CHAPTER III.

A Disputation, or Arguments to prove the Necessity of Family Worship and Holiness, or Directions against the Cavils of the Profane, and some Sectaries, who deny it to be a Thing required by God.

Whether the solemn Worship of God, in and by Families as such, be of Divine Appointment? Aff.

That excellent speech of Mirandula is oft in mind, 'Veritatem philosophia quaerit, theologia invent, religio possidet.' I do therefore with greater alacrity and delight dispute these points that are directly religious, that is, immediately practical, than those that are only remotely such: and though I am loath we should see among us any wider division 'inter philosophorum theologorum et religiosorum' than between the fantasy, the intellect, and the will, which never are found disjunct in any act; or rather than between the habits of practical natural knowledge, and the habits of practical supernatural knowledge, and the practical resolutions, affections and endeavours, into which both the former are devolved; yet may we safely and profitably distinguish, where it would be mortal to divide. If, disputing in our present case, do but tend to, and end in, a religious performance, we shall then be able to say, we disputed not in vain; when by experience of the delight and profit of God's work, we perceive that we do not worship him in vain; otherwise to evince by a dispute, that God should be worshipped; and not to worship him when we have done, is but to draw forth our learning, and sharpen our wits to plead our condemnation; as if the accuser wanted our help, or the Judge of all the world did want evidence and arguments against us, unless he had it from our own mouth. Concerning the sense of the terms, I shall say somewhat, both as to the subject, and the predicate, that we contend not in the dark; and yet but little, lest I trouble myself and you with needless labours.

1. By 'the worship of God' we mean not only, nor principally, obedience as such: or service in common things,
called 'Δείεια;' but we mean a religious performance of some sacred actions, with an intention of honouring God as God; and that more directly than in common works of obedience. This being commonly called 'Δείεια' is by Austin and since him by all the orthodox, appropriated to God alone, and indeed to give it to any other is contrary to its definition.

This worship is of two sorts, whereof the first is by an excellency called 'worship,' viz. When the honour of God is so directly the end and whole business of the work, that our own advantage falls in but impliedly, and in evident subordination: such are the blessed works of praise and thanksgiving, which we here begin and shall in heaven perpetuate. Yet see a more admirable mystery of true religion; we indeed receive more largely from God, and enjoy more fully our own felicity in him, in these acts of worship, that give all to God, than in the other wherein we more directly seek for somewhat from him. And those are the second sort of worship-actions, viz. When the substance or matter of the work is a seeking, or receiving somewhat from God, or delivering something religiously in his name, and so is more directly for ourselves; though it is God that should be our ultimate end in this too. You may perceive I make this of three sorts. Whereof the first consisteth in our religious addresses to God for something that we want; and is called prayer. The second consisteth in our religious addresses to God to receive somewhat from him; viz. 1. Instructions, precepts, promises, threatenings, from his mouth, messengers, &c. 2. The sacramental signs of his grace in baptism and the Lord's supper. The third is, when the officers of Christ do in his name solemnly deliver either his laws or sacraments. His laws either in general by ordinary preaching, or by a more particular application in acts of discipline.

2. The word 'solemn' signifies sometimes any thing usual and so some derive it, 'Solenne est quod fieri solet.' Sometimes that which is done but on one set day in the year; and so some make 'solenne' to be 'quasi solum semel in anno.' But vulgarly it is taken, and so we take it here, for both 'celebre et usitatum,' that is, a thing that is not accidentally and seldom, but statedly and ordinarily to be done, and that with such gravity and honourable seriousness as beseems a business of such weight.
3. By 'family' we mean, not a tribe or stock of kindred, dwelling in many houses as the word is taken oft in Scripture, but I mean a household.

'Domus et familia,' a 'household and family,' are indeed in economics somewhat different notions, but one thing. 'Domus' is to 'familia' as 'civitas' to 'respublica,' the former is made the subject of the latter, the latter the 'finis internus' of the former. And so 'Domus est societas naturae consentanea, e personis domesticis, vitae in dies omnes commode sustentandae causa, collecta. Familia est ordo domus per regimen patris-familias in personas sibi subjectas.'

Where note, that to a complete family must go four integral parts 'Pater familias, mater familias, filius, servus.' 'A father, mother, son, and servant.' But to the essence of a family it sufficeth if there be but the 'pars imperans, et pars subsida,' one head or governor, either father, mother, master, or mistress; and one or more governed under this head.

Note therefore that the governor is an essential part of the family, and so are some of the governed (viz. that such there be) but not each member. If therefore twenty children, or servants shall worship God without the father, or master of the family either present himself, or in some representative, it is not a family worship in strict sense. But if the head of the family in himself (or delegate or representative) be present, with any of his children or servants, though all the rest be absent, it is yet a family duty; though the family be incomplete and maimed (and so is the duty therefore, if culpably so performed).

4. When I say 'in and by' a family, I mean not that each must do the same parts of the work, but that one (either the head or some one deputed by him, and representing him) be the mouth, and the rest performing their parts by receiving instructions, or mentally concurring in the prayers and praise by him put up. Lastly, by 'divine appointment' I mean any signification of God's will, that it is men's duty to perform this. Whether a signification by natural means or supernatural, directly or by consequence, so we may be sure it is God's will. The sum of the question then is, 'Whether any sacred actions religiously and
ordinarily to be performed to God's honour by the head of the family, with the rest, be by God's appointment made our duty? My thoughts of this question I shall reduce to these heads, and propound in this order. 1. I shall speak of family worship in general. 2. Of the sorts of that worship in special. 3. Of the time.

I. Concerning the first, I lay down my thoughts in these Propositions following, for limitation and caution, and then prove the main conclusion.

Prop. 1. It is not all sorts of God's worship which he hath appointed to be performed by families as such; there being some proper to more public assemblies.

2. More particularly the administration of the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's supper, are proper to the ministerial or organized churches, and not common to families: for as they are both of them committed only to ministers of the Gospel, and have been only used by them for many hundred years in the church: (except that some permitted others to baptize in case of necessity.) So the Lord's supper was appointed for a symbol and means of a more public communion than that of families. And though some conjecture the contrary, from its first institution, and think that as there is a family prayer and church prayer, family teaching and church teaching; so there should be family sacraments and church sacraments, yet it is a mistake. For though Christ administered it to his family, yet it was not as a family, but as a church. For that which is but one family may possibly be a church also. This exposition we have from the doctrine and practice of the apostles, and constant custom of all the churches which have never thought the Lord's supper to be a family duty, but proper to larger assemblies, and administrable only by ordained ministers. Nor will the reasons drawn from circumcision, and the pass-over prove the contrary; both because particular churches were not then instituted as now; and therefore families had the more to do: and because there were some duties proper to families in the very institution of those sacraments. And because God gave them a power in those, which he hath not given to masters of families now in our sacraments.

3. Many thousands do by their own viciousness and negligence disable themselves; so that they cannot perform
what God hath made their duty: yet it remains their duty still: some disability may excuse them in part, but not in whole.

I shall now prove, that the solemn worship of God in and by families as such, is of Divine appointment.

Argument 1. If families are societies of God's institution, furnished with special advantages and opportunities for God's solemn worship, having no prohibition so to use them; then the solemn worship of God in and by families as such, is of Divine appointment. But the antecedent is true; therefore so is the consequent.

For the parts of the antecedent, 1. That families are societies of God's institution, needeth no proof.

2. That they are furnished with special advantages and opportunities may appear by an enumeration of particulars. (1.) There is the advantage of authority in the ruler of the family, whereby he may command all that are under him in God's worship, yea, and may inflict penalties on children and servants that refuse; yea, may cast some out of the family if they be obstinate. (2.) He hath the advantage of a singular interest in wife and children, by which he may bring them to it willingly, that so they may perform a right evangelical worship. (3.) He hath the advantage of a singular dependance of all upon him for daily provisions; and of his children for their portions for livelihood in the world, whereby he may yet further prevail with them for obedience: he having a power to reward, as well as to punish and command. (4.) They have the opportunity of cohabitation, and so are still at hand, and more together, and so in readiness for such employments. (5.) Being nearest in relation, they are more strongly obliged to further each other's salvation, and help each other in serving God. (6.) They have hereby an advantage against all prejudices and jealousies, which strangeness and mistakes may raise and cherish among those that live at a greater distance, and so may close more heartily in God's worship. And their nearness of relation and natural affections do singularly advantage them for a more affectionate conjunction, and so for a more forcible and acceptable worship of God, when they are in it as of one heart and soul. (7.) If any misunderstanding or other impediment arise, they being still at hand, have opportunity to re-
move them, and to satisfy each other; and if any distempers of understanding, heart or life be in the family, the ruler, by familiarity and daily converse, is enabled more particularly to fit his reproofs and exhortations, confessions and petitions accordingly, which even ministers in the congregations cannot so well do. So that I have made it evident in this enumeration, that families have advantages, yea, special and most excellent advantages and opportunities for the solemn worship of God.

3. The last part of the antecedent was, that they have no prohibition to use these advantages and opportunities to God's solemn worship. I add this, lest any should say, though they have such advantages, yet God may restrain them for the avoiding some greater inconveniencies another way; as he hath restrained women from speaking in the assemblies. But (1.) God hath neither restrained them in the law of nature, nor in the written law; therefore not at all. He that can shew it in either, let him do it. (2.) I never yet read or heard any knowing Christian once affirm that God hath forbidden families solemnly to worship him, and therefore I think it needless to prove a negative, when no man is known to hold the affirmative. Indeed for some kinds of worship, as preaching and expounding Scripture, some have prohibited them; but not reading, catechizing, all instructing, praying, praises, singing psalms, much less all solemn worship wholly. So much for the antecedent.

