or Modesty.

2. But we confess that there are some Images Bawdy, some Superstitious, Idolatrous, or Blasphemous, which we leave to such as choose them, they being not for our use, (of which after.)

## The Nine and Fortieth accused Point.

That it is not lawful to reverence Images, nor to give any bonour to insensible things.

Anf. Methinks you should sometime speak truth, if it were but before you are aware. 1. Protestants commonly hold, that they should give Honour to all Insensible things: They are all the work of God; dishonouring or not honouring the Creature, or Work, is dishonouring or not honouring the Creator and Maker as fuch. The due praise and honour of a Building, a Book, &c. is necessary to the due praise and honour of the Author! Do you think Protestants Condemn the 18th. Psalm, the 104th. Psalm, the 145, and all the rest that Magnisse the works of God? Is there any above a Beaft, that doth not honour and praise Sun, Moon,

Stars,

Stora, Heaven and Earth, Sea and Land, as the works of God? Yea we honour goery Plant and Flower, every Vigetable and Mineral, knowing that God is wonderful and unfearchable in all. What is Physick, Aftronomy, Geography, but the shell of knowing and honouring Gods Works, and God in them.

2. And the Image of Kings, of Holy Men, are purposely made and Printed by Protestants, in Love, Honour, and Reverence to the persons Living or Dead, whom they represent: You may see many Rooms adorned with the Images of the Fathers, and of late Divines: For their Relation they love with some sort of honour the Pictures of those whom you have burnt as Hereticks: See whether you find them not in John Fox his Acts and Monuments.

3. But we are warned oft enough by God, and by the mischievous effects of it in the Churches, against all Idolatrous and scandalous, and entinating respect and use of Images; which are either salfe Representations, or are used contrary to the second Commandment, to Corporal Idolatry though not mental, in such likeness to the Heathen use of their Demons Images and desired Heroes and Englecies, as

40 Sept 2

feemeth to be but a change of the object Persons; or may tempt others to unlawful usage of them: Especially the use being not commanded us of God, while it is

dangerous.

And we abhor the Papills Omission of the fecond Commandment, and turning the Tenth into two, lest the people should perceive the evil of fuch Imagery. No wonder that their Profelytes must be kept in Ignorance, and forbid the Scriptures in a known Tongue, without a special Licence, when they must not ordinarily read or hear all the Ten Commandments, even these Ten written by God himself in Stone, are too much for them to be trusted with; and yet all the Mass of Ceremonies, and Ocean of Canon Laws, are not too much: And he that must be killed for not obeying these, must not know all Gods own Ten Commands; yea many have been burnt for having his Word Tranflated.

4. And to pray before the Image of Saints, and then to fay, we do not pray to them, but to those that they represent, is but to do what the Heathen Idolaters promised to do to their Demons: They usually said, We be not such Fools as to think Wood, and Stone, and Gold, and Ima-

107

to be God. But as the first Commandment forbids us to have any Gods but the true God; so the second forbids us to hem to have any more, tho' our minds defpise them, or by their way of Image worhip, to feem to be of their mind; For as a man that useth the common Words of an Oath, without any purpose to Swear, is a prophane Swearer with the Tongue, (which the mind should better rule,) tho his mind Swear not; fo he that on his Knees in Religious Prayer, looketh on Images, as the mediate Object of his Worship, his act is bodily Idelatry, and his mind is guilty by not better ruling it.

Where God affixeth the mention of his Jealousie, even to the Third and Fourth Generation, calling the bowers to Images, those that hate him; it is needful to us to he jealous of our actions: For our God is a Consuming Fire. And we are not ignorant of the Doctrine of your St. Thomas, who saith that the Image is to be Worshipped, with the same fort of Worship as that which it representeth, and the Image of the Crucifix, with Latria,

called Divine Worship.

#### The Fistieth accused Point.

That no man hath feen God in any Form, and that therefore his Picture or Image can not be made.