I now come to prove the consequence. 1. The foresaid advantages and opportunities are talents given by God, which they that receive, are obliged faithfully to improve for God; therefore families having such advantages and opportunities for God's solemn worship, are bound to improve them faithfully for God, in the solemn worshipping of him. For the antecedent, 1. It is unquestionable that these are talents, that is, improvable mercies given by God. For as none dare deny them to be mercies, so none dare (I hope) say that God is not the giver of them. And then 2. That such talents must be improved faithfully for God, from whom they are received, is plain, (1.) From Matt. xxv. throughout, especially from verse 14. to verse 31. And Luke xx. 10. he requireth the fruits of his vineyard, and Matt. x. 42. if he intrust us with a cup of cold water, he expecteth it for
a prophet when he calleth for it. And if he intrust us with outward riches, he expecteth that "we give to him that asketh." His stewards must give an account of their stewardships. Christ telleth us of all our talents in general, that, "Unto whomsoever much is given, of him shall be much required: and to whom men have committed much, of him will they ask the more." And of our words in particular Christ tells us, that "of every idle word men shall give an account at the day of judgment." Much more for denying to use both our tongues and hearts in God's worship, when he gives us such opportunities. "It is required in stewards, that a man be found faithful." "As every man hath received the gift, even so minister the same one to another, as good stewards of the manifold grace of God. If any man speak, let him speak as the oracles of God;" &c. Many more of the like Scriptures prove the antecedent of the enthymeme, and the consequent needs no proof.

Arg. 11. The solemn worship of God in and by families as such, is required by the very law of nature, therefore it is of Divine institution. The consequence can be denied by no man that renounceth not reason and nature itself; denying the law of nature to be God's law, which is indeed partly presupposed in the law supernatural, and partly rehearsed in it, but never subverted by it. Positives are more mutable than naturals are.

The antecedent is thus manifested. 1. Natural reason (or the law of nature) requireth that all men do faithfully improve all the talents that God hath intrusted them with, to his honour: therefore natural reason (or the law of nature) doth require, that God be solemnly worshipped in families; he having given them such advantages as aforesaid thereunto. 2. The law of nature requireth, that all societies that have God for their founder and institutor, should, to their utmost capacities, be devoted to him that founded and instituted them: but that God is the founder and institutor of families, is known by the light of nature itself: therefore the law of nature requireth, that families be to the utmost of their capacities devoted to God; and consequently, that

---
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they solemnly worship him, they being capable of so doing. I need not prove the major, because I speak only to men that are possessed of the law of nature mentioned in it: and therefore they know it themselves to be true. Yet let me so far stay on the illustration, as to tell you the grounds of it. And 1. God is the Alpha and Omega, the first and the last, the principal efficient and ultimate end of all: and therefore of families. And therefore they should be for him, as well as they are from him: for “of him, and through him, and to him are all things.” This argument I draw from nature, which can have no beginning but God, nor any end but God. The 2. I draw from the Divine intention, in the fabrication and ordination of all things. God made all things for himself, and can have no ultimate end below himself. The 3. I draw from his ‘jus domini,’ his right of propriety which he hath over all things, and so over families as such: they are all absolutely his own alone. And that which is solely or absolutely a man’s own, should be for his use, and employed to his honour and ends: much more that which is God’s, seeing man is not capable of such a plenary propriety of any thing in the world, as God hath in all things. 4. I argue a ‘jure imperii,’ from God’s right of government. If he have a full right of government of families, as families, then families as families must honour and worship him according to their utmost capacities. But he hath a full right of absolute government over families, as families; therefore—The consequence of the major is grounded on these two things: 1. That God himself is the end of his own government: this is proper to his regimen. All human government is said by politicians to be terminated ultimately in the public good of the society. But God’s pleasure and glory is the end of his government, and is, as it were, the public or universal good. 2. In that nature teacheth us, that supreme honour is due to all that are supreme governors; therefore they are to have the most honourable titles, of majesty, highness, excellency, &c., and actions answerable to those titles, “If I be a father, where is mine honour? if I be a master, where is my fear?” Fear is oft put for all God’s worship. If then there be no family whereof God is not the Father or Founder, and the Master, or Owner and Governor, then there is

'Mal. i. 6.'
none but should honour and fear him, or worship him, and that not only as single men, but as families: because he is not only the Father and Master, the Lord and Ruler of them as men, but also as families. Honour is as due to the rector, as protection to the subjects, and in our case much more. God is not a mere titular but real Governor. All powers on earth are derived from him, and are indeed his power. All lawful governors are his officers, and hold their places under him, and act by him. As God therefore is the proper Sovereign of every commonwealth, and the Head of the church; so is he the Head of every family. Therefore as every commonwealth should perform such worship or honour to their earthly sovereign, as is due to man; so each society should, according to their capacities perform Divine worship and honour to God. And if any object, That by this rule commonwealths, as such, must meet together to worship God, which is impossible; I answer, They must worship him according to their natural capacities; and so must families according to theirs. The same general precept obligeth to a diverse manner of duty according to the divers capacities of the subject. Commonwealths must in their representatives at least, engage themselves to God as commonwealths, and worship him in the most convenient way that they are capable of. Families may meet together for prayer, though a nation cannot. As an association of churches called a provincial or national church, is obliged to worship God, as well as particular congregations, yet not in one place; because it is impossible: nature limiteth and maketh the difference.

And that the obligation of families to honour and worship God, may yet appear more eminently, consider that God's right-of propriety and rule is twofold, yet each title plenary alone. 1. He is our Owner and Ruler upon his title of creation. 2. So he is by his right of redemption. By both these he is not only Lord and Ruler of persons, but families; all societies being his. And the regimen of persons being chiefly exercised over them in societies. "All power in heaven and earth is given unto Christ": "and all judgment committed unto him:" "and all things delivered into his hands:" "and therefore to him shall every knee
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bow, both of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth:” (either with a bowing of worship, or of forced acknowledgment:) and “every tongue shall confess that Jesus Christ is Lord to the glory of God the Father." Bowing to and confessing Christ voluntarily to God's glory, is true worship: all must do this according to their several capacities: and therefore families according to theirs:

A third consideration which I thought to have added but for illustration, may well stand as an argument itself; and it is this:

Arg. iii. If besides all the forementioned opportunities and obligations, families do live in the presence of God, and ought by faith to apprehend that presence, then is it God's will that families as such should solemnly worship him. But the former is true, therefore the latter.

The consequence of the major, which alone requires proof, I prove by an argument 'a fortiori,' from the honour due to all earthly governors. Though when a king, a father, a master are absent, such actual honour, to be presented to them is not due, because they are not capable of receiving it (further than 'mediante aliqua persona, vel re,' which beareth some representation of the superior, or relation to him); yet when they stand by, it is a contemptuous subject, a disobedient child, that will not perform actual honour, or human worship to them. Now God is ever present not only with each person as such, but also with every family as such. As he is said to walk among the golden candlesticks in his churches, so doth he in the families of all by his common presence, and of his servants by his gracious presence. This they easily find by his directing them, and blessing the affairs of their families. If any say, 'We see not God, else we would daily worship him in our families.' Answ. Faith seeth him who to sense is invisible. If one of you had a son that were blind and could not see his own father, would you think him therefore excusable, if he would not honour his father, when he knew him to be present? We know God to be present, though flesh be blind and cannot see him.

Arg. iv. If Christian families (besides all the foremen-

*Phil. ii. 10.*
tioned advantages and obligations) are also societies sanctified to God, then is it God's will that families, as such, should solemnly worship him; but Christian families are societies sanctified to God: Therefore, &c.

The reason of the consequence is, because things sanctified must in the most eminent sort, that they are capable, be used for God. To sanctify a person or thing, is to set it apart, and separate it from a common or unclean use, and to devote it to God, to be employed in his service. To alienate this from God, or not to use it for God, when it is dedicated to him, or sanctified by his own election and separation of it from common use, is sacrilege. God hath a double right (of creation and redemption) to all persons. But a treble right to the sanctified. Ananias his fearful judgment was a sad example of God's wrath, on those that withhold from him what was devoted to him. If Christian families as such, be sanctified to God, they must as such worship him in their best capacity.

That Christian families are sanctified to God, I prove thus; 1. A society of holy persons must needs be a holy society. But a family of Christians is a society of holy persons; therefore, 2. We find in Scripture not only single persons, but the societies of such sanctified to God. "Thou art an holy people unto the Lord thy God, he hath chosen thee to be a special people to himself above all people that are upon the face of the earth." So the body of that commonwealth did all jointly enter into covenant with God, and God to them. "Thou hast vouched the Lord this day to be thy God, and to walk in his ways; and the Lord hath vouched thee this day to be his peculiar people, that thou mayst be an holy people to the Lord." Joshua (chap. xxiv.) devoteth himself and his house to the Lord; "I and my house will serve the Lord." And Abraham by circumcision (the covenant, or seal of the covenant of God) consecrated his whole household to God; and so were all families after him to do (as to the males in whom the whole was consecrated). And whether besides the typifying intent, there were not something more in the sanctifying of all the


first-born to God, who if they lived, were to be the heads of families, may be questioned.