Ans. 1. But what if it were Lawful to Paint God? Is it Necessary? Why may you not be contented to have a painted God your selves? Must all be burnt and damned as Hereticks that are not of your mind? Will you be jealous against those that bow not to a painted God, as God is jealous against those that do it? God saich Thou shalt not bow down to them, nor worship them: Where saith he, Thou shalt bow down to them, and worship them? Or bow towards them, and say, It is not to them?

2. God faith, Thou shalt not make to thy self the likeness of any thing in Heaven or Earth, to bow down to them and worship them. Bowing down purposely towards them, and before them, is interpretatively bowing down to them, worthipping them. And God would not be so worshipped: Isa. 40. 16, 25. To whom will ye liken God? Or what likeness will ye compare unto him? To whom will ye liken so, or shall I be equal, saith the Hely one?

See Lev 26. 1. Dent. 16. 22. Hab. 18. 2. The Molsen Image is a Teacher of

It is Blasphemy to make a Picture or Image of God, but what he maketh himfelf; as if we faid, God is like that Image or Creature.

But this Deceiver tells us, how God appeared to Adam and others, in a hunane or some certain shape. Ans. 1. And yet he hath oft and earnestly forbid mak-

ing Images of him to worship.

2. Anthopomorphits, that take God to have parts like man, are Condemned as Hereticks by the Church of Rome it felf. Every notifying fign of Gods attributes. is not called his Image; and man is called his Image, for the Divine Impressions on his Soul, which cannot it felf be feen and Pictured. If God appear by an Angel, and that Angel appear in humane shape. we are nevertheless forbidden to worship God pictured as a man. Sun, Moon, Stars, yea, every Creature notifieth God to us; yet may we not paint him like these, or any other Creature. Did not Gods transcendency, and his express Word plainly and frequently reprove this, Popery had fome small excuses.

If they deny Christs and his Apostles Words, that no man bath seen God at any time, fave his Son, they reproach him: To fee his Works, is only to fee that which notifieth him, and not himfelf: Else every Pagan and Brute seeth God. We deny not but the Sun, and Fire, and a man may be pictured, and that God being partly notified to us by these, (and every Creature) may be said to far to appear in them? And when did he appear so wonderfully as in Christ: And yet it being not his Effence in it self that we see in them, but his attributes in part; an Image of a man, of the Sun, Moon, Stars, of a Horse, or a Dog, or a Toad, is not to be called an Image of God, else God may have as many Images as Creatures.

### The One and Fiftieth accused Foint.

That Blessing or signing with the sign of the Cross, is not founded in Holy Scripture.

Anj. The man would not tell you whether he mean the Lawfulness of the Cross only, or also the necessity of using it. But what are his Proofs?

1. Rev. 7. 3. Hurt not the Earth, nor the Trees, till we have fealed, (we read ligned,) Igned,) the Servants of our God in their

foretrads.

Is here any mention of Croffing or Bleffing with the Cross? An Angel in prophetical Vilion, is bid to mark or feal the Servants of God, as those that are not to be destroyed, when God commissioneth other Angels to destroy the Persecutors: Therefore the Priest must fign all Christians with the Cross. And I would they did not infer as one did from Ezek. 9. 4, 5. [ And to the other he said, go ye after him through the City and smite; let not your Eye spare, neither bave ye pity, flay utterly Old and Young, and little Children and Women; but come not near any man on whom is the mark, and begin at my Sanctuary.] And thus whatever Plague or Death God bid Angels execute on his uncurable Enemies, Idolaters, and Persecutors, the Devil will teach men, that Priests and their Hang-men may execute on all that are not marked in the Forehead with a Cross? But as long as Rome is so like to Babylon, they were better teach men a truer Expolition of the Revelations. Thus they can prove, that the Scripture is but like a Note of Wax, by using it as if it were fo. It was Idolatrous Persecuting Rome that was to be destroyed, and it was those that had Gods

1-2

nark

mark, and not the Beasts that were to be secured. And who is that Idolatrom persecuting Beast?