The passover was a family duty, by which they were yet further sanctified to God. Yea, it is especially to be observed how in the New Testament the Holy Ghost doth imitate the language of the Old, and speak of God's people, as of holy societies, as the Jews were. As in many prophecies it was foretold that nations and kingdoms should serve him (of which I have spoken more in my Book of Baptism); and among those who should "mourn over him whom they have pierced" in gospel times, when the spirit of grace and supplication is poured forth, are "the family of the house of David apart, and their wives apart, the family of the house of Nathan apart, and their wives apart; every family, even all the families that remained apart, and their wives apart." So Christ sendeth his disciples to "baptize nations," having discipled them; and "the kingdoms of the world shall become the kingdoms of the Lord and his Christ." And as God saith of the Jews, "Ye shall be a peculiar treasure to me above all people; and ye shall be unto me a kingdom of priests, and a holy nation;" so doth Peter say of all Christians; "Ye also as living stones are built up a spiritual house, a holy priesthood, to offer up spiritual sacrifices acceptable to God by Jesus Christ. But ye are a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people, that you should shew forth the praises of him that hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light." Mark how fully this text doth prove all that we are about. It speaks of Christians collectively, as in societies, and in societies of all the most eminent sorts; "a generation," which seems especially to refer to tribes and families: "a priesthood, nation, people;" which comprehendeth all the orders in the nation oftentimes. And in all these respects they are holy, and peculiar, and chosen, to shew, that God's people are sanctified in these relations and societies. And then mark the end of this sanctification, "to offer up spiritual sacrifices acceptable to God by Jesus Christ; to shew forth the praises of him that hath called you," &c.
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Yea, it seems that there was a special dedication of families to God. And therefore we read so frequently of households converted and baptized; though none at age were baptized, but such as seemed believers; yet when they professed faith, they were all together initiated as a household. And it seems, the master's interest and duty were taken to be so great for the conversion of the rest, that as he was not to content himself with his own conversion, but to labour presently even before his baptism, that his household should join with him, that so the whole family at once might be devoted to God: so God did bless this his own order and ordinance to that end: and where he imposed duty on masters, he usually gave success, so that commonly the whole family was converted and baptized with the ruler of the family. So Acts xviii. 8. "Crispus believed on the Lord with all his house, and they were baptized:" and Acts xvi. 32. Paul promiseth the gaoler, "Believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved and thy house; and he and all his were baptized straightway: for he believed in God with all his house," ver. 33, 34. And Lydia is described a "worshipper of God": "She was baptized and her household." And the angel told Cornelius, that Peter should tell him "words whereby he and all his household should be saved:" who were baptized accordingly. And I Cor. i. 16. Paul baptized the household of Stephanus. And Christ told Zaccheus, salvation was come that day unto his house, "and he and all his household believed." So that nobleman, John iv. 53. Therefore when Christ sent forth his disciples, he saith, "If the house be worthy, let your peace come upon it, but if it be not worthy, let your peace return to you." So that as it is apparently the duty of every Christian sovereign, to do what he is able to make all his people God's people; and so to dedicate them to God as a holy nation, in a national covenant, as the Israelites were: so is it the unquestionable duty of every Christian ruler of a family, to improve his interest, power, and parts to the uttermost, to bring all his family to be the people of Christ in the baptismal covenant, and so to dedicate all his family to Christ. Yet farther I prove this, in that believers themselves being all sanctified to God, it must needs follow, that
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all their lawful relations, and especially all commanded states of relation are also sanctified to God; for when themselves are dedicated to God, it is absolutely without reserve, to serve him with all that they have, and in every relation and capacity that he shall set them. It were a madness to think, that a Christian totally devoted unto God when he is a private man, if he were after made a soldier, a minister, a magistrate, a king, were not bound by his dedication, now to serve God as a soldier, a minister, a magistrate, a king. So he that is devoted to God in a single state, is bound to serve him as a husband, a father, a master, when he comes into that state; we do devote all that we have to God, when we devote ourselves to him.

Moreover the Scripture tells us, that to "the pure all things are pure." And "all things are sanctified to them by the word and prayer," which is in that they are made the goods, and enjoyments, actions and relations of a sanctified people; who are themselves devoted or sanctified to God: so that all sanctification referreth ultimately and principally to God: 'Quod sanctum Deo sanctum est;' though it may be said subordinately to be sanctified to us. Seeing then it is past all doubt, that every Christian is a man sanctified and devoted to God, and that when every man is so devoted to God, he is devoted to serve him to the utmost capacity in every state, relation or condition that he is in, and with all the faculties he possesseth, it followeth, that those relations are sanctified to God, and in them he ought to worship him and honour him.

Yet further we find in Scripture, that the particular family relations are expressly sanctified; the family complete consisteth of three pairs of relations: husband and wife, parents and children, masters and servants. Husbands must love their wives with an holy love in the Lord, even as "the Lord loved the church, who gave himself for it, to sanctify and cleanse it by the washing of water by the word, that he might present it to himself a glorious church." "Wives must submit themselves to their husbands as unto the Lord; and be subject to them, as the church is to Christ." "Children must obey their parents in the Lord." "Parents must bring up their children in the nurture and admonition of the
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Lord". "Servants must be obedient unto their masters as unto Christ, and as the servants of Christ, doing the will of God from their hearts with good will, doing service as to the Lord, and not to man; knowing that what good thing any man doth, the same shall he receive of the Lord, whether he be bond or free; and masters must do the same to them; knowing that their master is in heaven." So that it is evident that every distinct family relation is dedicated or holy to God, and to be used to the utmost for God. I shall have occasion to make further use anon of these texts for the particular sorts of worship, though I now make use of them as for worship in general.

Arg. v. The several sorts of solemn worship in and by Christian families, are found, appointed, used, and commanded in the Scripture, therefore it may well be concluded of worship in the general: seeing the genus is in each species. But this argument brings me to the second part of my undertaking: viz. to prove the point as to some special kinds of worship; which I the more hasten to, because in so doing, I prove the general also.

II. Concerning God's worship in special, I shall speak to two or three of the chief parts of it, which belong to families.

And 1. of Teaching, under which I comprise,

1. Teaching the letter of the Scripture, (1.) By reading it. (2.) By teaching others to read it. (3.) Causing them to learn it by memory, which is a kind of catechising.

2. Teaching the sense of it.

3. Applying what is so taught by familiar reproofs, admonitions and exhortations.

Prop. ii. ‘It is the will of God that the rulers of families should teach those that are under them the doctrine of salvation’; i. e. the doctrine of God concerning salvation, and the terms on which it is to be had, and the means to be used for attaining it, and all the duties requisite on our parts in order thereunto.

Before I come to the proof, take these cautions: 1. Where I say men must thus teach, I imply they must be able to teach, and not teach before they are able: and if they be not able it is their own sin, God having vouchsafed them

means for enablement. 2. Men must measure their teaching according to their abilities, and not pretend to more than they have, nor attempt that which they cannot perform, thereby incurring the guilt of proud self-conceitedness, profanation, or other abuse of holy things. For example, men that are not able judiciously to do it, must not presume to interpret the original, or to give the sense of dark prophecies, and other obscure texts of Scripture, nor to determine controversies beyond their reach. 3. Yet may such conveniently study what more learned, able men say to such cases; and tell their families, this is the judgment of Fathers, or Councils, or such and such learned divines. 4. But ordinarily it is the safest, humblest, wisest, and most orderly way for the master of the family to let controversies and obscure Scriptures alone, and to teach the plain, few necessary doctrines commonly contained in catechisms, and to direct in matters of necessary practice. 5. Family teaching must stand in a subordination to ministerial teaching, as families are subordinate to churches: and therefore (1.) Family teaching must give way to ministerial teaching, and never be set against it; you must not be hearing the master of a family, when you should be in a church hearing the pastor: and if the pastor send for servants, or children to be catechised in any fit place or at any fit time, the master is not then to be doing it himself, or to hinder them, but they must go first to the pastor to be taught; also if a pastor come into a family, the master is to give place, and the family to hear him first. (2.) And therefore when any hard text, or controversies fall in, the master should consult with the pastor for their exposition, unless it fall out that the master of the family be better learned in the Scripture than the pastor is, which is rare, and rarer should be, seeing unworthy ministers should be removed, and private men that are worthy should be made ministers. And the pastors should be the ablest men in the congregation. Now to the proof, (remembering still that whatsoever proves it the ruler's duty to teach, must needs prove it the families' duty to learn, and to hearken to his teaching that they may learn.

Arg. 1. From Deut. xi. 18—21. "Therefore shall you lay up these my words in your hearts, and in your soul, and bind them for a sign upon your hand, that they may be as
frontlets between your eyes, and you shall teach them your children, speaking of them when thou sittest in thy house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up, and thou shalt write them upon the door-posts of thy house, and upon your gates, that your days may be multiplied, and the days of your children." The like words are in Deut. vi. 6—8. where it is said, "And thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children." So Deut. iv. 9. "Teach them thy sons, and thy sons' sons."

Here there is one part of family duty, viz. Teaching children the laws of God, as plainly commanded as words can express it.

Arg. ii. From these texts which commend this. Gen. xviii. 18, 19. "All the nations of the earth shall be blessed in him, for I know him that he will command his children and his household after him: and they shall keep the way of the Lord:" and it was not only a command at his death what they should do when he was dead, for 1. It cannot be imagined that so holy a man should neglect a duty all his lifetime, and perform it but at death and be commended for that. 2. He might then have great cause to question the efficacy. 3. As God commandeth a diligent inculcating precepts on children; so no doubt it is a practice answerable to such precepts, that is here commended, and it is not bare teaching, but commanding that is here mentioned, to shew that it must be an improvement of authority, as well as of knowledge and elocution.

So 2 Tim. iii. 15. From a child Timothy knew the Scripture by the teaching of his parents, as appeareth, 2 Tim. i. 5.

Arg. iii. Eph. vi. 4. "Bring them up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord;" 'παιδεία' translated 'nurture,' signifieth both instruction and correction, shewing that parents must use both doctrine and authority, or force, with their children for the matters of the Lord; and 'νοητεία' translated 'admonition,' signifieth such instruction as putteth doctrine into the mind, and chargeth it on them, and fully storeth their minds therewith: and it also signifieth chiding, and sometimes correction. And it is to be noted that children must be brought up in this: the word
signifying 'carefully to nourish,' importeth that as you feed them with milk and bodily food, so you must as carefully and constantly feed and nourish them with the nurture and admonition of the Lord. It is called the nurture and admonition 'of the Lord,' because the Lord commandeth it, and because it is the doctrine concerning the Lord, and the doctrine of his teaching, and the doctrine that leadeth to him.

Arg. iv. Prov. xxii. 6. "Train up a child in the way where he should go, and when he is old he will not depart from it."

Arg. v. From all those places that charge children to "hearken to the instructions of their parents," Prov. i. 8. "My son hear the instruction of thy father, and forsake not the law of thy mother." Prov. vi. 20. is the like; and iii. 22. with many the like. Yea, the son that is stubborn and rebellious against the instruction and correction of a father or mother in gluttony, drunkenness, &c. was to be brought forth to the magistrate, and stoned to death, Deut. xxi. 18—20. Now all the Scriptures that require children to hear their parents, do imply that the parents must teach their children; for there is no hearing and learning without teaching.

But lest you say that parents and children are not the whole family, (though they may be, and in Abraham's case before mentioned, the whole household is mentioned,) the next shall speak to other relations.

Arg. vi. 1 Pet. iii. 7. "Likewise ye husbands dwell with them (your wives) according to knowledge;" and Eph. v. 25, 26. "Love your wives as Christ loved the church and gave himself for it, that he might sanctify and cleanse it." And this plainly implies that this knowledge must be used for the instruction and sanctification of the wife, 1 Cor. xiv. 34, 35. Women must "keep silence in the church, for it is not permitted unto them to speak, but they are to be under obedience, as also saith the law, If they will learn any thing, let them ask their husbands at home." Which shews that at home their husbands must teach them.

Arg. vii. Col. iii. 22—25. Eph. vi. 5—8. "Servants must be obedient unto their masters as unto Christ, and
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serve them as serving the Lord Christ," and therefore ministers must command in Christ.

Arg. viii. 'A fortiori,' fellow Christians must "exhort one another daily while it is called to-day, lest any be hardened by the deceitfulness of sin," much more must the rulers of families do so to wives, children and servants. "If any speak, it must be as the oracles of God," much more to our own families. "Let the Word of God dwell in you richly in all wisdom, teaching and admonishing one another;" and much more must a man do this to wife, children and servants, than to those more remote.

Arg. ix. Those that are to be chosen deacons or bishops, must be such as rule their own children and their own household well. Now mark, 1. That this is one of those Christian virtues which they were to have before they were made officers, therefore other Christians must have and perform it as well as they. 2. It is a religious, holy governing, such as a minister is to exercise over his flock that is here mentioned, which is in the things of God and salvation, or else the comparison or argument would not suit, ver. 5. "For if a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he rule the church of God?" But of this more before. I would say more on this point, but that I think it is so clear in Scripture as to make it needless; I pass therefore to the next.

Prop. iii. 'Family discipline is part of God's solemn worship or service appointed in his Word;' this is not called worship in so near a sense as some of the rest, but more remotely; yet so it may well be called, in that 1. It is an authoritative act done by commission from God; 2. Upon such as disobey him, and as such. 3. And to his glory, yea, and it should be done with as great solemnity and reverence, as other parts of worship.

The acts of this discipline are first denying the ungodly entrance into the family. 2. Correcting; 3. Or casting out those that are in. I shall be but brief on these.

1. The first you have 2 John x. "If there come any to you and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed; for he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds."
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2. The duty of correcting either by corporal, sensible punishment, or by withdrawing some benefit, is so commonly required in Scripture, especially towards children, that I will not stand on it lest I speak in vain what you all know already; and how Eli suffered for neglecting it, you know.

3. The discipline of casting the wicked out of the family (servants I mean who are separable members), you may find Psal. ci. 2, 3, 7, 8. "I will walk within my house with a perfect heart, I will set no wicked thing before mine eyes. He that worketh deceit shall not dwell within my house, he that telleth lies shall not tarry in my sight."

Prop. iv. 'Solemn prayer and praises of God in and by Christian families is of divine appointment.'

1. For proof of this, I must desire you to look back to all the arguments which proved the dueness of worship in general, for they will yet more especially prove this sort of worship, seeing prayer and praise, are most immediately and eminently called God's worship of any; (under praises I comprehend psalms of praise, and under prayer, psalms of prayer); yet let us add some more.

Arg. i. 'It is God's will that Christians who have fit occasions and opportunities for prayer and praises should improve them, but Christian families have fit occasions and opportunities for prayer and praise, therefore it is God's will they should improve them.

The major is evident in many Scripture precepts. "I will therefore that men pray every where, lifting up holy hands, without wrath and doubting." "Pray without ceasing: in every thing give thanks, for this is the will of God concerning you." "Continue in prayer, and watch in the same with thanksgiving." "Teaching and admonishing one another in psalms, and hymns, and spiritual songs, singing with grace in your hearts unto the Lord, and whatsoever ye do in word or deed, do all in the name of the Lord Jesus; giving thanks unto God and the Father by him." "Continuing instant in prayer." "Praying always with all prayer and supplication in the spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance and supplication for all
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saints: and for me that utterance may be given me." Many the like texts might be named, every one of which afford an argument for family praises most effectual.

1. If men must pray every where (that is convenient) then sure in their families. But, &c. Erg. 2. If men must pray without ceasing, then sure in their families. 3. If men must in every thing give thanks, then sure in family mercies, and then, according to the nature of them, together. 4. If men must continue in prayer and watch in it (for fit advantages and against impediments), and in thanksgiving, then doubtless they must not omit the singular advantages which are administered in families. 5. If we must continue instant in prayer and supplication, &c. then doubtless in family prayer, in our families, unless that be no place and no prayer. Object. But this binds us no more to prayer in our families than any where else. Answ. Yes, it binds us to take all fit opportunities; and we have more fit opportunities in our own families than in other men's, or than in occasional meetings, or than in any ordinary societies, except the church.

And here let me tell you, that it is ignorance to call for particular express Scripture, to require praying in families, as if we thought the general commands did not comprehend this particular, and were not sufficient. God doth in much wisdom leave out of his written law the express determination of some of those circumstantial, or the application of general precepts to some of those subjects to which common reason and the light of nature sufficeth to determine and apply them. The Scripture giveth us the general "Pray alway with all manner of prayer in all places," that is, omit no fit advantages and opportunities for prayer: What if God had said no more than this about prayer in Scripture? It seems some men would have said God hath not required us to pray at all (when he requireth us to pray always), because he tells us not when and where, and how oft, and with whom, and in what words, &c. And so they would have concluded God no where bids us pray in secret, nor pray in families, nor pray in assemblies, nor pray with the godly, nor with the wicked, nor pray every day, nor once a week: nor with a book, nor without a book, and
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therefore not at all. As if the general 'Pray on all fit occasions' were nothing.

But these men must know that nature also and reason are God's light, and Providence oft determineth of such subjects and adjuncts: and the general law, and these together, do put all out of doubt. What if God telleth you, 'He that provideth not for his own, especially those of his household, hath denied the faith, and is worse than an infidel,' and do not tell you either who are your families, and who not, nor what provision you shall make for them, what food, what clothes, or how oft they must feed, &c. Will you say God hath not bid you feed or clothe this child, or that servant? It is enough that God chargeth you to provide for your families, in the Scripture; and that in nature he tell you which are your families, and what provision to make for them, and how oft, and in what quantity, &c. And so if God bid you pray in all places, and at all times, on all occasions (that are fit for prayer), and experience and common reason tell you that families afford most fit times, place, and occasions for prayer, is not this enough, that there are such seasons, and opportunities, and occasions for family prayer? I refer you to the particular discoveries of them in the beginning, where I proved the dueness of worship in general to be there performed. And I refer you also to common reason itself, not fearing the contradiction of any man whose impiety hath not made him unreasonable, and prevailed against the common light of nature. This first general argument were enough, if men were not so averse to their duty that they cannot know, because they will not: but let us therefore add some more.

Arg. ii. 'If there be many blessings which the family needeth, and which they do actually receive from God, then it is the will of God that the family pray for these blessings when they need them, and give thanks for them when they have received them: but there are many blessings which the family (as conjunct) needeth and receiveth of God. Therefore the family conjunct, and not only particular members secretly, should pray for them and give thanks for them.

The antecedent is past question; 1. The continuance of the family as such in being. 2. In well being. 3. And so the preservation and direction of the essential members.
4. And the prospering of all family affairs are evident instances: and to descend to more particulars would be needless tediousness. The consequence is proved from many Scriptures, which require those that want mercies to ask them, and those that have received them, to be thankful for them. Object. So they may do singly. Answ. It is not only as single persons but as a society that they receive the mercy: therefore not only as single persons, but as a society should they pray and give thanks: therefore should they do it in that manner, as may be most fit for a society to do it in, and that is, together conjunctly, that it may be indeed a family sacrifice, and that each part may see that the rest join with them. And especially that the ruler may be satisfied in this, to whom the oversight of the rest is committed: to see that they all join in prayer, which in secret he cannot see, it being not fit that secret prayer should have spectators or witness; that is, should not be secret. But this I intended to make another argument by itself; which because we are fallen on it, I will add next.

Arg. III. If God hath given charge to the ruler of a family to see that the rest do worship him in that family, then ought the ruler to cause them solemnly or openly to join in that worship. But God hath given charge to the ruler of a family, to see that the rest do worship him in that family: therefore, &c.