The next Text is Mark 10. 16. Christ out his hands on Children, and blessed them. And would he make men believe, that we deny Christs blessing them or others? Or that Pastors may bless the people in his Name? Is here ever a word of signing with the Cross?

The other is Luke 24, 50. 12 mm ont as far as Bethamy, and be lift up is bands and bleffed them. Therefore the Priest must Cross men in the Foreham: Reader, this is the fashion of these Men's consuting the Reformed Catholicks, and proving Popery, and using Scripture. And have they not reason to challenge the sole Interpreting of it? Let but the Pope and his Priests expound it, and it shall all speak for them, and speak Blood and Fire against all that obey them not: But till then, they are it's Enemies, because it is the greatest Enemy to them.

2. But inppose Christs blessing had been Crossing: With what Face do they seign Protestants in England, to be against Crossing in the Forchead? When the World knoweth that the Church of England is not only for it, but Ejecteth and Silenceth

Ministers that will Baptize the Child of the most Godly Christians without it. and they know that all the Churches called Lutheran use it. Are none of these Protestants?

3. And though those called Non-Conformists, are not for the uling of it as a dedicating Symbol of Christianity in Baptrimal Covenanting, to bind the Covenanter to that Confession and holy warfare which is the promised duty of the Covenant, nor for denying Christendom to thole that refule this use of the Cross, (out of a fear lest this Covenanting use make it a human Sacrament added to Baptilm;) yet I meet with few of them that Condemn the ancient Christians, that lived among Heathens, (who scorned them as worthiping a Crucified God,) for their featonable Crossing themselves in those Heathens fight, meerly to shew that they were not ashamed of such a Crucified Saviour; (northinking what Papists would bring it to at last. )

### The Two and Fiftieth accused Point.

That the publick Service of the Church's ought not to be said, but in a Language that all the People may under sand.

1.3

Ans. The Reformed Catholicks indeed hold this, with these exceptions: 1. That by All be meant the Ordinary Congregation; not meaning that if a French-man, or a Duteb-man, come in among them, they must needs speak to him apart in his

own Tongue.

2. That if any Rustick, Illiterate, or Novices, understand not many words in the Translation of the Bible, or some approveds of the Minister, we must not therefore change the Translation, nor sorbean those apt words that are frited to the more intelligent; but help to amend the understanding of the Ignorant.

But that in Publick and Private, the Congregation should understand what they hear as the Word of God, and what is said in Consession, Prayer and Praise to God, this we hold as a matter of grand

importance.

1. Because it's purposely, plainly, and copiously decided so by the Holy Ghost, in the Apostle Paul, 1 Cer 14. Do but read the Chapter and judge.

2. Because Christ always Preached to

the people in a known Tongue.

3. He prayed, Joh. 17. in a known Toogue, and taught them to to pray.

The Apostles where ever they came, Preached and Prayed in a known

Tongue.

5. They wrote the Gospels, the Alls, and all their Epistles, to whole Churches, in the Tongue most commonly known to the Reader, and so to be read to, or by all.

6. It was their standing Rule; Let all

be done to Edification.

7. Their Preaching and writing was all for Teaching: And it is no Teaching to fpeak to men in a strange Language, (unless we be teaching them to under-

stand it.)

8. Praying is the expressing of known desires to God: It's no Prayer that expressed in Desire, and Ignoti nulla Cupido: There is no Desire, save sensitive Apperite, that supposeth not Knowledge that the thing is good and needful. The words of a Parrot are not a Prayer, And confession of Sin is the act of a penitent Soul, and it is no Repentance or Confession that is but words of they know not what: It's no penitent Confession to hear or speak words, not understood what Sin they signific.

And to give God thanks, implyeth that we understand what Mercies or bene-

I 4 i fit

fits the words express. And to praise sod is understandingly to magnifie his perfections or Works. So that words without understanding them, are no more to be called Prayer, Praise, Confession, Thanksgiving, than the singing of a Bird is, or

the Crowing of a Cock.