The reason of the consequence is, because otherwise he can with no convenience see that they do it. For, 1. It is not fit that he should stand by while they pray secretly. 2. Nor are they able vocally to do it, in most families, but have need of a leader; it being not a thing to be expected of every woman, and child and servant (that had wanted good education), that they should be able to pray without a guide, so as is fit for others to hear. 3. It would take up almost all the time of the ruler of many families, to go to them one after another, and stand by them while they pray till all have done; what man in his wits can think this to be so fit a course, as for the family to join together, the ruler being the mouth?

The antecedent I prove thus, 1. The fourth commandment requireth the ruler of the family not only to see that himself sanctifieth the sabbath day, but also that his son and daugh-
ter, and man-servant, and maid-servant, his cattle (that is so far as they are capable), yea, and the stranger that is within his gates should do it. 2. It was committed to Abra-

ham's charge to see that all in his family were circumcised: so was it afterwards to every ruler of a family; insomuch as the angel threatened Moses, when his son was uncircum-
cised. 3. The ruler of the family was to see that the "pass-
over" was kept by every one in his family, and so the "feast of weeks." All that is said before tendeth to prove this, and much more might be said, if I thought it would be denied.

Arg. iv. If God prefer, and would have us prefer, the prayers and praises of many conjunct, before the prayers and praises of those persons dividedly, then is it his will that the particular persons of Christian families should prefer conjunct prayer and praises before disjunct: but the ante-
cedent is true, therefore so is the consequent. Or thus take it for the same argument or another. If it be the duty of neighbours, when they have occasion and oppor-
tunity, rather to join together, in praises of common con-
cernment, than to do it dividedly, then much more is this the duty of families: but it is the duty of neighbours: there-
fore, &c.

In the former argument the reason of the consequence is, because that way is to be taken that God is best pleased with. The reason of the consequence in the latter is, because family members are more nearly related than neigh-
bours, and have much more advantage and opportunity for conjunctions and more ordinary reasons to urge them to it, from the conjunction of their interest and affairs.

There is nothing needs proof but the antecedent, which I shall put past all doubt by these Arguments. 1. Col. iii. 16. "Teaching and admonishing one another in psalms and hymns, and spiritual songs, singing with grace in your hearts unto the Lord." Here is one duty of praise required to be done together and not apart only. I shall yet make further use of this text anon. 2. Acts xii. 12. "Many were gathered together praying in Mary's house, when Peter came to the door." This was not an assembly of the whole church but a small part: they judged it better to pray together than.

1 Exod. xii. 2, 3.  
2 Deut. xxvi. 11, 12.
3. Acts xx. 36. Paul prayed together with all the elders of the church of Ephesus, when he had them with him; and did not choose rather to let them pray each man alone. 4. James v. 15, 16. James commands the sick to "send for the elders of the church, and let them pray over him, and the prayer of the faithful shall save the sick, &c." He doth not bid send to them to pray for you; but he would have them join together in doing it. 5. Church prayers are preferred before private on this ground, and we commanded not to forsake the assembling of ourselves together, Heb. x. 25. 6. Striving together in prayer is desired, Rom. xv. 30. 7. Matt. xviii. 20. "For where two or three are gathered together in my name, there am I in the midst of them." 8. Therefore Christ came among the disciples when they were gathered together, after his resurrection. And sent down the Holy Ghost when they were gathered together, Acts ii. "And they continued with one accord in prayer and supplication," Acts i. 14. 24. ii. 42. "And when they had prayed, the place was shaken where they had assembled together, and they were all filled with the Holy Ghost, &c." Acts iv. 31. 9. Is not this implied, in Christ's directing his disciples to pray in the plural number "Our Father, &c. Give us this day, &c." 10. The very necessity of the persons proves it, in that few societies are such but that most are unable to express their own wants so largely as to affect their hearts, so much as when others do it that are better stored with affection and expression. And this is one of God's ways for communion and communication of grace: that those that have much may help to warm and kindle those that have less. Experience telleth us the benefit of this. As all the body is not an eye or hand, so not a tongue, and therefore the tongue of the church, and of the family must speak for the whole body: not but that each one ought to pray in secret too: but, (1.) There the heart without the tongue may better serve turn. (2.) They still ought to prefer conjunct prayer. And (3.) The communion of saints is an article of our creed, which binds us to acknowledge it fit to do as much of God's work as we can in communion with the saints, not going beyond our callings, nor into confusion.

Arg. v. It is a duty to receive all the mercies that
God offereth us: but for a family to have access to God in joint prayers and praises, is a mercy that God offereth them: therefore it is their duty to accept it. The major is clear in nature and Scripture, 'Because I have offered and ye refused,' is God's great aggravation of the sin of the rebellious. "How oft would I have gathered you together, and ye would not? All the day long have I stretched out my hand, &c." To refuse an offered kindness, is contempt and ingratitute. The minor is undeniable by any Christian, that ever knew what family prayers and praises were. Who dare say that it is no mercy to have such a joint access to God? Who feels not conjunction somewhat help his own affections, who makes conscience of watching his heart?

Arg. vi. Part of the duties of families are such that they apparently lose their chiefest life and excellency if they be not performed jointly: therefore they are so to be performed.

I mean, singing of psalms which I before proved an ordinary duty of conjunct Christians, therefore of families. The melody and harmony are lost by our separation, and consequently the alacrity and quickening which our affections should get by it. And if part of God's praises must be performed together, it is easy to see that the rest must be so too. (Not to speak of teaching which cannot be done alone.)

Arg. vii. 'Family prayer and praises are a duty owned by the teaching and sanctifying work of the Spirit: therefore they are of God.

I would not argue backwards from the Spirit's teaching to the words commanding, but on these two suppositions, 1. That the experiment is very general, and undeniable. 2. That many texts of Scripture are brought already for family prayer; and that this argument is but to second them and prove them truly interpreted. The Spirit and the Word do always agree: if therefore I can prove that the Spirit of God doth commonly work men's hearts to a love and favour of these duties, doubtless they are of God. Sanctification is a transcript of the precepts of the word on the heart, written out by the Spirit of God. So much for the consequence.

The antecedent consisteth of two parts, 1. That the
sanctified have in them inclinations to these duties. 2. That these inclinations are from the Spirit of God. The first needs no proof being a matter of experience. I appeal to the heart of every sound and stable Christian, whether he feel not a conviction of this duty and an inclination to the performance of it. I never met with one such to my knowledge that was otherwise minded. Object. Many in our times are quite against family prayer, who are good Christians. Answ. I know none of them. I confess I once thought some very good Christians that now are against them, but now they appear otherwise, not only by this but by other things. I know none that cast off these duties, but they took up vile sins in their stead, and cast off other duties as well as these: let others observe and judge as they find. 1. The power of delusion may for a time make a Christian forbear as unlawful, that which his very new nature is inclined to. As some think it unlawful to pray in our assemblies, and some to join in sacraments: and yet they have a spirit within them that inclineth their hearts to it still, and therefore they love it, and wish it were lawful, even when they forbear it upon a conceit that it is unlawful. And so it is possible for a time some may do by family duties: but as I expect that these ere long recover, so for my part I take all the rest to be graceless: prejudice and error as a temptation may prohibit the exercise of a duty, when yet the Spirit of God doth work in the heart an inclination to that duty in sanctifying it. 2. And that these inclinations are indeed from the Spirit is evident. 1. In that they come in with all other grace. 2. And by the same means. 3. And are preserved by the same means, standing or falling, increasing or decreasing with the rest. 4. And are to the same end. 5. And are so generally in all the saints. 6. And so resisted by flesh and blood. 7. And so agreeable to the Word, that a Christian sins against his new nature, when he neglects family duties. And God doth by his Spirit create a desire after them, and an estimation of them in every gracious soul.

Arg. viii. Family prayer and praises are a duty, ordinarily crowned with admirable, divine and special blessings: therefore it is of God; the consequence is evident. For though common, outward prosperity may be given to the
wicked, who have their portion in this life, yet so is not prosperity of soul.

For the antecedent I willingly appeal to the experience of all the holy families in the world. Who ever used these duties seriously, and found not the benefits? What families be they, in which grace and heavenly-mindedness prosper, but those that use these duties? Compare in all your towns, cities, and villages, the families that read Scriptures, pray, and praise God, with those that do not, and see the difference: which of them abound more with impiety, with oaths, and cursings, and railings; and drunkenness, and whoredoms, and worldliness, &c.: and which abound most with faith, and patience, and temperance, and charity, and repentance, and hope, &c. The controversy is not hard to decide. Look to the nobility and gentry of England; see you no difference between those that have been bred in praying families and the rest? I mean, taking them (as we say) one with another proportionably. Look to the ministers of England; is it praying families or prayerless families that have done most to the well furnishing of the universities.

Arg. ix. All churches ought solemnly to pray to God and praise him: a Christian family is a church: therefore &c.

The major is past doubt, the minor I prove from the nature of a church in general, which is a society of Christians combined for the better worshipping and serving of God. I say not that a family, formally as a family, is a church; but every family of Christians ought moreover, by such a combination to be a church: yea, as Christians they are so combined, seeing Christianity teth them to serve God conjunctly together in their relations. 2. Scripture expresseth it, 1 Cor. xvi. 19. "Aquila and Priscilla salute you much in the Lord, with the church that is in their house." He saith not which meeteth in their house, but which is in it. So Philemon 2. "And to the church in thy house." Rom. xvi. 5. "Likewise greet the church that is in their house." Col. iv. 15. "Salute the brethren that are at Laodicea and Nymphas, and the church which is in his house." Though some learned men take these to be meant of part of the churches, assembling in these houses, yet Beza, Grotius, and many others acknowledge it to be meant of a family or domestic church, according to that of Tertullian, 'ubi tres licet laici
ibi Ecclesia, yet I say not that such a family-church is of the same species with a particular organized church of many families. But it could not (so much as analogically) be called a church if they might not and must not pray together, and praise God together; for these therefore it fully conclude th.

Arg. x. If rulers must teach their families the Word of God, then must they pray with them, but they must teach them; therefore, &c. The antecedent is fully proved by express Scripture already; see also Psal. lxxviii. 4—6. Ministers must teach from house to house: therefore rulers themselves must do it. Acts v. 42. xx. 20.

The consequence is proved good. 1. The apostles prayed when they preached or instructed Christians in private assemblies, Acts xx. 36. and other places. 2. We have special need of God's assistance in reading the Scriptures to know his mind in them, and to make them profitable to us; therefore we must seek it. 3. The reverence due to so holy a business requireth it. 4. We are commanded "in all things to make our requests known to God with prayers, supplications, and thanksgiving, and that with all manner of prayer, in all places, without ceasing;" therefore especially on such occasions as the reading of Scriptures and instructing others: and I think that few men that are convinced of the duty of reading Scripture and solemn instructing their families, will question the duty of praying for God's blessing on it, when they set upon the work. Yea, a Christian's own conscience will provoke him reverently to begin all with God in the imploring of his acceptance, and aid, and blessing.

Arg. xi. If rulers of families are bound to teach their families to pray, then are they bound to pray with them: but they are bound to teach them to pray: therefore, &c.

In the foregoing argument I speak of teaching in general: here I speak of teaching to pray in special. The antecedent of the major I prove thus. 1. They are bound to bring "them up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord": therefore to teach them to pray and praise God: for "the nurture and admonition of the Lord" containeth that. 2. They are bound to "teach them the fear of the Lord," and
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"train them up in the way that they should go," and that is doubtless in the way of prayer and praising God.

The consequence appeareth here to be sound, in that men cannot be well and effectually taught to pray, without praying with them, or in their hearing; therefore they that must teach them to pray, must pray with them. It is like music, which you cannot well teach any man, without playing or singing to him; seeing teaching must be by practising: and in most practical doctrines it is so in some degree.

If any question this, I appeal to experience. I never knew any man that was well taught by man to pray, without practising it before them. They that ever knew any such, may have the more colour to object; but I did not: or if they did, yet so rare a thing is not to be made the ordinary way of our endeavours, any more than we should forbear teaching men the most curious artifices by ocular demonstration, because some wits have learnt them by few words, or of their own invention: they are cruel to children and servants that teach them not to pray by practice and example.

_Arg. xii._ From 1 Tim. iv. 3–5. "Meats which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving——for it is sanctified by the Word of God and prayer."

Here mark. 1. That all our meat is to be received with thanksgiving; not only with a disposition of thankfulness. 2. That this is twice repeated here together expressly, yea, thrice in sense. 3. That God created them so to be received. 4. That it is made a condition of the goodness, that is, the blessing of the creature to our use. 5. That the creature is said to be sanctified by God's Word and prayer; and so to be unsanctified to us before. 6. That the same thing which is called thanksgiving in the two former verses, is called prayer in the last; else the consequence of the apostle could not hold, when he thus argues. It is good if it be received with thanksgiving, because it is sanctified by prayer.

Hence I will draw these two arguments: 1. If families must with thanksgiving receive their meat as from God, then is the thanksgiving of families a duty of God's appointment: but the former is true, therefore so is the latter. The antecedent is plain: all must receive their meat with thanks-
giving: therefore families must. They eat together: there-
fore they must give thanks together: and that prayer is in-
cluded in thanksgiving in this text, I manifested before.

2. It is the duty of families to use means that all God's
creatures may be sanctified to them: prayer is the means to
be used that all God's creatures may be sanctified to them:
therefore it is the duty of families to use prayer.

*Arg. xiii.* From 1 Pet. iii. 7. “Likewise ye husbands
dwell with them according to knowledge, giving honour to
the wife as to the weaker vessel, and as being heirs together
of the grace of life, that your prayers be not hindered.”
That prayer which is especially hindered by ignorant and
unkind converse it is, that is especially meant here in this
text. But it is conjunct prayer that is especially so hin-
dered: therefore, &c. I know that secret, personal prayer,
is also hindered by the same causes; but not so directly
and notably as conjunct prayer is. With what hearts can
husband and wife join together as one soul in prayer to God,
when they abuse and exasperate each other, and come hot
from chidings and dissentions? This seemeth the true
meaning of the text. And so, the conjunct prayer of hus-
band and wife being proved a duty, (who sometimes con-
stitute a family,) the same reasons will include the rest of
the family also.

*Arg. xiv.* From Col. iii. 16, 17. to iv. 4. “Let the
Word of God dwell in you richly in all wisdom, teaching
and admonishing one another in psalms, and hymns, and
spiritual songs, singing with grace in your hearts to the
Lord: and whatsoever ye do in word or deed, do all in the
name of the Lord Jesus; giving thanks to God and the
Father by him. Wives submit yourselves, &c. iv. 2.
Continue in prayer, and watch in the same with thank-
giving.”

Hence I may fetch many arguments for family-prayers.
1. It appeareth to be family prayers principally that the
apostle here speaketh of: for it is families that he speaks
to: for in verse 16, 17. he speaketh of prayer and thank-
giving, and in the next words he speaketh to each family
relation, wives, husbands, children, parents, servants, mas-
ters: and in the next words, continuing his speech to the
same persons, he bids them, “continue in prayer, and watch
in the same, &c." 2. If neighbours are bound to speak together in psalms, and hymns, and spiritual songs, with grace in their hearts to the Lord, and to continue in prayer and thanksgiving; then families much more, who are more nearly related, and have more necessities and opportunities, as is said before. 3. If whatever we do in word or deed, we must do all in the name of the Lord Jesus, giving thanks; then families must needs join in giving thanks. For they have much daily business in word and deed to be done together and asunder.

Arg. xv. From Dan. vi. 10. "When Daniel knew that the writing was signed, he went into his house, and his window being open in his chamber towards Jerusalem, he kneeled upon his knees three times a day, and prayed, and gave thanks before his God, as he did aforetime. Then these men assembled, and found Daniel praying and making supplication before his God." Here note, 1. The nature of the duty. 2. The necessity of it. 1. If it had not been open, family-prayer which Daniel here performed, how could they have known what he said? It is not probable that he would speak so loud in secret; nor is it like they would have found him at it. So great a prince would have had some servants in his outward rooms, to have stayed them before they had come so near. 2. And the necessity of this prayer is such, that Daniel would not omit it for a few days to save his life.

Arg. xvi. From Josh. xxiv. 15. "But as for me and my house we will serve the Lord." Here note, 1. That it is a household that is here engaged: for if any would prove that it extendeth further, to all Joshua's tribe, or inferior kindred, yet his household would be most eminently included. 2. That it is the same thing which Joshua promiseth for his house, which he would have all Israel do for their's: for he maketh himself an example to move them to it.

If households must serve the Lord, then households must pray to him and praise him: but households must serve him: therefore, &c. The consequence is proved, in that prayer and praise are so necessary parts of God's service, that no family or person can be said in general to be devoted to serve God, that are not devoted to them. Calling upon God, is oft put in Scripture for all God's worship, as being a
most eminent part: and atheists are described to be such as "call not upon the Lord."

Arg. xvii. The story of Cornelius Acts x. proveth that he performed family worship: for observe, 1. That ver. 2. he is said to be "a devout man, and one that feared God with all his house, which gave much alms to the people, and prayed to God always:" and ver. 30. he saith "at the ninth hour I prayed in my house:" and ver. 24. "he called together his kindred and near friends:" so ver. 11. 14. "thou and all thy house shall be saved:" so that in ver. 2. fearing God comprehendeth prayer, and is usually put for all God's worship: therefore when he is said to fear God with all his house, it is included that he worshipped God with all his house: and that he used to do it conjunctly with them is implied, in his gathering together his kindred and friends when Peter came, not mentioning the calling together his household, as being usual and supposed. And when it is said that he prayed 'ἐν τῷ ὅμω,' in his house, it may signify his household, as in Scripture the word is often taken. However the circumstances shew that he did it.

Arg. xviii. From 1 Tim. iii. 4, 5. 12. "One that ruleth well his own house, having his children in subjection, with all gravity: for if a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he take care of the church of God: let the deacons be the husbands of one wife: ruling their children and their own houses well." Here mark, that it is such a ruling of their houses, as is of the same nature as the ruling of the church, 'mutatis mutandis,' and that is, a training them up in the worship of God, and guiding them therein: for the apostle maketh the defect of the one, to be a sure discovery of their unfitness for the other. Now to rule the church, is to teach and guide them as their mouth in prayer and praises unto God, as well as to oversee their lives: therefore it is such a ruling of their houses as is prerequisite to prove them fit.

They that must so rule well their own houses, as may partly prove them not unfit to rule the church, must rule them by holy instructions, and guiding them as their mouth in the worship of God. But those mentioned 1 Tim. iii. must so rule their houses: therefore, &c.

* Psal. xiv.
The pastors ruling of the church doth most consist in
going before them, and guiding them in God's worship;
therefore so doth the ruling of their own houses, which is
made a trying qualification of their fitness hereunto. Though
yet it reach not so high, nor to so many things, and the
conclusion be not affirmative 'He that ruleth his own house
well is fit to rule the church of God;' but negative, 'He
that ruleth not his own house well, is not fit to rule the
church of God;' but that is, because, 1. This is a lower
degree of ruling, which will not prove him fit for a higher.
2. And it is but one qualification of many that are requi-
site. Yet it is apparent that some degree of aptitude is
proved hence, and that from a similitude of the things.
When Paul compareth ruling the house to ruling the church,
he cannot be thought to take them to be wholly heteroge-
neous: he would never have said, 'He that cannot rule an
army, or regiment, or a city, how shall he rule the church of
God?' I conclude therefore that this text doth shew that
it is the duty of masters of families, to rule well their own
families in the right worshipping of God, 'mutatis mutandis,'
as ministers must rule the church.