9. No reasonable man would be thus served or conversed with: A Parent indeed can understand an Abba, or a look from an Infant; but it is on supposition, that the Infant himself perceiveth what he would have: And if it be not by intellectual but sensitive perception, it is no more a Petition to his Father, than a Dogs waiting for Food, the the person deserves more pity. So God understandeth the meaning of Spiritual Groans, in one that wants words for large expression? But that supposet that it is true inward desires after him which those Groans signific.

But publick worship requireth a conjunction of Soul and Service, and therefore a conjunct understanding: Else there is no true Union and Communion in the worship. For one sound of words with discord of desires, is no Christian Union and Communion. It must be supposed that either the Hearers are not praying at all.

or elle that every one is fecretly praying after his own thoughts for various things,

without any Concord.

What melody would it be for all the Church to ling in as many Tunes as perfons? What King or Judge will take it for a Petition, for a man to talk gibberish to

him, or fay he knoweth not what?

10. Even Papists deride Quakers, for meeting to fay nothing: And what difference is there, when they hear and fay nothing understood, faving that the Voice maketh it a more pompous Mockery, than the Quakers Silence? O! who would have thought that the primitive manner of publick worship, should ever have degenerated into such a prophane abuse of God and man, against plain Scripture, univerfal practice, and humane Reason? And this as a part of a grand delign to kill the Life, of all true Religion, and delude Souls. with the dead Carkass of mortified Formalites, and Ceremonies; and that men mould think that Souls are faved as Wizards do presend, to do Cures by Charms of words not understood; they serve God with empty shells, when they have cast away the Kernels: Like the filly Samariran Woman, that lookt for a Christ to come to tell them, whether in this Moun-1.21.72

tain or at fernsalem men ought to worship, little knowing what it was to worth p God as a Spirit, in Spirit and Truth, when it should be neither at that Mountain,

or at Jerusalem.

But hath this man no Scripture, (against Scripture?) Yes, Luk. 1.8. [ The people were praying without, while the Priest was offering Incense within. ] Therefore the publick Worship may be performed, so as the people understand not: That, r. The Priests action only out of their fight is the publick worthip, and the peo-ples praying is not to. 2. The offering Incense, is Praying; or because the people are not to do the Priests Office in Incense and Sacrificing, therefore Ministers must pray and praise God alone, without the people, and all this publick worthip. 3. If the Levitical Sacrifices were offered by the Priest alone, Christs Golpel worship must be performed by the Priest alone, the people not knowing what he saith: And the precepts and examples of the New-Testament, must all be reduced to the Levitical Order of Incense and Sacrificing. 4. And is he sure that all the people in the outer Court, prayed they knew not what, or in an unknown Tongue? What ule is Scripture of to these men?

His next is, Levit. 16. 17. None was to easy with the Priest to make attonement he Congregation, &c. Anl. You lee that thele men are. Judaizers, and fet up the Levirical Law for the Churches Rule of Service, as if Christ had not changed the Law. But our Cuestion is not now, Whether their Priest have any folitary attonement to make for the Congregation; but whether Christ hath not instituted such publick worship, in which Ministers and people must understandingly joyn? Doth their Priest celebrate their Mals alone, out of the peoples fight or hearing, in a Sanctuary while they are in the outer Gourt? Do not their people assemble to their Mass? Will they stand to it, that their Church renounceth all worship of God in Holy Assemblies, save by the Priest alone? And is this the Holy Catholick Church?

And the man here professedly calls the Priess solitary action, the publick Service, which is for the people, and not by them, and therefore they need not understand; and all the peoples Prayers are private and should be understood; so that (the Mystery opened,) either the Priest is all the Church, or else they have no publick Church Service, if they must meet, that every one may

have a fecret Prayer of his own, and may only fee the Priests Service called pubkck. And by this he pretends that he answereth Paul, 1 Cor. 14. Adding most shamelessy, r. That it seemeth there by the Text, that the common Service of the Church, was not then in a Tongue commonly understood. 2. Because there was one to supply the place of the ideots, to lay Amen, where he faith, that the Genevamen, most deceirfully and maliciously Tranflated, [He that is an Ideot, how shall be fay Amen? And raileth at them for putting [So be it.] O! what is man, and how incredible is the pretended infallible Clergy, that can expect that all men trust their Souls on fuch palpable deceir! When St. Paul spent a great part of the Chapter to diffwade those that by Inspiration, could speak strange Languages, that they should not use them in the Church, as being unedifying, or at least not without an Interpreter; this man gathers, that the common Service was in an unknown Tongue? As if this diffwaded afe of some Prophets gist, were the common Service. 2. And when he distwadeth them from Praying in an unknown Tongue, or, giving thanks in it, saying, else how can be that occupieth the Room of the unlearned fay Amen, this this man feigneth, that yet they were to give thanks in an unknown Tongue, and one was to supply the place of the Ideot or unlearned, in saying Amen. (See verl. 23.) Paul would have all say Amen, this man says one was to do it for them: Paul argueth that therefore they must speak to the understanding of the unlearned: This man turneth his own words against him: Doth his Supplier of the Ideots place himself, understand or not? If not, Paul saith, Hew can he say Amen? If he do, how doth he supply the place of the Ideots, that are supposed should say Amen, and cannot?

For the fake of this Chapter and Infrance, I shall never think any words so plain, that Papists cannot turn against their

most evident lense.

But what is the Man's pretence for this erroneous Confidence? Why, the Vulgar Latine Translateth it, Qui supplet locam, instead of Qui implet locam: And that I atin Translator by supplet meant the same as implet, possidet vel tenet: dramaneer is well known to signifie to fill up: Their own Expositors are many of them for the Sence which this Doctor chargeth as deceiffly and maliciously given: Cornelius a Lapide saith that, dramaneer is Denue im-

plere,

plere, vel simul & Communiter ownes inplere, to fill again, or all together, or in communito fill: It is not Qui supplet vicem indosti, but Qui locum occupat interindostos, or Idiora locum tener.

And so it is expounded by the ancients, Chrysostom, Occumentus, Theophy-

lact.

And are not these Roman Priests notoriously Perjured, that all Swear to expound the Scripture, according to the unanimous consent of the Fathers, when as (besides that the Fathers have but sew of them written Commentaries on the Scriptures, there are very sew of them that unanimously agree, of the Sence of the one half of the Scripture Texts, but either say nothing of them, or differ: And not only in this, but in most points named by this Boctors Touchstone, he and others go stat against them?

And what meaneth the man to rail at them, that say So be it, instead of Amen? Is it not a true Translation? But he will prove that it should not be Translated, and consequently that Servict may be faid in an unknown Tongue, for Amen is not Greek but Hebrew. Ans. 1. Who can stand before these Arguments, if they be but backt with Guns and Swords, or

Aniwer lave Patience. He may also prove it from Christs Words on the Cross, Eloi Eloi Lamafabacthani: For Christ was now the most publick Priest, and was offering the most publick Service by his Sacrifice: Ergo, the publick Service should be in an unknown Tongue: And it may be, they may find some other untranslated word, that shall consute not only all the Bible, but all the Septuagint and Vulgar Latine Translations.

But feeing these Men's Arguments are too hot for me to answer, as they might know that the Church of England resuseth not AMEN, so neither will I, (though as I can prove, that the Corinthian Church were Hebrews and Gentiles mixt, and that Amen was understood by both; so Prote-

stants use it as a word understood.)