Arg. xix. If families have special necessity of family-
prayer conjunctly, which cannot be supplied otherwise; then
it is God's will that family prayer should be in use: but
families have such necessities; therefore, &c. The con-
sequent needs no proof; the antecedent is proved by in-
stance. Families have family necessities, which are larger
than to be confined to a closet, and yet more private than to
be brought still into the assemblies of the church. 1. There
are many worldly occasions about their callings and rela-
tions, which it is fit for them to mention among themselves,
but unfit to mention before all the congregation. 2. There
are many distempers in the hearts and lives of the members
of the families, and many miscarriages, and disagreements
which must be taken up at home, and which prayer must do
much to cure, and yet are not fit to be brought to the ears
of the church-assemblies. 3. And if it were fit to mention
them all in public, yet the number of such cases would be
so great, as would overwhelm the minister, and confound
the public worship; nay, one half of them in most churches
could not be mentioned. 4. And such cases are of ordinary
occurrence, and therefore would ordinarily have all these inconveniences.

And yet there are many such cases that are not fit to be confined to our secret prayers each one by himself; because, 1. They often so sin together, as maketh it fit that they confess and lament it together. 2. And some mercies which they receive together, it is fit they seek and give thanks for together. 3. And many works which they do together, it is fit they seek a blessing on together. 4. And the presence of one another in confession, petition, and thanksgiving doth tend to the increase of their fervour, and warming of their hearts, and engaging them the more to duty, and against sin; and is needful on the grounds laid down before. Nay, it is a kind of family schism, in such cases to separate from one another, and to pray in secret only; as it is church schism to separate from the church-assemblies, and to pray in families only. Nature and grace delight in unity, and abhor division. And the light of nature and grace engageth us to do as much of the work of God in unity, and concord, and communion as we can.

Arg. xx. 'If before the giving of the law to Moses, God was worshipped in families by his own appointment, and this appointment be not yet reversed, then God is to be worshipped in families still. But the antecedent is certain: therefore so is the consequent.

I think no man denieth the first part of the antecedent; that before the flood in the families of the righteous, and after till the establishment of a priesthood, God was worshipped in families or households: it is a greater doubt whether then he had any other public worship. When there were few or no church-assemblies that were larger than families, no doubt God was ordinarily worshipped in families. Every ruler of a family then was as a priest to his own family. Cain and Abel offered their own sacrifices; so did Noah, Abraham, and Jacob.

If it be objected, that all this ceased, when the office of the priest was instituted, and so deny the latter part of my antecedent, I reply, 1. Though some make a doubt of it, whether the office of the priesthood was instituted before Aaron's time, I think there is no great doubt to be made of it; seeing we find a priesthood then among other nations,
who had it either by the light of nature, or by tradition from the church; and Melchizedec's priesthood (who was a type of Christ,) is expressly mentioned. So that though family-worship was then the most usual, yet some more public worship there was. 2. After the institution of Aaron's priesthood family-worship continued, as I have proved before; yea, the two sacraments of circumcision and the passover, were celebrated in families by the master of the house; therefore prayer was certainly continued in families. 3. If that part of worship that was afterward performed in synagogues and public assemblies was appropriated to them, that no whit proveth, that the part which agreed to families as such, was transferred to those assemblies. Nay, it is a certain proof that part was left to families still, because we find that the public assemblies never undertook it. We find among them no prayer but church-prayer; and not that which was fitted to families as such at all. Nor is there a word of Scripture that speaketh of God's reversing of his command or order for family prayer, or other proper family worship. Therefore it is proved to continue obligatory still.

Had I not been too long already, I should have urged to this end the example of Job, in sacrificing daily for his sons; and of Esther's keeping a fast with her maids, Est. iv. 16. And Jer. x. 25. "Pour out thy fury on the heathen that know thee not, and on the families that call not on thy name." It is true that by "families" here is meant tribes of people, and by "calling on his name," is meant their worshipping the true God. But yet this is spoken of all tribes without exception, great and small: and tribes in the beginning, (as Abraham's, Isaac's, Jacob's, &c.) were confined to families. And the argument holdeth from parity of reason, to a proper family: and that calling on God's name, is put for his worship, doth more confirm us, because it proveth it to be the most eminent part of worship, or else the whole would not be signified by it; at least no reason can imagine it excluded. So much for the proof of the fourth proposition.

Objections Answered.

Object. 1. 'Had it been a duty under the Gospel to pray
in families, we should certainly have found it more expressly required in the Scripture.'

Answ. 1. I have already shewed you, that it is plainly required in the Scripture: but men must not teach God how to speak, nor oblige him to make all plain to blind, perverted minds. 2. Those things which were plainly revealed in the Old Testament, and the church then held without any contradiction, even from the persecutors of Christ themselves, might well be past over in the Gospel, and taken as supposed, acknowledged things. 3. The general precepts (to 'pray alway,—with all prayer,—in all places,' &c.) being expressed in the Gospel, and the light of nature making particular application of them to families, what need there any more? 4. This reason is apparent why Scripture speaketh of it no more expressly. Before Christ's time the worship of God was less spiritual, and more ceremonial than afterward it was: and therefore you find oftener mention of circumcision and sacrificing, than of prayer; and yet prayer was still supposed to concur. And after Christ's time on earth, most Christian families were disturbed by persecution, and Christians sold up all and lived in community: and also the Scripture history was to describe to us the state of the churches, rather than of particular families.

Object. 11. 'Christ himself did not use to pray with his family; as appeareth by the disciples asking him to teach them to pray, and by the silence of the Scripture in this point: therefore it is no duty to us.'

Answ. 1. Scripture silence is no proof that Christ did not use it. All things are not written which he did. 2. His teaching them the Lord's prayer, and their desire of a common rule of prayer, might consist with his usual praying with them: at least with his using to pray with them after that, though at first he did not use it. 3. But it is the consequence that I principally deny. (1.) Because Christ did afterwards call his servants to many duties, which he put them not on at first, as sacraments, discipline, preaching, frequenter praying, &c., especially after the coming down of the Holy Ghost. As they understood not many articles of the faith till then, so no wonder if they understood not many duties till then: for Christ would have them thus suddenly instructed and more fully sanctified by a mi-
 THAT THEIR MINISTRY MIGHT BE MORE CREDIBLE, THEIR MISSION BEING EVIDENTLY DIVINE, AND THEY BEING PAST THE SUSPICION OF FORGERY AND DECEIT. (2.) AND THOUGH IT IS EVIDENT THAT CHRIST DID USE TO BLESS THE MEAT, AND SING HYMNS TO GOD WITH HIS DISCIPLES, AND THEREFORE IT IS VERY PROBABLE, PRAYED WITH THEM OFTEN, AS JOHN XVII. YET IT COULD NOT BE EXPECTED, THAT HE SHOULD ORDINARILY BE THEIR MOUTH IN SUCH PRAYERS AS THEY DAILY NEEDED. HIS CASE AND ONS ARE EXTREMELY DIFFERENT. HIS DISCIPLES MUST DAILY CONFESS THEIR SINS, AND BE HUMBLED FOR THEM, AND ASK FORGIVENESS: BUT CHRIST HAD NONE OF THIS TO DO. THEY MUST PRAY FOR MORTIFYING GRACE, AND HELP AGAINST SIN; BUT HE HAD NO SIN TO MORTIFY OR PRAY AGAINST. THEY MUST PRAY FOR THE SPIRIT, AND THE INCREASE OF THEIR IMPERFECT GRACES; BUT CHRIST HAD FULLNESS AND PERFECTION. THEY MUST PRAY FOR MANY MEANS TO THESE ENDS, AND FOR HELP IN USING THEM, AND A BLESSING ON THEM WHICH HE HAD NO USE FOR. THEY MUST GIVE THANKS FOR PARDON AND CONVERSION, &C. WHICH CHRIST HAD NO OCCASION TO GIVE THANKS FOR. SO THAT HAVING A HIGH-PRIEST SO MUCH SEPARATE FROM SINNERS, THEY HAD ONE THAT PRAYED FOR THEM; BUT NOT ONE FIT TO JOIN WITH THEM AS THEIR MOUTH TO GOD, IN ORDINARY FAMILY PRAYERS, SUCH AS THEY NEEDED; AS MASTERS MUST DO WITH THEIR FAMILIES.

OBJECT. III. ' GOD DOTH NOT REQUIRE EITHER VAIN AND ABOMINABLE PRAYERS: BUT FAMILY PRAYERS ARE ORDINARILY VAIN AND ABOMINABLE: THEREFORE, &C. THE MINOR IS PROVED THUS:—THE PRAYERS OF THE WICKED ARE ABOMINABLE: MOST FAMILIES ARE WICKED, OR HAVE WICKED PERSONS: THEREFORE, &C.'