From the Serpents Seed, and his descriving subtil Lies: From Cain and his Skecessours, and the malignant and Blood-thirsly Enemies of Abels faithful acceptable worship; from such a worldly and slessly Sacred Generation as take gain for Godliness, and make their worldly carnal interest the Standard of their Religion, and their proud Domination to pass for the Kingdom of Christ: From an Usurping Vice Christ, whose

whose ambicion is so boundless, as to exercise to the Prophetical, Priefly and Rough Heading, over all the Earth, even as the Antipodes; and to that which is proper to God himfelf, and our Redeemer: From a Leprous Sect, which Condemnate the far greatest part of all Christs Church on Earth, and separateth from them, and calleth it felf, the whole and only Church: From that Church that decreeth Destruction, to all that renounce not all humane Sense, by believing that Bread is not Bread, nor that Wine is Wine, but Christs very Flesh and Blood, who now hath properly no Flesh and Blood, but a Spiritual Body; and that decreeth the Excommunication, Depofition, and Damnation, of all Princes that will not exterminate all fuch; and absolveth their Subjects from their Oaths of Allegiance: From that Beaft whose Mark is PER Perjury, Perfidionsness, and Persecution, and that think they do God acceptable Service, by killing his Servants, or tormenting them; and that Religion which feedeth on Christs Fleft, by Sacrificing those that he calleth his Flesh and Bones, Ephel. 5. From the infernal Dragon, the Father of Lies, Malice, and Murder, and all his MiniMinisters and Kingdom of Darkness: GOOD LORD make haste to deliver thy Flock; and confirm their Faith, Hope, Patience, and their Joysul desire, of the great, true, final, Glorious Deliverance, AMEN. AMEN, AMEN.

# FINIS

This Book was delivered by Mr. Baxter himself to the Bookseller, and not being feen by us the Authours of the Epistle to the Reader, till the Sheets were printed, these ERRATA's must be corrected.

Page 76. line 24. read after God ebreugh him.
Page 81. l. 14. read Converted for Convisted.
Page 94. l. 24. read converted for convicted.
Page 96. l. 21. read they do bold, for do they bold.
Page 97. l. 1. read finless for sinceless.
Page 116. l. 9. read intuition for intention.
Page 119. l. 17. read Reneus for Romans.
Page 149. l. 4. r. Ordainers for Ordinances.
Page 152. l. 15, 16. r. preference for pretences
Page 152. l. 21. r. Councils for council.
Page 162. l. 10. r. there is mention.
Page 163. l. 3. r. Vegetable.
Eng. 166. l. 27, 28. r. professed for premised.

Books Printed for John Salusbury at the Sun over against the Royal Exchange in Combill.

A N end of Dostrinal Controverfies, which have lately troubled the Churches, by Reconciling Explication without much disputing: by Richard Baxter.

The Certainty of the World of Spirits, fully evinced by unquestionable Histories of Apparitions and Witchcrasts, proving the Immortality of Souls. By Richard Baxter.

The Harmony of the Divine Attributes in the Contrivance and Accomplishment of Mans Redemption by our Lord Jesus Christ, &c. By VVilliam Bates, D. D.

The

## Books fold by J. Salusbury.

The Duty and Blessing of a Tender Conscience, plainly stated, and earnestly recommended to all that regard Acceptance with God. By T. Cruso.

Two Sermons, opening the Nature of Participation with, and demonstrating the Necessity of Purification by Christ. By the same Au-

thor.

Five Sermons on various Occasi-

ons: by the same Authour.

The Mirror of Divine Love Unvailed: In a Paraphrase of the high and mysterious Song of Solomon.

The Countreys Concurrence with the London United Ministers. By S.

Chandler.

A Summary or Abridgement of the whole Bible, whereby Children, and the Younger fort, may learn the Contents of it in a very short time, and give an Account of the principal passages of it.

# Books Solaby T. Salusbury.

A New Examination of the Ac-

A New Discourse on the Marriage of Isaac and Rebecks. 12°

The Suffering Christian. 120. Noftradamus's Prophesies.

and of Participation white and the foundation by clothed by the fame Author by the fame Authors.

angeltu Aurist adt gare.

The Minor of Divine Lave Unearled. In a Penachada of the light and mytherica, forg of Sig-

The Commerce Concurrence with a Louis Uldued Mindless, By an

A Summer of Aledge som of

noted speed and seed one but, though the common of the com