ANSW. 1. THIS IS CONFESSIONEDLY NOTHING AGAINST THE PRAYERS OF GODLY FAMILIES. 2. THE PRAYERS OF A GODLY MASTER ARE NOT ABOMINABLE NOR VAIN, BECAUSE OF THE PRESENCE OF OTHERS THAT ARE UNGODLY. ELSE CHRIST'S PRAYERS AND BLESSINGS BEFORE MENTIONED SHOULD HAVE BEEN VAIN OR ABOMINABLE, BECAUSE JUDAS WAS THERE, WHO WAS A THIEF AND HYPOCRITE. AND THE APOSTLES AND ALL MINISTERS' PRAYERS SHOULD BE SO IN ALL SUCH CHURCHES, AS THOSE OF CORINTH, GALATIA, EPHESUS ARE DESCRIBED TO HAVE BEEN. 3. I REFER YOU TO MY "METHOD FOR PEACE OF CONSCIENCE," HOW FAR THE PRAYERS OF THE WICKED ARE, OR ARE NOT ABOMINABLE. THE PRAYERS OF THE WICKED ARE ABOMINABLE; BUT NOT AS THEY EXPRESS THEIR RE-

turn to God, and repenting of their wickedness. It is not the abominable prayer that God commandeth, but the faithful, penitent prayer. You mistake it, as if the wicked man were not the person commanded to pray; whereas you should rather say, It is not the abominable prayer that is commanded him. He is commanded to pray such prayers as are not abominable; even as Simon Magus, to "repent" and "pray," and "to seek the Lord while he may be found, and call upon him while he is near, and to forsake his way." &c. Let the wicked pray thus, and his prayer will not be abominable. The command of praying implieth the command of repenting and departing from his wickedness; for what is it to pray for grace, but to express to God their desires of grace? (It is not to tell God a lie, by saying they desire that which they hate.) Therefore when we exhort them to pray, we exhort them to such desires.

Object. iv. 'Many masters of families cannot pray in their families without a book, and that is unlawful.'

Answ. 1. If their disability be natural, as in idiots, they are not fit to rule families; if it be moral and culpable, they are bound to use the means to overcome it; and in the mean time to use a book or form, rather than not to pray in their families at all.

Of the Frequency and Seasons of Family Worship.

The last part of my work is to speak of the fit times of family worship. 1. Whether it should be every day? 2. Whether twice a day? 3. Whether morning and evening?

Answ. 1. Ordinarily it should be every day and twice a day: and the morning and evening are ordinarily the fittest seasons. 2. But extraordinarily some greater duty may intervene, which may for that time disoblige us. And the occasions of some families may make that hour fit to one, which is unfit to another. For brevity I will join all together in the proof.

Arg. 1. We are bound to take all fit occasions and opportunities to worship God. Families have daily (morning and evening) such occasions and opportunities; therefore they are bound to take them.

Acts viii. 1. Isa. iv. 6, 7.
Both major and minor are proved before. Experience proveth that family sins are daily committed, and family mercies daily received, and family necessities daily do occur. And reason tells us, 1. That it is seasonable every morning to give God thanks for the rest of the night past. 2. And to beg direction, protection, and provisions, and blessing for the following day. 3. And that then our minds are freest from weariness and worldly care. And so reason telleth us that the evening is a fit season to give God thanks for the mercies of the day, and to confess the sins of the day, and ask forgiveness, and to pray for rest and protection in the night. As nature and reason tell us how oft a man should eat and drink, and how long he should sleep, and what cloathing he should wear; and Scripture need not tell you the particulars: so if Scripture command you prayer in general, God may by providence tell you when and how oft you must pray.

Arg. ii. The Lord’s prayer directeth us daily to put up such prayers as belong to families; therefore, &c. “Give us this day our daily bread.” It runs all in the plural number. And the reason of it will oblige families as well as individual persons.

Arg. iii. From 1 Thes. v. 17: “Pray without ceasing; in all things give thanks.” Col. iv. 1, 2. “Masters give to your servants that which is just and equal, knowing that ye also have a Master in heaven. Continue in prayer, and watch in the same with thanksgiving.” Col. iii. 17. “Whatsoever ye do in word or deed, do all in the name of the Lord Jesus; giving thanks to God and the Father by him. Phil. iv. 6. “Be careful for nothing, but in every thing by prayer and supplication with thanksgiving, let your requests be made known to God.” It is easy for a man that is willing to see, that less than twice a day, doth not answer the command of praying “without ceasing,—continually,—in every thing,—whatsoever ye do,” &c. The phrases seeming to go much higher.

Arg. iv. Daniel prayed in his house thrice a day; therefore less than twice under the Gospel is to us unreasonable.

Arg. v. 1 Tim. iv. 5. “She that is a widow indeed and desolate, trusteth in God, and continueth in supplications and prayer night and day.” Night and day can be no less
than morning and evening. And if you say, this is not
family prayer, I answer, 1. It is all kind of prayer belonging
to her. 2. And if it commend the less, much more the
greater.

xxvi. 7. 1 Thes. iii. 10. 2 Tim. i. 3. Rev. vii. 15. Neh.
i. 6. Psal. lxxxviii. 1. Josh. i. 8. Psal. i. 2. which shew
that night and day Christ himself prayed, and his servants
prayed, and meditated, and read the Scripture.

Arg. vili. Deut. vi. 7. xi. 19. It is expressly com-
manded that parents teach their children the Word of God, when
they "lie down, and when they rise up;" and the parity of
reason, and conjunction of the Word and prayer will prove,
that they should also pray with them lying down and ris-
ing up.

Arg. viii. For brevity sake I offer you together, Psal.
cxix. 164. David praised God seven times a day; and
cxlv. 2. "Every day will I bless thee." Psal. v. 3. "My
voice shalt thou hear in the morning, O Lord; in the morn-
ing will I direct my prayer to thee and will look up." lix.
16. "I will sing aloud of thy mercy in the mornings." lxxxviii. 13. "In the morning shall my prayer prevent thee."
xcii. 12. "It is good to give thanks unto the Lord, and to
sing praises to thy name, O Most High: to shew forth thy
loving kindness in the morning, and thy faithfulness every
night." cxix. 147, 148. "I prevented the dawning of the
morning and cried, I hoped in thy word: mine eyes prevent
the night watches, that I might meditate on thy word."
cxxx. 6. "My soul waiteth for the Lord more than they
that watch for the morning, I say more than they that watch
for the morning." The priests were to offer "sacrifices"
and "thanks to God every morning:" 1 Chron. xxiii. 30.
Exod. xxx. 7. xxxvi. 3. Lev. vi. 12. 2 Chron. xiii. 11.
Ezek. xlvi. 13—15. Amos iv. 4. And Christians are a
"holy priesthood, to offer up sacrifices to God, acceptable
through Jesus Christ," 1 Pet. ii. 5, 9. Expressly saith Da-
vid, Psal. lv. 17. "Evening, and morning, and at noon, will
I pray and cry aloud, and he shall hear my voice." So
morning and evening were sacrifices and burnt offerings
offered to the Lord; and there is at least equal reason that
Gospel worship should be as frequent: 1 Chron. xvi. 40.
2 Chron. ii. 4. xiii. 11. xxxi. 3. Ezra iii. 3. 2 Kings xvi. 15. 1 Kings xviii. 29. 36. Ezra ix. 5. And no doubt but they prayed with the sacrifices. Which David intimateth in comparing them, Psal. cxli. 2. "Let my prayer be set forth before thee as incense, and the lifting up of my hands as the evening sacrifice." And God calleth for prayer and praise as better than sacrifice, Psal. i. 14, 15. 23.

All these I heap together for dispatch, which fully shew, how frequently God's servants have been wont to worship him, and how often God expecteth it. And you will all confess that it is reason that in Gospel times of greater light and holiness, we should not come behind them in the times of the law: especially when Christ himself doth pray all night, that had so little need in comparison of us. And you may observe that these Scriptures speak of prayer in general, and limit it not to secrecy: and therefore they extend to all prayer, according to opportunity. No reason can limit all these examples to the most secret, and least noble sort of prayer. If but two or three are gathered together in his name, Christ is especially among them.

If you say, that by this rule we must as frequently pray in the church assemblies; I answer, the church cannot ordinarily so oft assemble: but when it can be without a greater inconvenience, I doubt not but it would be a good work, for many to meet the minister daily for prayer, as in some rich and populous cities they may do.

I have been more tedious on this subject than a holy, hungry Christian possibly may think necessary, who needeth not so many arguments to persuade him to feast his soul with God, and to delight himself in the frequent exercises of faith and love; and if I have said less than the other sort of readers shall think necessary, let them know that if they will open their eyes, and recover their appetites, and feel their sins, and observe their daily wants and dangers, and get but a heart that loveth God, these reasons then will seem sufficient to convince them of so sweet, and profitable, and necessary a work: and if they observe the difference between praying and prayerless families, and care for their souls and communion with God, much fewer words than these may serve their turn. It is a dead, and graceless, carnal heart, that must be cured before these men
will be well satisfied; a better appetite would help their reason. If God should say in general to all men, You shall eat as often as will do you good: the sick stomach would say, Once a day, and that but a little, is enough, and as much as God requireth; when another would say; Thrice a day is little enough. A good and healthful heart is a great help, in the expounding of God's Word, especially of his general commandments. That which men love not, but are weary of, they will not easily believe to be their duty. The new nature, and holy love, and desires, and experience of a sound believer, do so far make all these reasonings needless to him, that I must confess I have written them principally to convince the carnal hypocrite, and to stop the mouths of wrangling enemies.

CHAPTER IV.

General Directions for the holy Government of Families.

The principal thing requisite to the right governing of families is the fitness of the governors and the governed thereto, which is spoken of before in the Directions for the Constitution. But if persons unfit for their relations, have joined themselves together in a family, their first duty is to repent of their former sin and rashness, and presently to turn to God, and seek after that fitness which is necessary to the right discharge of the duties of their several places: and in the governors of families, these three things are of greatest necessity hereunto. I. Authority. II. Skill. III. Holiness and readiness of will.

I. Gen. Direct. 'Let governors maintain their authority in their families.' For if once that be lost, and you are despised by those you should rule, your word will be of no effect with them; you do but ride without a bridle; your power of governing is gone, when your authority is lost. And here you must first understand the nature, use, and extent of your authority: for as your relations are different to your wife, your children and your servants, so also is your